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ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate the effect of application of delayed split expansion technique without guided bone regeneration for implant placement in narrow posterior mandibular ridge.

Patients and methods: Fourteen patients with narrow posterior mandibular ridge were included in this prospective study. All patients treated by a delayed expansion technique with implant placement, receiving a total of thirty implants. All patients were evaluated clinically and radiographically by multi-slice CT scan. The width of the alveolar ridge was measured before implantation, immediately after implantation, and after 6 months. Marginal bone loss was calculated buccally and lingually as the difference between bone height measurements immediately post-implant insertion and after 6 months.

Results: The average increase of the alveolar ridge width was 4.22±0.94 mm after 6 months, which showed a high statistically significant value (P ≤0.001). The mean values of buccal and lingual marginal bone loss were 0.403±0.26 and 0.217±0.20 mm respectively, which showed statistically non-significant values (P=0.087 and P= 0.092 respectively).

Conclusion: The use of delayed expansion technique without guided bone regeneration is a simple efficient technique for horizontal augmentation of the narrow posterior mandibular ridge for implant placement. It offers less incidence of complications, less morbidity, and less cost than other augmentation techniques. It represents a modification of the conventional technique and is especially interesting for those patients with highly compact bone and initial insufficient bone ridge width for implant placement.
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INTRODUCTION

Deficiency of the width of the alveolar ridge can jeopardize insertion of the dental implants. Therefore, reconstruction of the narrow alveolar ridge is mandatory from the biomechanical point of view. Various surgical techniques including guided tissue regeneration, bone blocks, ridge splitting techniques and distraction osteogenesis have been introduced for reconstruction of narrow ridges.\textsuperscript{1,3} The alveolar ridge splitting technique is considered as one of the most successful augmentation techniques that has reported significant success rates and good outcomes on the long-term.\textsuperscript{4}

Tatum is the pioneer of introducing ridge splitting technique for root form implants.\textsuperscript{5} This technique is suitable for horizontal deficiencies in cases of 3 to 6 mm bucco-lingual bone thickness with at least 1 mm of cancellous bone between the two cortical plates. This guarantees 1.5 mm thickness of cortical and cancellous bone on both sides of the split ridge which allows bone expansion, proper blood supply and good instrumentation.\textsuperscript{1}

The alveolar ridge splitting involves a longitudinal osteotomy using hand instruments, microsaws, piezosurgery or an ultrasonic device for induction of a controlled greenstick fracture between the cortical plates.\textsuperscript{6-9} The buccal cortical plate can be laterally positioned by osteotomes, screw spreaders or horizontal spreaders and chisels. This space is spontaneously filled with newly formed bone as in the healing process of an extraction socket.\textsuperscript{10} This technique enables immediate or late dental implants installation, with or without the use of biomaterials, which improve regeneration between fractured cortices, working as a framework.\textsuperscript{11}

The crest split technique is privileged with many advantages over other traditional augmentation techniques; the space created by expansion heals similar to the healing process of an extraction socket. It enables immediate implant placement and eliminates the need for bone harvesting reducing time and morbidity.\textsuperscript{12} In spite of being more appropriate for maxillary ridge, the crest split technique can be also performed in posterior mandibular region as long as the satisfactory conditions exist.\textsuperscript{13}

On the other hand, uncontrolled fracture or avascular necrosis may result from split technique, especially in areas with thick cortical plates and less flexibility such as posterior mandible.\textsuperscript{14} One of the reported major surgical complications after ridge splitting is the buccal cortical plate fracture.\textsuperscript{1,15} Therefore, a staged split ridge expansion was introduced to preclude mal-fracture of the osteotomized mandibular buccal plate. The staged split expansion technique consists of expanding the bone in two consecutive stages.\textsuperscript{16} It precludes the harvesting of autogenous bone and the need of fixing a block with screws. Additionally, the incidence of uncontrolled fracture as well as the avascular necrosis could be minimized.\textsuperscript{4}

This study was done to evaluate the delayed expansion technique for augmentation of the alveolar ridge with implant placement in the posterior region of the mandible without adding bone grafts.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This study was performed on fourteen patients with a long span, partially edentulous narrow posterior mandibular ridges who attended the outpatient clinic, Oral Surgery Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Mansoura University.

The study followed the Declaration of Helsinki on medical protocol and ethics and was approved by the Ethical Review Board of Mansoura University. All patients were informed about the risks and benefits of the procedure and they provided written informed consents for the treatment plan.

Patients’ selection:17

This study included patients with adequate bone height in the edentulous posterior mandibular region (at least 10 mm), but narrow buccolingual
ridge dimension ranging from 3 to 5 mm that was not sufficient for placement of conventional dental implants of desirable width and in a correct angulation.

Patients suffering any systemic contraindications to surgery such as severe renal or liver disease, bleeding and clotting disorders, and uncontrolled diabetes, history of radiation in head and neck region, active chemotherapy, deficient bone height (only ASA classes I and II were included), smoking more than 15 cigarettes/day and active periodontal disease of the adjacent tooth were excluded.

Pre-operative phase:

The assessment of the ridge was done with the help of clinical intraoral examination, and radiographic examination using multi-slice computed tomography (MSCT) scans.

(The CT for the patients are refereed in the “MEASUREMENT OF THE OUTCOMES” section AS FIGURE 2)

Surgical phase:

First stage: Before surgical intervention, rinsing with anti-septic mouthwash rinse was performed, also lips and perioral area were swaped with chlorhexidine. The surgical field was anesthetized using Mepivacaine Hydrochloride 2% and Levonordefrin 1:20,000. A full-thickness mucoperiosteal flap was elevated to expose mandibular alveolar ridge. The crestal incision was done rather lingually so as to compensate for the increase in ridge width subsequent to the ridge splitting. The lingual flap was minimally reflected in order to maintain proper blood supply to the bone. (Figure 1A)

Afterwards, a piezo-surgery device was used for a crestal osteotomy which started 2 mm away from the adjacent tooth.

According to the number of implants and the space between the implants planned to be placed, the extension of the horizontal osteotomy was determined. Thereafter, two vertical osteotomies of about two thirds the length of the proposed dental implants were performed at the mesially and distally to the horizontal osteotomy. The vertical cuts completely transected the buccal cortical plate into the marrow space without any expansion of the cortical plates. (Figure 1B) Then, the mucoperiosteal flap was approximated allowing the region of the corticotomies to heal (for development of soft callus) for 4 weeks. Neither buccal bone displacement nor expansion was performed in this stage, to allow healing process between the splitted bone, similar to that occurring in the extraction sockets. (Figure 1C)

Second stage: A crestal incision was done exposing only the alveolar crest without any extension to the buccal wall, and the amount of the formed bone was noticed. Minimum reflection of the buccal flap was done for maintaining the blood supply to the buccal cortical plate. Using a small chisel, the segmented bone was carefully separated and mobilized so as to provoke a greenstick fracture.

Screw type expanders were used to achieve lateral expansion. Thereafter, expanders of progressive sizes were introduced to increase the gap between the cortices to achieve the desired amount of horizontal ridge expansion. (Figure 1D)

---
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The implant sites were prepared by using twist drills and the implants* were inserted in the osteotomy sites considering their shoulder to be flushed with the level of bone crest. (Figure 1E) According to the measured bone width and height on MSCT, the implant sizes were determined. For all cases, simple interrupted suturing with 3–0 braided black non-resorbable silk sutures was performed to achieve tension-free soft tissue closure.

**Post-surgical phase:**

Postoperative care included cold compresses over the lower lip applied for 20 min every hour for 6 h postoperatively. The patient was kept on a clear fluid diet for the first 24 hours, then a soft diet was maintained for the following day. Patients were advised to rinse the mouth with chlorhexidine gluconate 0.2% solution 2-3 times a day for a week.

Patients were instructed to take antibiotic Amoxicillin with Clavulanate potassium** 1mg twice daily for 5 days and chymotrypsin*** 0.375mg 3 times daily for 7 days. Diclofenac sodium**** was prescribed once daily for pain relief when needed. Sutures were removed 10-14 days postoperatively.

---

**Augmentin® 1 g Tablet by Galaxosmithkline co ltd, USA.
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After four months of the surgical procedure, the healing abutments were placed for two weeks, then removed, and a closed tray impression was made using silicon impression material (putty and light body) with implant analogues/implant copings in position. The final prosthesis was prepared and cemented on the abutment after establishing proper occlusion. (Figure 1F)

**Measurement of the outcomes:**

Using MSCT and the software mentioned before, both ridge width and height were measured in millimeters as follows:

**A. Ridge width** was measured 2 mm under crest pre-operatively (T0) (Figure 2A), immediately after implant screw placement (T1) (Figure 2B) and after 6 months (T2) to calculate the gain in ridge width (Figure 2C).

**B. Marginal bone height** was measured on the buccal and lingual aspects of the implant immediately after its insertion (Figure 3A) (B0 and L0 respectively), and after 6 months of implant insertion (B1 and L1 respectively), to assess the amount of crestal bone loss. (Figure 3B)

The marginal bone loss was calculated using the implant as a reference by adjusting the cross-sectional view in its center and bisecting it (showing...
the buccolingual dimensions). Parallel to the implant, a line was drawn starting at the crest of the buccal plate of bone and ending at the apical level of the implant. This measurement was repeated for the lingual cortical plate.

**Statistical analysis**

Finally, the Statistical Package of Social Science (SPSS) program for Windows (Standard version 21) was used for analysis of the recorded data. The Shapiro test was first used to test the normality of data. Qualitative data were described in terms of number and percent.

Continuous variables were presented as mean ± SD (standard deviation) for normally distributed data. The two paired groups were compared with paired t test while more than two groups were compared with repeated measured ANOVA test. The threshold of significance is fixed at 5% level for all of the statistical tests, considering the results significant only when p ≤ 0.05. The smaller the p-value obtained, the more significant are the results.

**RESULTS**

Thirty dental implants were inserted in 14 patients (4 males and 10 females) with a long span, partially edentulous narrow posterior mandibular ridges who attended the outpatient clinic, Oral Surgery Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Mansoura University. The age and sex distribution of patients as well as the specifications of the implants involved in this study are mentioned in **Table 1**.

The healing process was uneventful. None of the cases recorded dehiscence of the suture, wound infection or total fracture of the buccal plate. All patients had acceptable function of the implant-supported prostheses. Interestingly, no implant was lost during the whole study and all implants were osseointegrated. **(Figure 4)** and in function with mean survival rate of 100%. Clinically, the intercortical bony gap seemed to be filled by newly formed bone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sociodemographic data and implant specifications size</th>
<th>Study group (n=30)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>34.37±7.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min-Max</td>
<td>19-47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>4 (28.57 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>10 (71.43 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Implant specifications size (mm)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td>4.17±0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td>9.73±1.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table (1)** Sociodemographic data and implant specifications size among studied group

![Fig. (4) Panoramic view showing successfully integrated dental implants.](image237)

**A. Ridge width**

The pre-operative ridge width values (T0) ranged from 3.2 mm to 4.8 mm with mean and standard deviation of 4.04±0.51. Immediately after the split technique (T1), these values ranged from 6.8 mm to 10.8 mm, with mean and standard deviation of 8.47±1.06. After 6 months of implant insertion, ridge width values (T2) ranged from 6.6 mm to 10.6 mm, with mean and standard deviation of 8.27±1.01.

Referring to the pre-operative ridge width (T0), there was 4.22±0.94 mm mean gain of the healed
augmented alveolar crest width after 6 months, which showed high statistically significant increase (P<0.001) when comparing T0 with T2. After 6 months, the values of the ridge width (T2) showed slight decrease than those measured immediately after the split technique (T1). This recorded statistically insignificant difference (P=0.109). (Table 2 and Figure 5).

**B. Marginal bone loss**

Regarding the mean buccal marginal bone loss, it was 0.403±0.26 mm, and the mean lingual marginal bone loss was **0.217±0.20 mm**. The statistical analysis showed insignificant decrease in buccal and lingual bone heights at 6 months postoperatively when compared to the immediate postoperative bone heights (P=0.087, P=0.092 respectively). (Tables 3 & 4 and Figure 6).

**TABLE (2): Showing changes in bone ridge width within the postoperative follow up period:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bone Ridge Width (mm)</th>
<th>Bone Ridge Width (mm)</th>
<th>Width Gain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T0</td>
<td>T1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>4.04±0.51</td>
<td>8.47±1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min-Max</td>
<td>3.20-4.80</td>
<td>6.80-10.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Repeated measured ANOVA test: F=571, p≤0.001**
Paired t test: P1≤0.001**, P2≤0.001**, P3=0.109

**T0= initial (presplit) buccolingual bone width**

**T1= post-split (immediate postoperative) bone width**

**T2= final bone width (after 6 months)**

****highly significant p≤0.001

**P1: Comparison between T0 and T1**

**P2: Comparison between T0 and T2**

**P3: Comparison between T1 and T2**

**TABLE (3): Showing changes in buccal bone height within the postoperative follow up period**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Buccal Bone Height</th>
<th>Buccal Bone Height</th>
<th>Crestal bone loss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>8.71±1.71</td>
<td>8.54±1.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Paired t test: P value t=1.77, p=0.087

**B0= postoperative buccal bone height**

**B1= buccal bone height after 6 months**

**TABLE (4): Showing changes in lingual bone height within the postoperative follow up period**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lingual Bone Height</th>
<th>Lingual Bone Height</th>
<th>Crestal bone loss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>9.01±1.61</td>
<td>8.92±1.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Paired t test: P value t=1.74, p=0.092

**L0= postoperative lingual bone height**

**L1= lingual bone height after 6 months**
DISCUSSION

Prosthetic rehabilitation of patients with atrophic ridge is a common problem in oral and maxillofacial surgery.\(^{18-20}\) It is a well-known fact that after tooth loss, the alveolar ridge undergoes bone resorption with more reduction of the ridge width bucco-lingually initially rather than the ridge height.\(^{21}\) The degree of bone resorption in the mandible is more extensive than that in the maxilla, moreover, the posterior segments show higher grades of resorption than the anterior segments.\(^{22,23}\) Since the deficiency of the residual ridge width can complicate the implant procedures, the goal of this study was to correct narrow posterior mandible facilitating the placement of large diameter implants by an alternative simple low-cost technique.

In the current study, the delayed expansion technique permitted the placement of the conventional dental implants without fracturing the buccal cortical plate of bone during the expansion procedures. This could be achieved by performing the vertical and apical horizontal osteotomies during the first stage of the surgery without expanding the bone, which was postponed to the second stage of surgery to decrease risk of fracture. This was in accordance with many studies which emphasized the formation of flexible immature bone callus at the sites of corticotomy after a staged approach, permitting the splitting procedure.\(^{17,24}\) Preservation of the lingual periosteum in the first stage and the buccal periosteum in the second stage of surgery maintained adequate blood supply to the bone. Since this technique was performed in two stages, the site of the greenstick fracture could be predicted and predetermined.\(^{25}\)

Piezoelectric device was used to perform corticotomies in this study. Its positive effects on bone viability have been reported by several studies in oral surgery.\(^{26,27}\) Consideration of the biological impact of mechanical instruments on the bone structure as well as the cells viability is important in regenerative surgery.\(^{28,29}\) Comparable implant success rates were recorded in alveolar ridges split with piezoelectric surgery and in intact ridges.\(^{30}\)

Two stage split technique was the technique of choice in this study although conventional immediate delayed expansion technique (one stage) appeared to be a predictable and efficient method for horizontal reconstruction of narrow alveolar ridges as shown by many studies.\(^{31,32}\) However, one stage technique was recommended to be more suitable for maxilla rather than posterior mandibular region due to the dynamic viscoelasticity of bone.\(^{17}\) Accordingly, since the mandibular bone is more dense than the maxilla, its viscoelastic nature decreases, yielding the bone expansion more difficult.\(^{33}\)

![Fig. (5) Changes in bone ridge width within the post-operative follow-up period.](image1)

![Fig. (6): Changes in the buccal and lingual bone heights within the postoperative follow-up period.](image2)
The delayed expansion technique used in this study seemed to overcome the reported complications of immediate split in mandible as no cases showed fracture of buccal bone or avascular necrosis, this is similar to Chauhan H et al study\textsuperscript{17} who compared immediate and delayed expansion techniques. They reported some disadvantages of the immediate split technique like mal-fracture of bone segments, implant instability and unsatisfactory placement of the implant buccolingually while delayed technique averted all of these complications.

In this study, the width of the alveolar ridge significantly increased by 4.22±0.94 mm on average, ranging from 2.20-5.90 mm, which is similar to the results obtained by Abu Tair\textsuperscript{34} who reported 3mm average gain in ridge width after staged split of mandibular edentulous areas. This was also in agreement with Anitua et al\textsuperscript{35} who evaluated the two-stage split crest technique for controlled mandibular expansion and obtained mean crest expansion of 7.10 mm. The author concluded that the staged approach provides 2-3 folds increase in the ridge width. Kheur et al\textsuperscript{25} obtained similar results with the same technique.

This study showed statistically insignificant decrease in buccal and lingual marginal bone level (0.403±0.26 mm&0.217±0.20 mm respectively). Similarly, Sethi and Kaus\textsuperscript{36} who successfully expanded atrophic ridges to 5-6 mm wide by two stage technique obtained minimal bone loss at crest after 1 year. This decrease in marginal bone level with two-stage technique can be attributed to the predetermined location of the greenstick fracture and preserving blood perfusion for the buccal cortical plate which in turn reduces the bone resorption. Another study explained that pushing the designed trapezoid bone buccally, vascularization assures the nutrition supply to the bone block, eliminating the risk of necrosis and absorption.\textsuperscript{37} However, the result of this study did not agree with Gonzalez-Garcia et al\textsuperscript{38} who achieved only 0.5 mm loss of the alveolar bone with single stage split osteotomy. They believed that the staged ridge splitting technique was unnecessary. However, they confirmed that these findings should not be applied to the mandible, since its bone nature has shown less predictable results.

Many researchers have used different bone substitutes during ridge expansion procedures in order to fill the residual gap between the buccal and lingual plates of bone, or to augment the external alveolar crest contour. Additionally, these regions were covered by different types of membranes.\textsuperscript{39-41} This was not in accordance with the current study which involved neither bone substitutes nor membranes. Referring to the original ridge expansion technique, bone substitutes are not expected to be used and the space obtained after ridge expansion is maintained by the implant itself allowing a healing process similar to that occurring in the extraction sockets. In the present study, the protocol of Agabiti et al\textsuperscript{42} was followed. They performed a two-stage ridge-split at narrow alveolar mandibular bone ridges without using any bone substitutes. An integration of various techniques was proposed by Bruschi and Scipioni\textsuperscript{43} who used split-thickness flaps, bone releasing incisions, and immediate implant installation, precluding any grafts or membranes in the technique which was termed “edentulous ridge expansion”.

No implant was lost throughout the period of this study obtaining 100% implant survival rate. The high implant survival rate obtained in this study with delayed split expansion technique is comparable to lateral ridge augmentation with autogenous bone block graft as reported by Altiparmak N et al,\textsuperscript{44} who obtained 100% implant survival rate after 38.3 months following split crest technique compared to 92.9% after 31.6 months following lateral ridge augmentation with autogenous bone block graft. Similarly, a comparative review of Starch-Jensen and Becktor revealed a high implant stability and high implant survival rate with split crest technique with no significant difference compared to lateral ridge augmentation with autogenous bone block.\textsuperscript{45}
The delayed expansion technique described herein showed to be a suitable alternative to reconstruct extremely narrow mandibular ridge, without harvesting bone from a second surgical site, decreasing morbidity and post-operative complications, meanwhile it provided a greater capacity for bone expansion rather than other aggressive bone harvesting techniques. Other studies reported favorable results by using onlay bone grafting in addition to growth factors. However invasive surgical procedures were used to obtain the graft, and implant placement was delayed for 3–6 months. After 6 months, 20% to 50% of the cases reported lateral onlay bone graft resorption. Regarding the guided bone regeneration procedures, membrane collapse and exposure with subsequent infection were recorded as the major drawbacks. Using the two stage split expansion technique without guided bone regeneration overcomes these drawbacks and provides sufficient bone width for successful implant placement with comparable results to other augmentation techniques.

In summary, the use of delayed expansion technique in this study was very efficient for horizontal augmentation of narrow posterior mandibular ridge. Neither bone grafts nor membranes were used. The technique provided an adequate amount of bony base for placement of wider diameter implants with high implant survival rate, offering no complications, less morbidity and cost than other augmentation techniques.

CONCLUSION

The use of delayed expansion technique without guided bone regeneration is a simple efficient technique for horizontal augmentation of narrow posterior mandibular ridge for implant placement. It offers less incidence of complications, less morbidity and cost than other augmentation techniques. It represents a modification of the conventional technique and is especially interesting for those patients with highly compact bone and initial insufficient bone ridge width for implant placement.

RECOMMENDATION

More studies with a larger number of cases and longer periods of follow-up are necessary to confirm the results.

ABBREVIATIONS

- GBR: Guided bone regeneration.
- MSCT: Multi-slice spiral computed tomography.
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