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ABSTRACT

Use of virtual reality simulation (VRS) settled a new era in dentistry, where a pre-operative virtual planning along with a surgical guide might benefit obtaining a prosthetically-driven precise implant positioning, particularly in problematic clinical scenarios.

Aim: A postulation aiming accuracy validation of the navigation system versus static guide technique for proper implant positioning.

Materials & methods: Regarding this clinical study, 14 patients were recruited with bounded partially edentulous spans in the maxilla. The patients were randomly assorted into 2 groups. The first group (control) is the static guide group (SG), while the second group (test group) is the dynamic navigation group (DN). After implant installation, a postoperative CBCT was obtained & the obtained image was superimposed over the original implant plan to reveal any deviation between previously proposed plan and actual implant position.

Results: Regarding linear deviation between previously proposed plan and actual implant position in both groups measured at both the coronal & apical areas, independent sample t test revealed statistically insignificant difference among the comparative groups. On the other hand, regarding the angular deviation, independent sample t test presented a statistically significant difference among the comparative groups.

Conclusion: The navigating Implantology system affords extremely precise navigation with diminished noticeable error regarding implant positioning. Furthermore, it permits properly transferred planning in an accurate prosthetically-driven manner.


INTRODUCTION

Dental implants turn out to be a conventional mean in restoring missing teeth. However, implant positioning must be congruent with the proposed prosthetic rehabilitation. If not, aimed successful outcomes might not be achieved. [1,2]
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Dental implantology proposed new materials and innovative technologies, which gathers traditional approaches and new teaching plans, for example the virtual reality systems. [3-5]

Different approaches have been created allowing for better assessment of the bone proportions, utilizing computer tomography (CT) or digital volume tomography (DVT). [6-12]

While dental implants proven to be an affordable treatment modality, their conductivity in problematic cases with bone insufficiency became more efficient. In addition, to achieve accurate and secured implant poisoning, computer-aided techniques have been established. [13-15]

Progresses of virtual reality simulation (VRS) approaches enable working in a more genuine environment that nearly approximates the actual practice with more predictable results. [16-18] To clarify, dental implantation assisted by navigation were established to enhance the precision less dangerous implant poisoning. [19-24]

Navigation could be performed in partially and completely edentulous cases for proper and secured implant poisoning. This represents an added value to overcome any unnecessary functional loading over the implants. Moreover, providing less possibility of dangerous encroachment onto any of adjacent anatomic structures. [25-29]

Several authors declared that, computerized navigation approaches enhance safety measurements in most of implant positioning modalities. [30-34]

**Null hypothesis was postulated that** no significant outcomes will be obtained when utilizing VRS regarding implant placement accuracy, throughout the entire examination period.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

In this clinical study, fourteen patients were recruited with bounded partially edentulous spans in the maxilla. The patients were randomly assorted into 2 groups. The first group (control) is the static guide group (SG), while the second group (test group) is the dynamic navigation group (DN). The patients required replacement of the missing teeth with an implant supported restoration.

For the control group (SG), every patient was scanned using CBCT scanning machine* and the patient model was scanned using an optical scanner** & The virtual plan was made using the BlueSky plan software***. The three-dimensional implant position was determined based on virtual tooth setup to obtain the best possible prosthetic driven implant location. Additionally, the dimensions of the implants used were adjusted based on the available bone volume and the proximity of vital landmarks.

After finalizing the plan, a 3D implant guide was designed with guide sleeve holes to guide the implant drilling and installation. The guide was printed using an LCD printer****. The printed guide was cleaned with isopropyl alcohol, cured and the metal sleeves were fixed with resin cement. (Fig. 1-3)

The guide was checked for seating and fit inside the patient mouth. The drilling sequence of the guided kit***** was followed according to the manufacturer instruction. The implants were inserted through the guide till the final seating position.

In the dynamic navigation group (DN), the DENTCAM tracking system# was utilized. The system is composed of a tracking camera mounted on the surgical handpiece, a calibration device for the drills, and a tracking computer with a monitor

---

* Planmeca, Finland.
** Dental wings scanner 7, Dental wings Inc, Canada.
*** BlueSky Bio, 800 Liberty Drive. Libertyville, IL 60048, USA.
**** Phrozen shuffle XL, Taiwan.
***** Simple guide plus, Dentis Co, Korea.
# DENACAM® System, mininavident, Gerberstrasse 5, 4410 Liestal, Switzerland.
mounted over the dental unit. The computer contains the Dentcam tracking software.

The workflow for using the Dentcam navigation starts with a CBCT scan for the patient while wearing a small sectional tray with DENTMARK marker. The marker is basically a rectangular structure with laser engraved optical pattern composed of rectangles and circles brought to a ceramic substrate of 10x15 mm in size. Two holes in the ceramic substrate guarantee an obvious non-disturbed identification of the position of the marker within the CBCT images.

The tray with the marker was customized and secured to the patient teeth using putty and light wash addition silicone*.

The DICOM of the obtained CBCT was manipulated using the Osseoview** implant planning software. The three-dimensional implant position was determined based on virtual tooth setup to obtain the best possible prosthetically-driven implant location. Furthermore, the dimensions of the implants used were adjusted based on the available bone volume and the proximity of vital landmarks. The final 3D plan was saved and imported to the Dentcam tracking software. (Fig. 4)
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At the surgical stage, the marker used for the CBCT was replaced over the patient teeth depending on the fit of the used silicone index. The tracking camera was mounted over the handpiece, so that the camera can be rotated along the handpiece long axis.

The best location that allows direct un-obstructed view of the tracking marker was adjusted and then the camera location was then locked. This location varied according to the implant surgical site as well as the operator grip. Once the camera position has been locked, the initial drill was mounted on the handpiece and the tip of the drill was then placed to touch the base of the calibration tool.

The tracking software was able to identify the bur dimensions and calculate the zero point for the drill entry. The drill is then translated to the surgical site close to the proposed position. The software started to give live streaming of the drill movements relative to the original plan. (Fig. 5)

Once the drill tip reached the proposed point of entry, the software activated a green circle and indicated the amount of linear and angular deviation from the plan.

The operator began to rotate the handpiece to obtain the best possible direction and then started the drilling. The drilling proceeded the software indicated the drilling depth till the final depth was reached. The same protocol of drill calibration and image guided drilling was continued for the whole drilling sequence. Finally, the implant was mounted on a rotary implant driver, calibrated, and inserted in the same navigation pattern. (Fig. 6)
After implant installation, a postoperative CBCT was obtained & the obtained image was superimposed over the original implant plan to establish both linear and angular deviancy between previously proposed plan and actual implant position. (Fig.7)

RESULTS

The linear variation between previously proposed plan and exact implant position in both groups was measured at both the coronal apical areas. For the coronal deviation the SG group showed a mean of 0.63±0.26 mm compared to 0.72±0.28 mm for the DN group. Independent sample t test postulated an insignificant statistical difference between the study groups (p=0.3939). similarly, the mean apical deviation in SG was 1.126±0.41 mm, compared to a mean of 1.22 ±0.459mm in the DN group. Independent sample t test revealed statistically insignificant difference between the comparative groups.

On the other hand, the mean angular deviation in the SG group 3.13±1.28 degree compared to 4.22±1.39 degrees in the DN group. Independent sample t test presented a statistically significant difference between the study groups (Mean difference= -1.1 SE=0.488, P=0.032, CI from -2.1026 to -0.0974)

TABLE (I): Coronal, Apical & Angular deviation of both groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Mean difference</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Confidence interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coronal deviation</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6307</td>
<td>0.2641</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.2805</td>
<td>-0.0893</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.3938</td>
<td>-0.3009 to 0.1224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apical deviation</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.1264</td>
<td>0.4113</td>
<td>1.2207</td>
<td>0.459</td>
<td>-0.0943</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td>0.572</td>
<td>-0.4329 to 0.2443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angular deviation</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.1286</td>
<td>1.2887</td>
<td>4.2286</td>
<td>1.392</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>0.488</td>
<td>0.0328</td>
<td>-2.1026 to -0.0974</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION

In the current research work, entire aspects that might disturb the osseointegration of implants were cautiously contemplated during patient selection. Those factors might be biological, mechanical or both. \[35-37\]

Fourteen patients of age extending from 35-45 years old were recruited with bounded partially edentulous spans in the maxilla in the current investigation to escape any variation in bone changes that might disturb the acquired outcomes. \[38\]

Any Uncooperative patients were omitted from the research, to ensure strict obligation to the oral hygiene measures and the consistent follow up schedules. \[39\]

Sufficient bone dimensions were assessed radiographically to warrant primary stability of the implant at the time of its placement. \[40-42\]

3D imaginings play a decisive role in implant navigation systems \[43\]. Studies revealed the efficacy of cone beam CT while planning of several dental approaches including implant positioning \[22\]. But the use of cone beam CT for assessment of dental implants revealed wide diversity of thoughts.

The expression “learning curve” denotes the buildup of skills through the duplication of any activity. However, no current study accurately postulated the effect of learning curves regarding implant navigation systems. \[44\]

In current study, we have tried to validate the accuracy and dependability of the implant navigation system to overcome limitations or shortcomings of the stable guide system regarding the heat generation during the drilling procedures, inaccessibility of drilling in posterior regions and finally, any error in planning procedures will be reflected on the actual implant positioning. \[45-47\]

The outcomes of the existent clinical research verified the null hypothesis previously created.

CONCLUSION

Regarding the limitations of the current study, involving the relatively small sample size, it might be stated that: Navigation Implantology system can afford high levels of accuracy with diminished noticeable error regarding implant positioning.
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