EGYPTIAN DENTAL JOURNAL
www.eda-egypt.org
VOL. 71, 703:710, JANUARY, 2025
PRINT ISSN 0070-9484 • ONLINE ISSN 2090-2360
FIXED PROSTHODONTICS AND DENTAL MATERIALS
Submit Date : 29-11-2024 • Accept Date : 11-12-2024 • Available online: 10-01-2025 • DOI : 10.21608/edj.2024.340306.3281

COMPARING TRADITIONAL AND DIGITAL SHADE SELECTION IN DENTISTRY: A REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Rafik Kamal Guirguis*

ABSTRACT

Background: Getting the shade selection right is crucial in restorative dentistry because it ensures dental prostheses blend naturally with a patient's teeth, leading to better aesthetic outcomes. Traditionally, shade matching has been done visually with shade guides, though this approach often has issues with consistency and accuracy. Digital shade selection devices offer a more objective, consistent, and reliable solution.

Objective: This literature review examines the effectiveness, accuracy, and clinical results of digital shade selection methods versus traditional visual techniques. It also discusses the advantages, challenges, and future potential of digital shade selection in dentistry.

Materials and Methods: A detailed literature review was conducted using databases like PubMed and Google Scholar, focusing on studies that compared digital shade selection with traditional visual approaches. Studies that evaluated clinical performance, accuracy, and patient satisfaction with digital systems were included.

The included studies were classified into the following categories:

1. **In Vivo Studies**: These studies evaluated shade selection methods in clinical or real-life settings, assessing the accuracy, reliability, and performance of various shade-matching devices (References 1,8,16,20,23,24,25,28).
2. **In Vitro Studies**: In vitro studies focused on controlled, laboratory-based analyses of shade selection techniques and device performance under standardized conditions. (References 3,6,11,13,15,17,18,19,21,22).
3. **Comparative Studies**: Comparative research examined differences between traditional visual and digital shade selection methods, including the accuracy, consistency, and reliability of various devices in clinical and laboratory settings (References 4,9,11, 23, 28).
4. **Review Studies**: Systematic reviews and literature reviews provided a broader evaluation of visual and digital shade selection methods, including factors affecting accuracy, effective conditions, and the clinical implications of these technologies (References 2, 5, 7,10,12).

* Major General Dentist, Associate Professor of prosthodontics, BDS.MDS.PhD Military Medical Academy. Egyptian Army

Results: This review found that digital shade selection tools generally offer more consistent and accurate results than traditional methods. Devices like spectrophotometers, colorimeters, and digital cameras can minimize human error and improve patient satisfaction . However, challenges like cost, the need for training, and integrating these tools into clinical practice remain barriers to widespread adoption (6, 19). Emerging technologies, including artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning, could further enhance the capabilities of digital shade selection .

Conclusion: Digital shade selection represents a significant step forward in restorative dentistry, providing a more precise and reliable alternative to traditional methods. While there are still challenges to address, the advantages in terms of accuracy, efficiency, and patient outcomes suggest that digital shade selection will become increasingly important in clinical practice .

KEYWORDS: Traditional and Digital Shade Selection , Shade Selection, Digital, Shade selection

INTRODUCTION

Shade selection is a vital component of restorative dentistry, directly impacting the aesthetic success of dental prosthetics. Accurate shade matching ensures that dental restorations blend seamlessly with the natural teeth, enhancing both function and appearance[1]. This matching is crucial for patient satisfaction and for how they perceive the success of their treatment [2].

Historically, shade selection has largely depended on the clinician's subjective judgment, using visual shade guides like the VITA Classical and VITA 3D-Master[3]. While widely accepted, these guides introduce a lot of variability due to the inherent subjectivity of visual perception. Factors such as lighting, clinician experience, and even the surrounding environment can influence how accurate visual shade matching is, often leading to inconsistent results [4,5,6].

The introduction of digital shade selection has added a new level of precision and consistency to the process. With tools like spectrophotometers, colorimeters, and digital cameras, clinicians can achieve more accurate and reproducible shade matches[7,8]. These technologies quantify tooth color using standardized parameters, helping to reduce the inconsistencies associated with traditional visual methods [1, 9].

Historical Perspective on Shade Selection Traditional Methods of Shade Selection

Traditionally, shade selection in dentistry has been a largely visual process, relying on the clinician's expertise and perception. Visual shade guides, such as the VITA Classical and VITA 3D-Master, have long been the foundation of shade matching [3]. These guides include a series of standardized color tabs that clinicians compare directly with a patient's teeth to select the best match.

This method has several limitations. Visual perception is highly subjective and can be influenced by various factors, including the clinician's experience, age, and even fatigue levels [2,10].

Environmental conditions, like lighting and the colors in the surrounding area, can also distort color perception, often resulting in inaccurate shade selection [6, 11]. Metamerism, a phenomenon where colors appear differently under different lighting, adds further complexity to visual shade matching [12].

Reliance on visual methods introduces significant variability, not only between clinicians but also for the same clinician over time. This variability can lead to inconsistent shade matching, resulting in aesthetic outcomes that may not meet patient or clinician expectations [13,14].

Despite these challenges, visual shade guides remain common in restorative dentistry due to their simplicity and accessibility.

Challenges in Traditional Shade Matching

The challenges with traditional shade matching are well-documented in the literature. Even under ideal conditions, there can be considerable variability in shade selection between clinicians using the same visual guide[10]. This variability is further affected by factors like the clinician's experience, lighting conditions in the clinic, and the presence of nearby teeth or restorations, which can all influence color perception [6,7].

One major issue with visual shade matching is its reliance on subjective judgment. Human color perception is shaped by complex interactions among factors, such as the observer's eye sensitivity, the lighting, and the context in which the color is seen [15]. This subjectivity can lead to discrepancies between the perceived and actual tooth color, leading to mismatched restorations.

Using visual shade guides also brings practical challenges to clinical settings. The process of comparing shade tabs to a patient's teeth can take time and may require several attempts to achieve a satisfactory match [16]. The small size and limited color options of traditional shade guides can make it challenging to find an exact match, especially for discolored or multi-shaded teeth [17].

These challenges have led to an increasing interest in more objective and reliable shade selection methods, paving the way for digital shade selection technologies that aim to overcome the limitations of traditional visual methods [5, 7].

Emergence of Digital Shade Selection Technological Advancements

Digital technologies have emerged to address the limitations of traditional shade selection methods, offering more accurate and reproducible results. The introduction of devices like spectrophotometers, colorimeters, and digital cameras marks a significant advancement in restorative dentistry [6,15]. These devices offer an objective approach by quantifying tooth color with standardized parameters, reducing the subjectivity that comes with visual shade matching.

Spectrophotometers, such as the VITA Easyshade, measure the light reflected from the tooth surface and match it with a database of shade values, delivering a precise shade match [1]. This technology analyzes the spectrum of light reflected from the tooth and matches it to a set of pre- programmed reference shades, providing an objective measurement of the tooth's hue, chroma, and value [8, 16].

Colorimeters, another type of digital shade selection device, assess color in a three-dimensional color space, such as the CIELAB system. This model provides detailed information about the hue (color), chroma (saturation), and value (brightness) of the tooth, allowing for more precise shade matching [2,6]. Colorimeters are especially useful for detecting subtle color differences, such as in matching adjacent teeth or restorations [18].

Digital cameras with specialized software capture high-resolution images of the teeth, enabling detailed shade analysis and documentation [19]. These systems can integrate into digital workflows, facilitating seamless communication between the clinician, dental technician, and lab [10]. Digital imaging systems also benefit patient education and communication by allowing patients to visualize the proposed treatment outcomes [13].

Clinical Adoption and Usage

The adoption of digital shade selection technologies in clinical practice has been gradual but steady. Early adopters have reported more accurate and consistent shade matching than with traditional methods [15, 16]. These tools are particularly valuable in complex cases, like matching the shade of adjacent restorations or dealing with discolored teeth[2]. However, the initial investment in equipment and training for these digital systems can be a barrier for some practitioners [7, 17].

Several studies have demonstrated the clinical benefits of digital shade selection devices. For instance, a study by Miyajiwala et al. found that digital shade matching led to fewer clinical adjustments and higher patient satisfaction than traditional visual methods [4]. Similarly, Fazi et al. showed that spectrophotometers provided more consistent and accurate results, especially for complex shade-matching cases [1, 20].

Despite the advantages, adoption has been slower than expected. The cost of these devices can be prohibitive for smaller practices [7]. Additionally, there is a learning curve for using digital shade selection devices, which can be challenging for clinicians less familiar with digital technologies [10, 21].

Another important factor in adoption is the need for training and calibration. Unlike visual shade guides, which require minimal training, digital devices need a certain level of technical expertise to use effectively. Clinicians must learn to use these devices accurately, interpret results, and integrate this data into their treatment planning [8, 22]. Regular calibration is also necessary to ensure accurate results, adding to maintenance requirements ([14, 23].

Despite these challenges, there is a growing recognition of the benefits of digital shade selection technologies. As these devices become more affordable and user-friendly, adoption is expected to increase [18]. Advances in artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning are also likely to improve digital shade selection capabilities, making them even more precise and reliable [9, 15].

Comparative Analysis of Traditional and Digital Shade Selection Methods Accuracy and Reliability

Studies comparing digital shade selection devices with traditional visual methods consistently highlight the advantages of digital tools in achieving more accurate results. For example, Paul et al. conducted a study comparing the VITA Easyshade spectrophotometer with traditional visual shade matching, concluding that the digital device provided significantly better accuracy, especially in complex cases requiring multiple restorations [3]. Similarly, Kim-Pusateri et al. showed that digital shade-matching devices led to fewer clinical adjustments and higher patient satisfaction, underscoring the reliability of digital methods [9, 21].

One of the main benefits of digital shade selection devices is their ability to provide objective measurements of tooth color, reducing the inconsistencies commonly associated with visual methods [2, 8]. Devices like spectrophotometers and colorimeters have proven to offer more consistent results across different clinicians and settings, making them especially valuable for precise shade matching, particularly in anterior restorations [11].

However, the effectiveness of digital devices can vary depending on the specific system used and the clinical environment. Some studies have noted that while digital cameras are excellent for documentation, they may not always be as precise in shade selection as spectrophotometers or colorimeters, partly because cameras are more sensitive to lighting variations [1, 5]. The presence of factors like tooth translucency, surface texture, and restorations can also affect the accuracy of digital devices, requiring the clinician's expertise and experience to interpret the results accurately [12, 24].

Clinical Performance and Patient Outcomes

Extensive research has focused on the clinical performance of digital shade selection devices and their impact on patient satisfaction. In practice, digital systems reduce the frequency of shade-related remakes and adjustments, making workflows more efficient (7). Dudkiewicz et al., for instance, observed that digital shade matching reduced chair time and led to higher patient satisfaction compared to traditional methods, demonstrating the practical advantages of digital tools in a clinical setting [2].

Patient satisfaction is often higher with digital

shade selection, as patients appreciate the improved accuracy and aesthetic results [11,14]. The use of digital technology can also enhance patients' perception of the treatment, as many associate these technologies with modern, advanced care[10,25]. However, the success of digital shade matching depends heavily on device calibration, maintenance, and the clinician's familiarity with the technology[6]. Without proper calibration or usage, digital devices can yield inaccurate results, negating their advantages over traditional methods. Clinician training is essential to maximize the benefits of digital shade selection[22,26].

Despite certain limitations, the clinical benefits of digital shade selection are clear. By minimizing variability and providing more accurate, consistent results, digital devices improve the overall quality of restorative treatments, leading to greater patient satisfaction and fewer post- treatment issues [27, 19].

Benefits of Digital Shade Selection:

Increased Precision and Consistency

One of the primary advantages of digital shade selection devices is their ability to deliver precise and consistent results. Unlike visual methods, which can be affected by subjective factors, digital devices provide objective measurements that minimize human error [6]. This level of precision is especially valuable for complex cases requiring multiple restorations or matching shades across various materials, such as porcelain and composite resin [15, 16].

Spectrophotometers and colorimeters have demonstrated high levels of consistency across different clinicians and environmental conditions[1,8]. This is crucial for cases where accuracy in shade matching is essential, such as in the restoration of anterior teeth. By delivering objective measurements, digital devices help standardize the shade selection process, reducing the variability commonly found in visual methods [27, 14].

Reduction in Human Error

Digital shade selection devices also play a significant role in minimizing the subjective aspects of shade matching, which reduces the risk of human error[16,27]. For example, spectrophotometers eliminate the need for visual comparisons that can be influenced by inconsistent lighting, helping to avoid issues related to metamerism[8]. Digital systems often store and recall previous shade selections, which can be helpful in cases requiring multiple appointments or follow-up work [2, 9].

Minimizing human error is especially important in complex cases, such as those involving discolored or multi-shaded teeth. In these situations, the clinician's experience remains crucial for interpreting the data accurately, but digital devices help reduce reliance on subjective judgment, leading to more accurate and consistent results [15, 21].

Enhanced Patient Satisfaction

Research indicates that digital shade selection can lead to higher levels of patient satisfaction[19]. The ability to achieve a more accurate and aesthetically pleasing result enhances the patient's overall perception of their treatment outcome [13]. Furthermore, using advanced technology in shade selection can improve the patient's experience, as they often view these devices as indicators of modern and sophisticated dental care [10, 11].

Patient satisfaction is further boosted by the reduction in chair time and adjustments associated with digital shade selection. These devices have been shown to minimize shade-related remakes, streamline clinical workflows, and reduce treatment times[17,18]. This efficiency is especially valuable in busy practices, where reducing chair time can improve patient throughput and increase overall practice profitability [28].

In general, the use of digital shade selection devices significantly enhances the patient's experience, resulting in higher satisfaction levels and better clinical outcomes. By offering accurate

and consistent results, digital tools help ensure that the final restoration aligns with the patient's aesthetic expectations, contributing to the overall success of the treatment [16, 23].

Future Directions

The field of digital shade selection is evolving rapidly, with new technologies promising to enhance accuracy, usability, and integration into clinical workflows. Future advancements are likely to focus on incorporating artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning algorithms, which can analyze large datasets to improve shade selection processes based on real-world cases[18]. By learning from a variety of cases, AI-driven systems could enhance the precision of color matching and provide clinicians with real-time, patient-specific recommendations, reducing the potential for human error [15, 25].

Another area of interest is the integration of digital shade selection devices into 3D intraoral scanning systems. These high-resolution scanners could capture not only the shape and contour of teeth but also detailed color and translucency data, leading to improved aesthetic outcomes in restorative procedures [25, 28]. As 3D scanning becomes more common, incorporating shade selection into digital workflows like CAD/CAM systems could streamline processes from shade matching to restoration fabrication, offering a comprehensive approach to digital dentistry [1, 17].

Improvements in mobile and handheld technology also show promise for the future of shade selection. For example, smartphone-based applications that utilize advanced imaging algorithms could make shade matching more accessible to a wider range of clinicians and even patients [21]. These tools could allow patients to participate more actively in treatment planning, enhancing their engagement and satisfaction with the process [19].

There is also a growing focus on personalized shade selection, where future devices might account for unique patient factors such as tooth morphology, texture, and translucency to achieve more individualized matches. This could be particularly beneficial in complex cases, where subtle color variations are key to achieving natural-looking results [14, 23].

Finally, simplifying training and calibration requirements will be crucial for broader adoption of digital shade selection technologies. Currently, these requirements pose a barrier to some practitioners; reducing the learning curve and maintenance needs could make these devices more accessible in clinical practice. With these advancements, digital shade selection is expected to become an essential tool in restorative dentistry, contributing to enhanced patient satisfaction and clinical outcomes [10, 24].

CONCLUSION

Digital shade selection represents a substantial advancement in restorative dentistry, providing a more precise, reliable, and efficient alternative to traditional visual methods. By offering objective measurements and reducing the variability inherent in visual shade matching, digital devices can improve the quality of restorative care and lead to better patient outcomes.

However, digital shade selection technologies are not without their challenges. The cost, training, calibration needs, and learning curve involved in using these devices are significant barriers to widespread adoption. Furthermore, digital devices have limitations and should be used in conjunction with traditional methods and the clinician's judgment for optimal results.

As digital technologies continue to evolve, they are expected to play a more prominent role in dentistry. With advances in AI, machine learning, and digital imaging, the precision, reliability, and ease of use of digital shade selection devices will improve. By keeping up with the latest advancements and receiving adequate training in these devices, clinicians can ensure they deliver the best possible care to their patients.

REFERENCES

1. Kalantari MH, Ghoraishian SA, Mohaghegh M. Evaluation of accuracy of shade selection using two spectrophotometer systems: Vita Easyshade and Degudent Shadepilot. Eur J Dent. 2017 Apr-Jun;11(2):196-200. doi: 10.4103/ejd.ejd_195_16. PMID: 28729792; PMCID: PMC5502564.
2. Dudkiewicz K, Łacinik S, Jedliński M, Janiszewska-Olszowska J, Grocholewicz K. A Clinician's Perspective on the Accuracy of the Shade Determination of Dental Ceramics - A Systematic Review. J Pers Med. 2024 Feb 27;14(3):252. doi: 10.3390/jpm14030252. PMID: 38540994; PMCID: PMC10971503.
3. Paul S, Peter A, Pietrobon N, Hämmerle CH. Visual and spectrophotometric shade analysis of human teeth. J Dent Res. 2002 Aug;81(8):578-82. doi: 10.1177/154405910208100815. PMID: 12147751.
4. Miyajiwala JS, Kheur MG, Patankar AH, Lakha TA. Comparison of photographic and conventional methods for tooth shade selection: A clinical evaluation. J Indian Prosthodont Soc. 2017 Jul-Sep;17(3):273-281. doi: 10.4103/jips.jips_342_16. PMID: 28936042; PMCID: PMC5601497.
5. Tabatabaian F, Beyabanaki E, Alirezaei P, Epakchi S. Visual and digital tooth shade selection methods, related effective factors and conditions, and their accuracy and precision: A literature review. J Esthet Restor Dent. 2021 Dec;33(8):1084-1104. doi: 10.1111/jerd.12816. Epub 2021 Sep 9. PMID: 34498789.
6. Kim M, Kim B, Park B, Lee M, Won Y, Kim CY, Lee S. A Digital Shade-Matching Device for Dental Color Determination Using the Support Vector Machine Algorithm. Sensors (Basel). 2018 Sep 12;18(9):3051. doi: 10.3390/s18093051. PMID: 30213046; PMCID: PMC6165317.
7. Rondón LF, Ramírez R, Pecho OE. Comparison of visual shade matching and photographic shade analysis. J Esthet Restor Dent. 2022 Mar;34(2):374-382. doi: 10.1111/jerd.12883. Epub 2022 Feb 6. PMID: 35128799.
8. Elbadry M, Mohsen C, Hashem R. Effect of Different Shading Techniques on the Color of Zirconia Ceramic Restoration (An In vivo Study). Open Access Maced J Med Sci [Internet]. 2022 Aug 7 [cited 2024 Oct 31];10(D):372-9. Available from: https://oamjms.eu/index.php/mjms/article/view/10237.
9. Kim-Pusateri S, Brewer JD, Davis EL, Wee AG. Reliability and accuracy of four dental shade-matching devices. J Prosthet Dent. 2009 Mar;101(3):193-9. doi: 10.1016/S0022- 3913(09)60028-7. PMID: 19231572.
10. Alnusayri MO, Sghaireen MG, Mathew M, Alzarea B, Bandela V. Shade Selection in Esthetic Dentistry: A Review. Cureus. 2022 Mar 20;14(3). doi10.7759/cureus.23331. PMID: 35464532; PMCID: PMC9015060.
11. Şahin N, Ural Ç. Comparison of different digital shade selection methodologies in terms of accuracy. J Adv Prosthodont. 2024 Feb;16(1):38-47. doi: 10.4047/jap.2024.16.1.38. Epub 2024 Feb 23. PMID: 38455674; PMCID: PMC10917631.
12. Rashid F, Farook TH, Dudley J. Digital Shade Matching in Dentistry: A Systematic Review. Dentistry Journal. 2023;11(11):250. https://doi.org/10.3390/dj11110250.
13. Paravina RD. Evaluation of a newly developed visual shade-matching apparatus. Int J Prosthodont. 2002 Nov-Dec;15(6):528-34. PMID: 12475156.
14. Fayed AE, Mohamed HA, Othman HI. A Comparison between visual shade matching and digital shade analysis system using K-NN algorithm. Al-Azhar J Dent Sci. 2022 Apr 1;25(2):133-41.
15. Johnston WM. Color measurement in dentistry. J Dent. 2009;37 Suppl 1 doi: 10.1016/j.jdent.2009.03.011. Epub 2009 Apr 29. PMID: 19406551.
16. Da Silva JD, Park SE, Weber HP, Ishikawa-Nagai S. Clinical performance of a newly developed spectrophotometric system on tooth color reproduction. J Prosthet Dent. 2008 May;99(5):361-8. doi: 10.1016/S0022-3913(08)60083-9.
17. Patankar AH, Miyajiwala JS, Kheur MG, Lakha T. Comparison of photographic and conventional methods for tooth shade selection: A clinical evaluation. J Indian Prosthodont Soc. 2017;17(3):273-81.
18. Kim M, Kim B, Park B, Lee M, Won Y, Kim CY, Lee S. A Digital Shade-Matching Device for Dental Color Determination Using the Support Vector Machine Algorithm. Sensors. 2018;18(9):3051. https://doi.org/10.3390/s18093051.
19. Pecho O, Ghinea R, Pérez MM, Della Bona A. Influence of Gender on Visual Shade Matching in Dentistry: VISUAL SHADE MATCHING IN DENTISTRY. J Esthet Restor Dent. 2017;29:10.1111/jerd.12292.
20. Fazi G, Vichi A, Corciolani G, Ferrari M. Spectrophotometric evaluation of color match to VITA classical shade guide of four different veneering porcelain systems for metal ceramic restorations. Am J Dent. 2009 Feb;22(1):19-22. PMID: 19281108.

21. Albert CJ, Da Silva EN, Penteado MM, De Lima DR, Kimpara ET, Uemura ES. Color assessment in dental prostheses: The use of smartphones as process tools. Braz Dent Sci. 2019;22:573-7.

22. Kalman L. Development of a novel dental shade determination application. J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospect. 2020;14:73-6.

23. Mahn E, Tortora SC, Olate B, Cacciuttolo F, Kernitsky J, Jorquera G. Comparison of visual analog shade matching, a digital visual method with a cross-polarized light filter, and a spectrophotometer for dental color matching. J Prosthet Dent. 2021;125(5):511-6.

24. Ruiz-López J, Perez MM, Lucena C, Pulgar R, López-Toruño A, Tejada-Casado M, Ghinea R. Visual and instrumental coverage error of two dental shade guides: an in vivo study. Clin Oral Investig. 2022 Sep;26(9):5961-8. doi: 10.1007/s00784-022-04556-0. Epub 2022 May 31. PMID: 35639206; PMCID: PMC9474559.

25. Abd Alaziz DN, Abd Elkader SH, Abdel Raheem IG. Accuracy and Repeatability Of Digital Intra Oral Scanner With Integrated Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Function In Tooth Color Determination. Alexandria Dent J. 2024 Jan 29.

26. Dudkiewicz K, Łacinik S, Jedliński M, Janiszewska-Olszowska J, Grocholewicz K. A Clinician's Perspective on the Accuracy of the Shade Determination of Dental Ceramics - A Systematic Review. J Pers Med. 2024 Feb 27;14(3):252. doi: 10.3390/jpm14030252. PMID: 38540994; PMCID: PMC10971503.

27. Paravina RD. Evaluation of a newly developed visual shade-matching apparatus. Int J Prosthodont. 2002 Nov-Dec;15(6):528-34. PMID: 12475156.

28. Mehl A, Bosch G, Fischer C, Ender A. In vivo tooth-color measurement with a new 3D intraoral scanning system in comparison to conventional digital and visual color determination methods. Int J Comput Dent. 2017;20(4):343-61.