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ABSTRACT

Statement of the problem: In implant dentistry literature, the most commonly investigated materials/structures in finite element analysis studies are either implant, peri-implant bone (cortical and cancellous bone), and restoration. This method allows application of simulated forces at specific points in the system and analysis of stress in the implant and peri-implant region. The implant connection design and other factors as the prosthesis type, its height and material have a great effect on stresses falling on the bone around implant.

Objectives: to evaluate and compare stress distribution using (3dimensional) finite element analysis between implants with Internal Hex and Morse Taper connections that were simulated in bone without vertical defect and with 6 mm vertical defect.

Material and methods: In this study, the implants examined were titanium implants with Morse taper and internal hex connections. These implants were utilized in the two configurations that were designed in this study. In the first configuration, the jawbone has no vertical defect and composed of (starting from top down) one mm layer of crestal cortical bone, 3.5 mm layer of cancellous bone, 0.5 mm sinus cortical bone. In the second configuration, it is composed of (starting from top down) one mm layer of crestal cortical bone, 3.5 mm layer of cancellous bone, 0.5 mm sinus cortical bone with a 6 mm vertical bone defect was created. The force of 100N is applied in axial, oblique and axial and oblique directions. Stresses falling on surrounding bone, fixture, abutment and screw were analyzed using finite element analysis in the two different configurations.

Results: The maximum Von Mises stresses for different loading conditions were recorded at different areas of the implant abutment assembly and in the surrounding bone and it was found that in all direction of forces, the stress levels falling on the bone, abutment, fixture and screw were more in the bone defect configuration than the models with no bone defects.In all direction of forces, the implants with Morse taper abutments showed less stress on the fixture compared to internal hex abutments in both models with and without defect.

Conclusion: Morse taper connections showed less stresses on the bone, fixture, abutment and screw than the internal Hex connection regardless of the bone height. Furthermore, stress distribution was more with implant in the 6 mm vertical defect than that in the bone without defect.
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INTRODUCTION

Having sufficient volume of healthy bone for long-term success of osseointegrated implants is not always present as a result of trauma, tooth loss or infectious diseases such as advanced periodontitis which leads to vertical bone defects.\(^{(1)}\)

The implant-abutment connections more commonly used today are screw-retained and can be divided into two major groups: external and internal connections. The most widely used external connection is the ‘external hexagon’, originally used on the Branemark implant.\(^{(2)}\) However, due to mechanical problems including screw loosening and the concern for better aesthetics led to the development of internal connections.\(^{(2)}\)

Several methods based on photoelastic, strain-gauge, and finite element analysis (FEA)-based studies have been used to investigate stress in the peri-implant region and in the components of implant-supported restorations.\(^{(3-6)}\)

FEA is a numerical stress analysis technique that is widely used to assess engineering and biomechanical problems before they occur. A finite element model is constructed by dividing solid objects into several elements that are connected at a common nodal point. Each element is assigned appropriate material properties corresponding to the properties of the object being modeled. The first step is to subdivide the complex object geometry into a suitable set of smaller ‘elements’ of ‘finite’ dimensions. When combined with the ‘mesh’ model of the investigated structures, each element can adopt a specific geometric shape (i.e., triangle, square, tetrahedron, etc.) with a specific internal strain function. Using these functions and the actual geometry of the element, the equilibrium equations between the external forces acting on the element and the displacement occurring at each node can be determined.\(^{(7,8)}\)

In implant dentistry literature, the most common investigated materials/structures in FEA studies can be classified as either implant, peri-implant bone (cortical and cancellous bone), and restoration. This method allows application of simulated forces at specific points in the system and stress analysis in the peri-implant region and surrounding structures.\(^{(9-12)}\)

The implant-abutment connection is believed to play an important role in the outcome of the implant therapy. Bacterial leakage through the implant–abutment interface microgap and colonization of the connection’s inner portion determine the formation of the peri-implant chronic inflammatory infiltrate, thereby leading to bone resorption.\(^{(13)}\)

Additionally, the literature shows that the stress/strain concentration induced by an excessive, dynamic loading can trigger bone resorption, by bone microdamage accumulation around osseointegrated implants, even in the absence of an oral biofilm.\(^{(14)}\)

Regarding the survival rate of the of implants after ridge augmentation, the analysis shows that the implant survival is comparable whereas the success rate is not comparable with dental implants which are placed in non-augmented bone.\(^{(15)}\)

Thus, the present study was conducted to calculate the distribution of stresses on both implant components and the surrounding bone with finite element analysis in internal hex versus Morse taper connections placed at different bone heights.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The implant examined throughout this study is a virtual titanium implant of 10 mm length and 4.5 mm width in both configurations and the abutments are internal hex and Morse taper of 7 mm length in the first configuration and 12 mm in the second configuration. In order to model the surrounding bone, two different configurations were used. In the first configuration, the jawbone has no defects. It is composed, (starting from top to bottom down) of a layer (1mm) of crestal cortical bone, a layer of 3.5mm cancellous bone, a sinus 0.5mm cortical bone. (Figure 1) In the second configuration, a vertical bone defect of 6mms was created. (Figure 2).

All the components were meshed with solid 92
elements. Solid 92 is a 2nd order tetra element which has 10 nodes (Table 1). Solid 92 has a quadratic displacement behavior and are well suited to model irregular surfaces. This meshed model was then imported into ANSYS 13 software (Ansys Inc. USA) to perform the numerical simulation.

Table (1) Nodes and elements of each component

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Hex</th>
<th>Morse</th>
<th>Defect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abutment Nodes</td>
<td>40886</td>
<td>39656</td>
<td>51463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elements</td>
<td>27066</td>
<td>26027</td>
<td>34379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixture Nodes</td>
<td>45624</td>
<td>42708</td>
<td>42815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elements</td>
<td>28989</td>
<td>26742</td>
<td>26805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screw Nodes</td>
<td>31104</td>
<td>30564</td>
<td>31104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elements</td>
<td>20205</td>
<td>19804</td>
<td>20205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compact Nodes</td>
<td>121241</td>
<td>122745</td>
<td>83033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elements</td>
<td>72709</td>
<td>73804</td>
<td>46918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spongy Nodes</td>
<td>195741</td>
<td>194148</td>
<td>222078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elements</td>
<td>135762</td>
<td>134634</td>
<td>155065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Nodes</td>
<td>510841</td>
<td>513752</td>
<td>525733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elements</td>
<td>335532</td>
<td>336700</td>
<td>347714</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The properties of the different materials used throughout this study are listed in Table 2.

Table (2) The properties of the different materials used throughout this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Elastic modulus (GPa)</th>
<th>Poisson’s ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trabecular bone</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortical bone</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Depending on the hardness of foods, the average bite force reportedly ranges from 20 to 120N. In the present study, we applied a static load of 100N to simulate loading by occlusion as indicated in previous studies. Forces are applied in 3 directions to simulate the actual forces in mouth which are a- Axial, b- Oblique, c- Axial and oblique.

Stresses on each model are evaluated according to the stress values from low to high. Frequently, different colors represent the amount of stress around periimplant regions and prosthetic structures.

RESULTS

The data obtained from the ANSYS calculation is presented in a stress distribution map with a color scale (Figure 3) which allowed us to compare the stress levels in the various components of the models. In this study, the maximum Von Mises stresses for different loading conditions were recorded at different areas of the implant abutment assembly and in the surrounding bone.
Effect on Bone

In all force directions, the stress level was more in the bone defect configuration than the models with no bone defects. Moreover, the implants with Morse taper abutments showed less stress on the bone compared to internal hex abutments in all directions of force and both models with and without defect (Figure 4).

Effect on Abutments

In all direction of forces, the stress level on the abutment was more in the bone defect configuration than the models with no bone defects.

In all directions of forces, the implants with Morse taper abutments showed less stress on the abutment compared to internal hex abutments in both models with and without defect (Figure 5).

Regarding the stress concentration areas it was found that the highest stresses were concentrated at the submarginal (the collar part) of the abutment in both models in all directions of forces except for the hex connection in the defect model it was concentrated at the middle third of the abutment (supramarginal).

Effect on Fixture

For all direction of forces, the stress level on the fixture was more in the bone defect configuration than the models with no bone defects.

In all direction of forces, the implants with Morse taper abutments showed less stress on the fixture compared to internal hex abutments in both models with and without defect (Figure 6).

The stress was concentrated at the inner surface of the fixture where it is in contact with the external surface of the abutment in both models in all directions except for the axial load direction in defect model for both Morse and hex abutment it was concentrated at the external surface of the last thread of the fixture.
Effect on the Screw

In all directions of forces, the stress level on the screw was more in the bone defect configuration than the models with no bone defects.

In all directions of forces, the implants with Morse taper abutments showed less stress on the screw compared to internal hex abutments in both models with and without defect (Figure 7). It was also found that the maximum stresses were recorded between the shank and threads of the screw in all models and all directions of forces.

DISCUSSION

A key factor for the success of a dental implant is the way stresses are transferred to the surrounding bone which depends on the implant connection system and other factors as the type of loading, the bone–implant interface, the length and diameter of the implants, the shape and characteristics of the implant surface and the quantity and quality of the surrounding bone.\(^{(19)}\)

Vertical defects augmentation have a very high risk for complications, like soft tissue dehiscence, infection, less bone quantity, and failure of the graft. Although many techniques have been suggested to deal with the functional and esthetic problems, there is still high risk of failure.\(^{(20)}\) Therefore this study aimed to find an alternative for augmentation by using long abutments directly in the level of crestal bone avoiding the vertical cantilever stresses.

The FEA has been chosen for this study as it has been an effective method for investigating the behavioral tendencies and predicting stress distribution on implants and surrounding bone under loading conditions.\(^{(21)}\)

Regarding the results of this study, the stress level was more in the bone defect configuration than the models with no bone defects. This could be attributed to the length of the fulcrum arm which is longer in case of vertical defect. Moreover, the implants with Morse taper abutments showed less stress on the bone compared to internal hex abutments in all directions of force and in both models with and without defects. This could be attributed to the implant abutment interface (IAI) in the conical connections that allows better stress distribution than the hex connections.

Saidin et al\(^{(22)}\) found that the peak stress in the bone supporting a dental implant in FEA appears in the crestal region close to the level where the implant attaches with bone. The smaller the peak stress caused by a standardized load, the greater the load required to trigger bone fracture or bone resorption. It has also been stated in literature that...
the IAI affects the stresses in the marginal bone only when it is located close to the marginal bone. This was in agreement with the current study results where the stresses were concentrated at the marginal area.

Another study revealed that the elements exposed to maximum stress were located where most of the non-axial masticatory forces were transferred. This situation corresponds to nonparametric computerized models of loaded dental implants. Models show utmost strain acting around the implant neck. This is the location where bone overloading occurs. This was in agreement with the current study results.

It is widely debated that implant-abutment connection designs can induce different degrees of crestal bone remodeling after being subjected to functional loading. Pozzi et al. (24) showed that marginal bone loss was statistically significantly different, with better results for the internal conical connection, compared to external hex. This also supports the current study findings.

Similar to the bone the results the stress level on the abutment was more in the bone defect configuration than the models with no bone defects. In all directions of forces, the implants with Morse taper abutments showed less stress on the abutment compared to internal hex abutments in both models with and without defect. This could be attributed to the inner slopes of the fixture contacting the outer slopes of the abutment in Morse taper resulting in less stresses.

In agreement with the obtained results, Coppedé et al. (26) found that maximum deformation force for conical implants was statistically higher than that for internal hex. Fracture force for the internal hex implants was 79.86±4.77 kgf while none of the conical implants fractured. The friction-locking mechanics and the solid design of the conical abutments provided greater resistance to deformation and fracture under oblique compressive loading when compared to the internal hex abutments.

On the other hand, Sarafaraz et al. (27) found that the two conical connections had a friction type of contact between the slopes of the outer surface of the abutment and inner surface of the fixture. Whereas the tri-channel connection (TCC) and parallel hex connection (PHC) showed only a close approximation of the outer parallel surface of the abutment and the parallel internal walls of the implant and the abutment rested on the outer periphery of the head of the implant. This resulted in the maximum amount of Von Mises stresses recorded for the conical connections on the inner surface of the implant and less than half of these stresses recorded for the TCC and PHC at the external periphery on the head of the implant. The difference in results could be attributed to the difference in the design of the model used in each of the two studies.

Regarding the effect on the screw, the current study showed more stresses in bone defect models than the non-defective models, and that could be due to the higher stresses falling on the abutment in
the defect models due to the length of the fulcrum arm which is longer in case of vertical defect and transferred to the screw. In all directions of forces, the implants with Morse taper abutments showed less stress on the screw compared to internal hex abutments in both models with and without defect. This could be attributed to the better stress distribution for the Morse taper connection compared to the Hex connection.

This was further confirmed from a study which proved that dental implants with the Morse taper connection have been designed to establish a better stress distribution when lateral external forces act on the prosthesis and minimize the forces transmitted to the fastening screw.\(^{(19)}\)

In a 3D FEM analysis the maximum stress was recorded between the shank and first thread of all implant systems, and stress increased under loading conditions which was in agreement with the obtained results.\(^{(28)}\)

From a systematic review, it was concluded that if a proper anti-rotational feature and torque are employed, then the abutment screw loosening is a rare occurrence regardless of the IAI geometry.\(^{(29)}\) This demonstrated that Morse taper abutment is preferable than Hex connection as it does not rely only on the screw.

On the controversy, Segundo et al.\(^{(30)}\) stated that the internal connection protects the abutment screw from stresses and the screw material did not show any influence on stress concentration. They also did not identify the most harmful loading conditions.

There are limitations in this study some of which are universal to all FEAs such as the interface between the implant and the cortical or cancellous bone were completely bonded which may not be the case in clinical conditions and all the implant abutment connections were assumed to be bonded with each other which may not be the exact simulation of the clinical condition.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Within the limitations of the present study, we conclude that the stress distribution was more in implant in 6 mm vertical defect than without defect. Moreover, Morse taper connections showed less stresses on the bone, abutment, fixture and screw than the internal Hex connection regardless of the bone height.
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