INTRODUCTION

Forensic odontology is an investigative aspect of dentistry that apply dental knowledge for legal issues including identification of unknown human bodies or remains. Sexual dimorphism of permanent teeth measurements has been extensively studied but, no studies involved pediatric age group with mixed deciduous and permanent dentition. The aim of this study was to determine accuracy of maxillary and mandibular deciduous and permanent molar teeth in sex determination in a sample of Egyptian children. Additionally, to estimate crown size of mandibular permanent first molar from mandibular deciduous second molar. A sample of 210 male and female children aged 6-12-years, in mixed dentition stage. Mesio-distal (MD) crown diameters of maxillary and mandibular permanent first molars and deciduous second molars were measured by a digital caliper. The mean MD crown diameter of both Rt maxillary and mandibular permanent first molars was significantly higher in males than females (10.29±.31 vs10.00±.60 and 11.01±.51 vs10.71±.60 respectively, p<0.001). Furthermore, Rt and Lt mandibular deciduous second molars exhibited significantly larger mean MD diameter in males (9.94±.44 and 9.98±.42) than females (9.71±.46 and9.74±.46). Further, Simple linear regression revealed new equations for prediction of crown size of mandibular Rt permanent first molar from mandibular Rt deciduous second molar. Odontometric study of deciduous and permanent molar teeth showed poor accuracy in sex determination. They can be used in conjunction with other traits to establish gender accurately. Furthermore, this work presented new equations that will be helpful in estimating crown size of mandibular permanent first molar from mandibular deciduous second molar.
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ABSTRACT

Forensic odontology is an investigative aspect of dentistry that apply dental knowledge for legal issues including identification of unknown human bodies or remains. Sexual dimorphism of permanent teeth measurements has been extensively studied but, no studies involved pediatric age group with mixed deciduous and permanent dentition. The aim of this study was to determine accuracy of maxillary and mandibular deciduous and permanent molar teeth in sex determination in a sample of Egyptian children. Additionally, to estimate crown size of mandibular permanent first molar from mandibular deciduous second molar. A sample of 210 male and female children aged 6-12-years, in mixed dentition stage. Mesio-distal (MD) crown diameters of maxillary and mandibular permanent first molars and deciduous second molars were measured by a digital caliper. The mean MD crown diameter of both Rt maxillary and mandibular permanent first molars was significantly higher in males than females (10.29±.31 vs 10.00±.60 and 11.01±.51 vs 10.71±.60 respectively, p<0.001). Furthermore, Rt and Lt mandibular deciduous second molars exhibited significantly larger mean MD diameter in males (9.94±.44 and 9.98±.42) than females (9.71±.46 and 9.74±.46). Further, Simple linear regression revealed new equations for prediction of crown size of mandibular Rt permanent first molar from mandibular Rt deciduous second molar. Odontometric study of deciduous and permanent molar teeth showed poor accuracy in sex determination. They can be used in conjunction with other traits to establish gender accurately. Furthermore, this work presented new equations that will be helpful in estimating crown size of mandibular permanent first molar from mandibular deciduous second molar.
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INTRODUCTION

Forensic odontology is an investigative aspect of dentistry that apply dental knowledge for legal issues including identification of unknown human bodies or remains (1). Teeth are the hardest structure of the human body. Teeth morphology and measurements are reliable methods of sex determination; as they
resist environmental changes, fire, and postmortem decomposition\(^2\). Additionally, the fact that most teeth complete development before skeletal maturation makes them a valuable sex indicator particularly in young individuals \(^3\).

Many studies from various countries and diverse populations have revealed sexual dimorphism of permanent teeth measurements\(^4-8\). Alternatively, few studies involved deciduous dentition in pediatric groups of Spanish, European, south Indian, and Portuguese populations, with inconsistent accuracy\(^9-12\).

It has been reported that morphometric traits of deciduous dentition have not well defined yet before puberty\(^11\). Furthermore, no studies involved pediatric age group with mixed deciduous and permanent dentition.

The mesiodistal crown width and occlusion in the deciduous dentition play a significant role in determining space and occlusion in the permanent dentition. One of the most critical factors in dental arch development and the relation of the arches to one another is mesiodistal diameter of the tooth \(^13\).

The aim of this study was to determine accuracy of maxillary and mandibular deciduous and permanent molar teeth in sex determination in a sample of Egyptian children. Additionally, to estimate crown size of mandibular permanent first molar from mandibular deciduous second molar.

**SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

**Study design, setting, ethical considerations:**

In this cross-sectional study, a random sample of children attended included the outpatient clinic of Pedodontics Departments, Faculty of Dentistry, Tanta University was recruited. This was done after approval from the local research ethics committee and taking a written informed consent from their parents or guardians. Confidentiality of data was maintained by coding all participants individually.

**Eligibility criteria:**

**Inclusion criteria**

Male and female children aged 6-12-years, in mixed dentition stage; in whom the maxillary and mandibular four permanent incisors and first molars bilaterally were completely erupted. Further, the deciduous second molars had not been replaced by the second permanent premolars. Additionally, normal molar relationship, absence of any skeletal discrepancies, and healthy state of periodontium were important prerequisites.

**Exclusion criteria**

Children had dental caries or lost dental material for any reason, abnormalities in size, shape, or structure of the teeth, proximal fillings or prosthetic restorations, beside any general medical condition or syndrome were excluded. Furthermore, children with history of previous orthodontic treatment or prolonged thumb sucking habits extended beyond 4 years of age (assessed by clinical history and intra-oral occlusal examination) were also excluded.

**Sample size:**

Sample size was calculated with G*Power software program version 3.1. An effect size of 0.39 representing the assumed gender related difference between the mean deciduous second molars was chosen according to \(^12\), with an alpha level of 0.05, a target power of 80\%, and male to female allocation ratio 1:1. The required sample size was found to be 210.

**Methods:**

For every participant, alginate impressions were taken in suitable perforated trays for upper and lower arches and immediately poured with dental plaster stone to avoid any dimensional changes. The dental cast was allowed to dry on the table for one hour. Impressions were remade if flaws were found. A set of both maxillary and mandibular study models for each child was numbered for easy recognition\(^14\).
Mesio-distal (MD) crown diameters of maxillary and mandibular permanent first molars and deciduous second molars \(^{(15)}\). This was taken using a digital caliper with an accuracy 0.01 m.

It is the largest mesial-to-distal dimension, taken parallel to the occlusal surface. The caliper beaks were inserted from the facial aspect of the tooth and held perpendicular to the long axis of the same tooth (Fig1).

A random sample of thirty casts were remeasured after 2 weeks by the same observer to calculate intra-observer error. Furthermore, another observer examined the thirty casts to assess the inter-observer reliability.

**Statistics:**

The obtained data were tabulated and analyzed statistically using SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences), IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.). Normality assumption was tested by Shapiro-Wilk test. They were normally distributed and were compared by Independent \( T \)-test. Additionally, diagnostic performance in discriminating gender was tested by receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve analysis. A stepwise multiple binary logistic regression analysis was performed to explore a prediction model for male gender from the studied measurements that showed significant differences between both genders. As well, simple linear regression analysis was done to estimate crown size of mandibular permanent first molar from mandibular deciduous second molar. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

The study included 210 children of both genders (105 each), aged 6 to 12-years old. The mean age for males and females was 9.4± 0.6 and 9.7± 0.6 respectively with no significant difference. There was no statistically significant intra- or interobserver differences (\( p>0.05 \)). Additionally, the correlation coefficient of intrarater and interrater reliability was excellent (0.96).

The mean MD crown diameter of both Rt maxillary and mandibular permanent first molars was significantly higher in males than females (10.29±.31 vs10.00±.60 and 11.01±.51 vs10.71±.60 respectively, \( p<0.001 \)). Furthermore, Rt and Lt mandibular deciduous second molars exhibited significantly larger mean MD diameter in males (9.94±.44 and 9.98±.42) than females (9.71±.46 and9.74±.46) as demonstrated in table (1).

![Fig. (1): Measurement of mesio-distal crown diameter (mm) of maxillary (1) and mandibular (2) permanent first molars by a digital caliper.](image)
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ROC curve analysis of the above measurements revealed significant but poor performance in discriminating males from females (AUCs ranged from 0.63 to 0.65, p<0.05). No significant differences were observed regarding their power of discrimination (p>0.05), but maxillary Rt permanent first molar showed the greatest accuracy (67.50%) in classifying male gender, besides 100% diagnostic sensitivity and NPV at a cut off >9.74mm (Table 2 and Fig 2).

TABLE (1): Comparison between males and females regarding mesiodistal crown diameter of the studied teeth (N=210).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mesiodistal crown diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Independent T test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Males N=105</td>
<td>Females N=105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary Rt permanent first molar</td>
<td>Mean± SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary Lt permanent first molar</td>
<td>Mean± SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary Rt deciduous second molar</td>
<td>Mean± SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary Lt deciduous second molar</td>
<td>Mean± SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular Rt permanent first molar</td>
<td>Mean± SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular Lt permanent first molar</td>
<td>Mean± SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular Rt deciduous second molar</td>
<td>Mean± SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular Lt deciduous second molar</td>
<td>Mean± SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant at p <0.05

TABLE (2): Receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve analysis for prediction of male gender.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cut off</th>
<th>Sensitivity %</th>
<th>Specificity %</th>
<th>PPV %</th>
<th>NPV %</th>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Accuracy %</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary Rt permanent first molar</td>
<td>&gt;9.74</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>35.24</td>
<td>60.61</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>67.50</td>
<td>0.011*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular Rt permanent first molar</td>
<td>&gt;10.81</td>
<td>68.57</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>63.30</td>
<td>65.93</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>64.50</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular Rt deciduous second molar</td>
<td>&gt;9.85</td>
<td>62.86</td>
<td>63.18</td>
<td>63.64</td>
<td>63.37</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>63.50</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular Lt deciduous second molar</td>
<td>&gt;9.75</td>
<td>72.38</td>
<td>58.10</td>
<td>63.16</td>
<td>67.44</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pairwise comparison of AUCs of palatal length, width, and height revealed nonsignificant differences (p>0.05)

*Significant at p <0.05. AUC: area under the curve, PPV: positive predictive value, NPV: negative predictive value, CI: confidence interval
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Fig. (2): Receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve analysis for prediction of male gender.

TABLE (3): Stepwise binary logistic regression analysis for prediction of male gender from the significant studied measures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Odds ratio</th>
<th>95% CI of odds</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Accuracy %</th>
<th>R² %</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>95% of AUC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MD diameter of maxillary Rt permanent first molar (mm)</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>1.46 to 6.49</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
<td>69.50</td>
<td>19.20</td>
<td>32.64</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
<td>0.718</td>
<td>0.652 to 0.778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD diameter of mandibular Rt permanent first molar (mm)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.00 to 3.05</td>
<td>0.046*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD diameter of mandibular Rt deciduous molar (mm)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>1.25 to 4.82</td>
<td>0.008*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant at p <0.05 AUC: area under the curve, CI: confidence interval
Prediction of crown size of mandibular Rt permanent first molar from mandibular Rt deciduous second molar:

Simple linear regression revealed the following equations for males and females:

**Males:** Crown size of mandibular Rt permanent first molar = 14.50 - 0.35x mandibular Rt deciduous second molar.

**Females:** Crown size of mandibular Rt permanent first molar = 2.80 + 0.82x mandibular Rt deciduous second molar.

**DISCUSSION**

The present study has the advantage of exploring the accuracy of odontometric analysis of molars in gender determination of Egyptian children in the stage of mixed dentition. Right upper and lower permanent first molars and mandibular deciduous second molars both on the Rt and Lt sides showed sexual dimorphism, but, with a low accuracy (63.5% to 67.5%). Further, new regression equations were presented for prediction of crown size of mandibular Rt permanent first molar from mandibular Rt deciduous second molar.

There was significant sexual dimorphism of the right maxillary and mandibular permanent first molars, with greater means of MD crown diameter in boys than in girls. Similarly, maxillary casts of Egyptians aged 17-24 years revealed significantly greater median MD diameter of Rt first molar in males. Alternatively, the Lt side failed to exhibit significant sexual dimorphism but, with a low accuracy (63.5% to 67.5%). Further, new regression equations were presented for prediction of crown size of mandibular Rt permanent first molar from mandibular Rt deciduous second molar.

Gender determination of juvenile bony remains is a problem that face forensic experts. Investigating sexual dimorphism of crown traits of the deciduous dentition may help to solve this issue (23). In this study, mandibular deciduous second molars both on the Rt and Lt sides showed significantly larger size in boys than girls. Similarly, studying crown size of deciduous dentition in a sample of European derived Australians revealed significantly higher values in males. Moreover, they reported higher degree of sexual dimorphism in mandibular teeth (74.8%) than maxillary ones (55.6%) Adler and Donlon, (10). Another study investigated Mesiodistal diameter of deciduous teeth in Portuguese explored differences in grades of sexual dimorphism with poor an overall accuracy, ranged from 33.3% to 75% Cardoso, (12). Inconsistent with our findings, Shankar et al. (11) reported significant sexual dimorphism of the upper Rt and Lt deciduous molar teeth in a sample of South Indian children aged 5-13 years. Furthermore, studying deciduous first molars using geometric morphometric analysis in Spain showed significant sexual dimorphism in the upper teeth only Lopez-Lazaro et al., (9).

Actually, the observed different forms of sexual dental dimorphism among different populations reflect the effect of genetic and environmental circumstances on teeth development (23). Greater dental dimensions in males than females could be attributed to greater dentine and enamel thickness in males. Y chromosome induces dentine formation due to increased tooth germ mitosis. Whereas, X chromosome is known to enhance amelogenesis. Therefore, females, calcification of the crown is completed earlier in both deciduous and permanent dentition (24).

The present study revealed poor accuracy of MD crown diameter of the studied teeth that ranged from 63.5% to 67.5%. Further, they displayed low
area under the curve, sensitivity, and specificity. So, they are not considered a good sex predictor. Though, they could be helpful as an auxiliary resource for defining sex. Only Maxillary Rt permanent first molar showed hundred percent sensitivity and negative predictive value, but with a low (35.24%) specificity at a cut off more than 9.74 mm. This indicate its greater value in excluding rather than confirming male gender. In agreement with our findings, assessment of permanent teeth accuracy in predicting gender revealed an overall validity (AUC) less than 0.8 in Belgium. Further, a comparable level (68%) of sexual dimorphism for deciduous second molar teeth was detected Iranian children Paknahad et al., (25). Whereas, Viciano et al. (26) recorded greater degree of sexual dimorphism in first and second deciduous molars in Spain.

The present study presented regression equations for prediction of crown size of mandibular Rt permanent first molar from mandibular Rt deciduous second molar.

In an attempt to improve the reliability of the measurements undertaken in the present study, all measurements of the mesiodistal diameter of the teeth were performed on stone cast, as they have long been the gold standard for measuring the mesiodistal tooth dimension according to Santoro et al. (27), Quimby et al., (28), Stevens et al., (29) who found that measurements on dental casts were on average of 0.1 mm greater than those of actual teeth, and measuring of tooth size on both digitalized and stone model revealed 0.16: 0.46 mm of differences, which were considered clinically not relevant. Furthermore, Anderson (30) used both techniques (direct and indirect) methods of measurement of the teeth in his odontometric study of deciduous dentition, demonstrating that there were no statistically significant differences between the two methods.

In the current study a digital caliper with an accuracy of 0.01mm was the instrument used for obtaining measurements instead of the traditional ones. It is considered a reliable method for the assessment of dental crowding even when compared with dedicated software for performing the needed measurement on virtual computerized models (31).

CONCLUSION
Odontometric study of deciduous and permanent molar teeth showed poor accuracy in sex determination of Egyptian children. They can be used in conjunction with other traits to establish gender accurately. Furthermore, this work presented new equations that will be helpful in estimating crown size of mandibular permanent first molar from mandibular deciduous second molar.
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