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ABSTRACT

Several grafting materials have been used in the sinus floor augmentation procedures including autogenous bone (AB), Xenograft (Bio-Oss), inorganic bovine bone (ABB), platelet rich fibrin (PRF), plasma rich fibrin (PRF), hydroxy appatite (HA), calcium sulfate and pegen P15 used AB as a comparator and the other six materials as interventions. Up to now a subject of controversy in maxillofacial surgery and dentistry is what is the most appropriate graft material for sinus floor augmentation. Materials and Methods: The literature searches were performed using PubMed search. The search covers only English, human and RCT literatures. For analyzing the quality and quantity of bone. After search strategy on PubMed we found 336 articles then after applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria the remaining RCT articles which met the criteria are 2 studies, which measures the bone quality only by Histomorphometric and no included RCT paper using CBCT. Results: In the remaining 2 articles the comparison occur between 2 materials which are autogenous bone and Bio-Oss using Histomorphometric analysis on 48 patients, which gives result in the first study AB=37.7±31.3%, Bio-Oss=41.7 ± 26.6% and in the second study AB=42.74±2.10%, Bio-Oss=24.90 ± 5.76%. Conclusion: The bone quality formed by Bio-Oss is less than autogenous bone by 17.1% so that the autogenous bone remains the gold standard grafting material.

INTRODUCTION

Several grafting materials have been used in the sinus floor augmentation procedures including autogenous bone(AB), Xenograft (Bio-Oss), inorganic bovine bone (ABB), platelet rich fibrin (PRF), plasma rich fibrin (PRF), hydroxy appatite (HA), calcium sulfate and pegen P15 used AB as a comparator and the other six materials as interventions. Up to now a subject of controversy in maxillofacial surgery and dentistry is what is the most appropriate graft material for sinus floor augmentation.

Purpose:

The aim of the study is to provide a body of evidence-based data regarding grafting materials in sinus floor elevation concerning the quality of bone which measured by Histomorphometric analysis.
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and the quantity of bone which measured by Cone Beam Computed-Tomography (CBCT), through a meta-analysis of the available literature.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The literature searches were performed using:

Electronic searching on PubMed Search from (2000 to 1st of March 2017), Cochrane Oral Health Group Trials Register

And Hand searching on The following journals were searched: International journal of oral maxillofacial, British Journal of Oral Maxillofacial, Europe Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery.

The search covers Sinus graft on adult >18 years with various material Xenograft or Platelet rich fibrin or Plasmarich fibrin or Hydroxy appatite or Calcium sulfate or pepgen P15 or all of them versus autogenous bone With follow up period for 8.5 months postoperatively after any intervention, only English, human and RCT literatures. For analyzing the quality and quantity of bone. After search strategy on PubMed we found 336 articles then after applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria the remaining RCT articles which met the criteria are 2 studies, which measures the bone quality only by Histomorphometric and no included RCT paper using CBCT. 

RESULTS

The search strategy retrieved 336 (PubMed, MEDLINE) references to studies, after initial literature search. Three of these were written in non-english and another four were animal studies, so the remaining articles were 329. Then we exclude the articles which not make sinus lift and do not use any of the wanted materials in the study are 38 articles, so the remaining 291. After that we exclude the article which uses only one type of graft materials which are 88 articles, so the remaining become 203. Subsequently we exclude the articles which make comparisons between two materials at least and autogenous bone not one of them which are 93 articles, so the remaining 110. As well we exclude the articles which use mixture of materials without using the autogenous bone versus one of the six materials which are 76, so the remaining 34.

Then we exclude the studies according to type of the study we take the randomized clinical trials which are five articles and the prospective studies which are one article and exclude the remaining articles which are 30 articles. After that we exclude other articles which not measure the amount of bone according to cone beam CT and histomorphometry tests, so the remaining articles which measuring the amount of bone formed after graft by histomorphometry only 2 articles and no articles use cone beam CT, so the final results are 2 articles

These 2 articles are:


2. A clinical and histologic evaluation of implant integration in the posterior maxilla after sinus floor augmentation with autogenous bone, bovine hydroxyapatite, or a 20:80 mixture.
Data outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Study 1</th>
<th>Study 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>Result</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I 1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>41.7 ± 26.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>37.7 ± 31.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Studies reported about bone quality using cone beam CT.

Meta analysis of the outcomes

Since $I^2$ revealed marked heterogeneity, we used random effects model.

Random effects meta-analysis

** No other analyses were attempted due to the limited number of obtained studies.

** The overall assessment of the quality of evidence using GRADE is very low.
DISCUSSION

External sinus floor augmentation has proven to be very effective in increasing bone volume in edentulous maxillary areas. Due to the significant resorption in the posterior maxilla following teeth extraction there is often not enough bone volume to ensure the stability of dental implants. Elevation and augmentation of the maxillary sinus can increase the bone height in the posterior area of the maxilla.\textsuperscript{7,8,9}

Various bone grafting materials have been used as alternatives or supplements to the autogenous bone such as Xenograft (Bio Oss\textsuperscript{®}), Platelet Rich Fibrin, Plasma rich fibrin, Calcium Sulfate, Hydroxy Appatite and PepGen P15. In order to overcome the previous complications and limitations of autogenous bone graft.\textsuperscript{10}

Bone quality and quantity are the most important parameters that are required to contrast different substituting materials to the gold standard autogenous bone graft and also bone quantity and quality are affecting on the implant stability.\textsuperscript{11}

The best parameter for measuring the bone quality is histomorphometric analysis and also, we choose CBCT for measuring the bone quantity.\textsuperscript{12,13}

Unfortunately, there were only 2 studies that met the inclusion criteria of this review. RCTs we considered only acceptable since it has 48 patients included in the studies. Risk of bias assessments for these studies was at high risk.

The 2 studies make comparison between autogenous bone (AB) and Bio-Oss, using Histomorphometric analysis as a measure only.

The results of the two studies on which our research based on:

In the first study (The Schmitt CM, Doering H, Schmidt T, Lutz R, Neukam FW, Schlegel KA, 2012 study) that The amount of newly formed bone in the cranial portion was highest in the AB group (42.74±2.10%) and for Bio-Oss (24.90±5.67%). In the second study (The Hallamn M, Sennerby L, Lundgren S, 2002 study) that the amount of newly formed bone in the AB group is (37.7±31.3%) and for Bio-Oss group (41.7±26.6%). Other study give a Histomorphometric result that the autogenous bone group was (40.1±3.2%) and for Bio-Oss group
Another study’s Histomorphometric result for autogenous bone group (49.2 ± 3.1%) and for Bio-Oss group (34.2 ± 13.1%). In our research we found that the outcome (Bone Quality) using Histomorphometric analysis was reported, a meta-analysis for this outcome reveals that Bio-Oss bone quality is 16.48% less than that of the autogenous bone graft. According to that result the clinical importance is that the newly bone formed after grafting with autogenous bone is more mineralized and higher quality than that formed after grafting with Bio-Oss material. The current results of this review were in accordance with Jörg Handschel et al. and Antonin Simunek et al.

Limitations of this study:
1. Only two studies were assigned in this review.
2. Both of the included studies are at high risk of bias.
3. No study reported about bone quantity using CBCT.
4. The overall quality of this review is very low.

CONCLUSION

Based on the quantitative analysis Bone quality associated with Bio-Oss is less than that of the autogenous bone.

Finally, We found that the autogenous bone is still the gold standard grafting material.

Recommendations

Well-conducted RCTs comparing between the autogenous bone graft and Bio-Oss are required, because the 2 studies which our search based-on have a high risk of bias to give more accurate results and information about this object.
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