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ABSTRACT

Aim: The aim of this study was to compare postoperative complications and donor site morbidity following frontal sinus obliteration with autogenous abdominal fat compared to autogenous bone harvested from anterior iliac crest.

Research question: Will frontal sinus obliteration with autogenous abdominal fat result in less sinus and donor site complications compared to sinus obliteration with autogenous bone harvested from anterior iliac crest?

Materials and Methods: This was a randomized controlled trial conducted on 10 patients with frontal sinus anterior wall fracture indicating frontal sinus obliteration. In group I, the sinuses were obliterated with autogenous abdominal fat. While in group II, the sinuses were obliterated with autogenous bone harvested from anterior iliac crest. Sinus postoperative assessment was performed clinically and radiologically to evaluate postoperative complications (local sinus pathology including sinusitis, mucocele, pyo mucocele, wound infection, wound dehiscence, esthetic deformity). Donor site morbidity was assessed for the presence of any complication, postoperative pain intensity and duration.

Results: Both groups showed no postoperative sinus complications. Group II showed donor site higher pain intensity and longer pain duration with statistically significant difference when compared to group I.

Conclusions: Abdominal autogenous fat seemed to be a better frontal sinus obliteration material compared to autogenous bone harvested from iliac crest, thanks to its lower donor site morbidity. However, we recommend further investigations with longer follow up periods to elucidate more about this topic.
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INTRODUCTION

Incidence of frontal sinus fractures is uncommon accounting for 5-12% of all facial fractures 1,2. Numerous classification systems have been used. They are mainly based on involved walls, nasofrontal duct patency, fracture pattern, displacement, comminution, involvement of nasoorbitoethmoidal complex, and cranial fossa. However, elaboration of detailed classifications with multiple subdivisions does not significantly add in frontal sinus fractures management 3,6. The goals of frontal sinus injuries management are restoring facial esthetics, function, and preventing complications. Treatment options range from conservative observation, reduction and fixation, sinus obliteration, and cranialization. Management of frontal sinus injuries is mainly dependent on: anterior wall, posterior wall, and nasofrontal duct 1,4,8-12.

Anterior sinus wall is the most common site affected by injury, either isolate or with the posterior wall. Isolated anterior table fractures represent about 33% of frontal sinus fractures. Treatment decision is dependent on the degree of disruption in cosmetics and/or frontonasal duct 5,7,13. Frontal sinus health depends totally on the patency of its duct and ostium, subsequently direct trauma that results in interruptions of the outflow will jeopardize the sinus function, indicating frontal sinus obliteration 6,13,14.

Frontal sinus obliteration aims to prevent post trauma complications as infection and cystic degeneration of the lining. In such procedure, the sinus mucosa is removed to prevent epithelial pathological proliferation, and the residual dead space is obliterated 15. Various materials have been used for sinus obliteration 16-20. Autogenous materials are the preferable choice, considering their favorable longterm outcomes, low material cost and predictability in the repair of larger defect8,16. Furthermore, they are highly recommended over bone substitutes in contaminated fractures, and acute injuries 4.

Autogenous bone, and fat have been widely used for sinus obliteration 8,18,21-22. Autogenous bone can be harvested from different donor sites but those of common use in frontal sinus grafting are the iliac crest bones 23. It has a relatively direct approach and can supply adequate volume of corticocancellous or cancellous bone that is rich in pluripotent or osteogenic precursor cells which support osteogenesis to fill the dead space, nevertheless, donor site morbidity following grafting with iliac bone should be considered in the treatment plan 24-26. Autogenous fat has been widely used for frontal sinus obliteration. The main advantages of autogenous fat are easy of harvest and handling. It proved its reliability as obliteration material. It successfully acts as a barrier between the neurocranium and upper airways, preventing retrograde infection 9,8,18,21,27.

Even though autogenous fat and bone have been widely used in frontal sinus obliteration, no randomized controlled clinical trial has been previously conducted to compare the two techniques.

Research question

Will frontal sinus obliteration with autogenous abdominal fat result in less sinus and donor site complications compared to sinus obliteration with autogenous bone harvested from anterior iliac crest?

METHODS

This was a parallel-group randomized controlled trial conducted from September 2016 to November 2018 on 10 patients with frontal sinus fractures indicating sinus obliteration. Patients were allocated randomly into 2 groups. Group I, formed of 5 patients with sinuses obliterated using autogenous abdominal fat. While in group II, with same number of patients but with sinuses obliterated using autogenous bone harvested from anterior iliac crest.

Patients were recruited from the out-patient clinic, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Dentistry, Cairo University; and Cranio-Maxillofacial Surgery Department, Nasser Institute hospital. Patients were selected according to the following criteria: frontal sinus anterior wall fracture indicating frontal sinus
obliteration; medically stable and free from any systemic condition contraindicating the procedure; patients with posterior wall fractures (indicating cranialization) were excluded.

Frontal sinus was accessed via bicornal flap or existing lacerations (figure 1). To expose the frontal sinus cavity, anterior wall fracture fragments were temporally removed and preserved in normal saline. The sinus cavity was debrided with bone curettes and bur under copious amount of irrigation. The nasofrontal duct was then sealed with pericranium. The frontal sinus was obliterated with the grafting materials (autogenous abdominal fat for group I, autogenous bone for group II).

Abdominal fat was harvested for sinus obliteration in group I. The proposed site was injected with the vasoconstrictor. The fat was exposed using skin midline transverse incision about 2-3 cm below the umbilicus. The desired amount was harvested, and incision was sutured (figure 2). While in group II, autogenous bone was harvested from anterior iliac crest. The proposed site was injected with the vasoconstrictor. The skin overlying the crest was retracted medially, and the skin incision was performed. Dissection was then carried out till the periosteum, which was sharply incised to expose the iliac crest. The desired amount of bone was harvested, and incision was sutured (figure 3). The bone was then grinded and used to fill the sinus. After sinus obliteration, anterior sinus wall was reconstructed afterwards with titanium mesh, bone fragment reduction and fixation, or both (figure 4). Finally, the incision was sutured in layer. Standard postoperative care was done for all patients.

Patient postoperative assessment was mainly concerned with frontal sinus and donor site. Sinus postoperative assessment was performed clinically (at 4 weeks, 3, 6, and 12 months), and radiologically using CT (immediate postoperatively, and after 12 months) (Fig. 5,6) to evaluate postoperative complications (local sinus pathology including sinusitis, mucocele, pyomucocele, wound infection, wound dehiscence, esthetic deformity). Donor site was evaluated to assess the presence of any complication. Additional assessment was performed to assess postoperative pain intensity at the donor site after one week using visual analog scale of 0 to 10 range (0= no pain, 10= worst pain), and by asking the patient about pain duration (How long did you experience pain in donor site?).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (Statistical package for the social sciences- IBM® SPSS® Statistics Version 20 for Windows, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Quantitative data were represented as mean ± standard deviation, and Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare variables between the two groups. Qualitative data were represented as percentage or frequency, and Fisher’s exact test was used to compare variables between the two groups. The results were considered statistically significant if the p value was less than 0.05.

**RESULTS**

This study was conducted on 10 patients (7 males, 3 females) with mean age of 34.9±9.2 years. The mean age was 33.8±9.5 years for group I, and 41.4±7.8 years for group II. The most common cause of injury was motor vehicle accident (70%), other causes include fall from height and interpersonal violence. No local sinus pathology was observed in both groups. Both groups showed no wound dehiscence or infection. All cases showed no contour changes till the end of follow up period. Postoperative pain at donor site was higher in autogenous iliac bone group (group II) when compared to autogenous fat group (group I) (5.2±0.84, 3.4±1.1 respectively). Pain duration was higher in group II when compared to group I (7.2±1.1, 5.2±0.8 days respectively). There was statistically significant difference between the 2 groups (P value < 0.05) in pain intensity and duration (figure 5). Group I showed no donor site complications other than pain, while one patient in group II showed gait disturbance for 2 weeks (table 1) (Fig. 7).
TABLE (1) Showing postoperative frontal sinus and donor site complications as number (percentage) for qualitative data, and mean ± standard deviation for quantitative data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome (unit)</th>
<th>Group I</th>
<th>Group II</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local pathology (number of patients)</td>
<td>0 (0 %)</td>
<td>0 (0 %)</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound dehiscence (number of patients)</td>
<td>0 (0 %)</td>
<td>0 (0 %)</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound infection (number of patients)</td>
<td>0 (0 %)</td>
<td>0 (0 %)</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esthetic deformity (number of patients)</td>
<td>0 (0 %)</td>
<td>0 (0 %)</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain intensity (0-1 score)</td>
<td>3.4 ± 1.1</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.84</td>
<td>&lt;0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain duration (days)</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>7.2 ± 1.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complication (number of patients)</td>
<td>0 (0 %)</td>
<td>1 (20 %)</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant difference between the 2 groups

Fig. (1) A. Access to frontal sinus through existing laceration. B. Access to frontal sinus through bicoronal incision.

Fig. (2) Autogenous abdominal fat harvesting. A. Skin mid line transverse incision. B. Harvested abdominal fat.
Fig. (3) Autogenous bone harvesting. A. Skin incision and exposure of iliac bone. B. Harvested iliac bone.

Fig. (4) A. Frontal sinus obliteration using fat. B. Frontal sinus obliteration using iliac bone.

Fig. (5) A. Preoperative CT of Fractured Lt frontal sinus. B Postoperative frontal sinus obliteration using iliac bone.
DISCUSSION

Frontal sinus trauma possesses a special clinical situation particularly when combined with nasofrontal duct obstruction as this may result in a significant morbid sequel. Treatment options include clinical and radiographic observation with no intervention, fractured segments open reduction and internal fixation, sinus cavity obliteration, cranialization, ablation and osteoneogenesis. Recently, it is well agreed that frontal sinus obliteration is the recommended treatment in such cases, demonstrating a proved role in avoiding common complications such as cystic degeneration in the form of mucocele and mucopyocele or infection in the form of meningitis, brain abscess or osteomyelitis. This study aimed to assess frontal sinus obliteration with autogenous abdominal fat compared to autogenous bone.

Cancellous bone harvested from iliac crest has been widely used for frontal sinus obliteration and have been considered to be the best grafting material. Donald and Ettin in an experimental study suggested the use of autogenous bone instead of fat in comminuted fractures. This was attributed to the fat volume loss, resulting in mucosal ingrowths and mucocele formation. Moreover, it is supposed that the use of autogenous bone promotes bone formation leading to gradual ossification.

To the contrary, numerous studies approved autogenous fat as a reliable obliteration material. It is
considered as the most commonly used material. Bergara and Itoiz introduce in an experimental study the use of autogenous fat for obliterating the frontal sinus. They postulated that fat graft either vascularized or fibrosed would resist infection. They showed that thorough removal of the sinus mucosal lining with implanting adipose tissue is a viable option to prevent the regrowth of the mucoperiosteum.

Then clinical and experimental studies by Montgomery et al confirmed that autogenous fat is a reliable obliteration material with about 100% survival rate. Zonis et al reported 3% failure rate with autogenous fat frontal sinus obliteration. The largest series was conducted by Hardy and Montgomery and reported a complication rate of 18% in 250 patients. Donor site complications were 5.2%, infection and necrosis was 3%, while incidence of chronic sinusitis was 3%. Weber et al MRI radiographic studies on fat obliterated sinuses revealed that the grafted fat volume decrease with time, with half-life of 15.4 months. More than 50% of the patient showed less than 20% remaining fat. Yet, they recommended autogenous fat as a highly effective method for frontal sinus obliteration, with 90% success rate.

Our study showed comparable results for autogenous fat and bone regarding sinus postoperative complications. Abdominal autogenous fat showed significantly lower pain intensity, significantly shorter pain duration, with lower donor site morbidity compared to autogenous bone harvested from anterior iliac crest. This result is in accordance with Mickel et al experimental study which showed that both techniques are effective in frontal sinus obliteration, with significant donor site morbidity for autogenous bone.

The limitations of this study include the small sample size which may be attributed to the number of patients who could justify the inclusion criteria within the time frame of the study. The study also has a relatively short follow-up.

CONCLUSIONS

Within the limitation of this study, we pointed out that abdominal autogenous fat seemed to be a better frontal sinus obliteration material compared to autogenous bone harvested from iliac crest, thanks to its lower donor site morbidity. However, we recommend further investigations with longer follow up periods to elucidate more about this topic.
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