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ABSTRACT

Aim: This study was conducted to analyze the biocompatibility of EndoSeal MTA® in rat subcutaneous tissue and to detect the osteogenic effect of this material.

Methods: The biocompatibility was assessed using the subcutaneous implantation technique. Thirty male albino rats were the subjects used during current research. Animal subjects were anaesthetized, shaved in two areas of the dorsal surface of both of the lower limbs and subcutaneous pockets were made to accommodate the material, which was placed in a polyethylene tubes. Empty polyethylene tubes were used as control. Fifteen animals were sacrificed at each observation period (1 month and 3 months implantation). A wide excision of the tissues surrounding the implant was taken, managed and histo-stained with Haematoxylin and Eosin stain for histopathological evaluation of the inflammatory and vascular responses. The Von Kossa histochemical stain was used for demonstration of the mineralized structures. The stained sections were examined using light microscopy. Quantitative analysis of the inflammatory cells was performed using an image analyzer computer system.

Results: After one month of tube implantation, mild chronic inflammatory cell infiltration, loosely organized collagen fibers and few slightly dilated blood vessels were observed in the control group. In the experimental group, the inflammatory reaction ranged from mild to moderate. The inflammatory reaction significantly subsided with time in both groups. The difference between the control and experimental group regarding the total number of inflammatory cells was not statistically significant in the both observation periods. No evidence of tissue necrosis was found in the control or experimental groups throughout the experiment.

Conclusions: It could be concluded that EndoSeal MTA® is well tolerated by the subcutaneous connective tissues of rat. The study showed osteogenic potential of EndoSeal MTA®.
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INTRODUCTION

A previous definition of biocompatibility might be the capability of a dental material to produce a normal and favorable host tissue reaction in time of its clinical use (Murray et al., 2007). Endodontic therapy aims to proper disinfection and mechanical shaping of the entire root canal systems; then 3D obturation with a biologically compatible, and inert material with dimensional stability (Gomes-Filho et al., 2007).

Strong antimicrobial action, relative biocompatibility, mineralization potential, action to produce effective three-dimensional seal in the presence of wet media, poten radiopacity, suitable good handling are the points that make bioceramic-based materials an innovative alternatives to conventionally used endodontic materials. (Kossev & Stefanov 2009; Pradnya el al., 2018).

Number of techniques has been widely done to assess in-vivo tissue responses to different endodontic cements. One of the most applicable and widely used techniques is the surgical placement of the tested agent into the subcutaneous connective tissues of rats (Olsson et al., 1981; Zmener et al., 1988; Economides et al., 1995). The inflammatory effects of endodontic material are assessed by the histopathological microscopic detection of the tissues responses surrounding the implanted agents (Olsson et al., 1981).

Lately, a different calcium silicate-based material, EndoSeal MTA® (Maruchi, Wonju, Korea) was introduced. It is a creamy, premixed, and ready for use injectable whitish hydraulic cement introduced for permanent root canal sealing. EndoSeal MTA® is not soluble, radiopaque, and aluminum-free cement composed mainly of calcium silicate components, that need the existence of moist media to completely set and stabilizes (Zhang et al., 2009).

The manufactures claims that, EndoSeal MTA® is composed of mixture of inert and non-irritating salts such as calcium phosphates, calcium di and trisilicates, zirconium oxides, and calcium hydroxide salts. EndoSeal MTA® is similar in its components to white mineral trioxides aggregates (Zhang et al, 2009).

Many literatures showed that Endoseal MTA have acceptable physic-chemical characteristics (Lim et al., 2015), biocompatibility (Lim et al., 2015), good bond strength performance (Silva et al., 2016), fracture resistance of root dentin (Upadhyay et al., 2017), minimal discoloration (Lee et al., 2016), and superior sealer distribution (Hwang et al., 2015); conversely, there have been no studies regarding the in vivo biocompatibility and osteogenic effect of this material.

Therefore, current research was conducted to analyze the bioactivity (inflammatory response) of EndoSeal MTA® in rat subcutaneous tissues and detect the osteogenic effect (mineralization ability) of this material.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Thirty middle-aged male albino rats, weighing 80 to 90 grams for each, all of them were selected to be clinically healthy at the beginning of the experiment were used as subjects in this study. All surgical procedures were done under general anesthesia by intramuscular injection of ketamine solution in the dose of 90 mg per kilogram of body weight associated with xylazine solution 10 mg per kilogram of body weight. Two areas in each rat were shaved, one in each of the lower limbs. These areas were cleaned with 60 % alcohol and dried with gauze. Two transverse incisions were made using bard-parker blade No 15 in a Bard-parker handle No 3. A pouch of nearly 2 cm size was surgically performed in the subcutaneous tissues by precise dissection using a hemostat to hold the polyethylene tubes.
The polyethylene tubes (3 mm long & 1.5 mm internal diameter) were cleaned with 60% alcohol prior to use. The experimental material was then loaded into the sterile polyethylene tubes, before the surgical procedure. Each rat received 2 tubes, one filled with the material (placed in the subcutaneous tissue of the right limb) and an empty tube used as control (placed in the subcutaneous tissue of the left limb).

After inserting the tubes, the incisions were sutured with black suture. On recovery after the operation the rats were returned to their cages and maintained at regular hygienic care. 15 rats were sacrificed after one month, while the other 15 rats were sacrificed after three months from the implantation time. Animals sacrifice was done by cervical translocation.

Immediately after sacrifice, a wide excision of the tissue surrounding the tubes was taken and the specimens were placed in solution of 10% solution of neutralized buffering formalin. After fixing of each block specimen for forty eight hours in formalin, the block was bisected along the longitudinal axis of the tube including 2 mm at both ends. The tube was carefully removed from the specimens so as to reduce damage to the interface area between the experimental material and the tissue.

The tissues were processed for light microscopic study. Each specimen was undergoing dehydration in ascending concentrations of ethyl alcohol, undergone clearing in xylene and vertically placed in paraffin wax. Section of 6 µm thickness was taken from specimens placed in paraffin blocks. All sections were deparaffinized, rehydrated and stained with haematoxylin and eosin stain for histopathological examination. Von Kossa histochemical staining was used for detection of mineralized structures related to the implanted material.

The ordinary light microscope was used for histopathological evaluation of the inflammatory responses in the H&E stained sections using the low and high powers. Moreover, light microscopy was used to examine the histochemically stained sections, as well as for localization Von Kossa reactions within the tissues.

(H&E) stained sections were assessed by an imaging analyzer computerized program by the software Leica Qwin, which contains a light microscope together with a micro-computerized system able to perform digital imaging analysis. Quantitative analysis of the inflammatory cells was made in 10 separate regions of section at x1000 magnifications.

These data were represented as mean values ± SD. Student’s t-test was used to compare between the means values. The significance level was set at p ≤ 0.05.

RESULTS

In the control group, one month histological examination revealed mild chronic inflammatory cell infiltration (plasma cells and lymphocytes). Slight dilatation of blood vessels was observed. The implant site was surrounded by loosely organized fibrous capsule. Von Kossa histochemical staining denoted absence of any mineralized tissue.

Regarding experimental group, one month histological examination revealed chronic inflammatory response ranging from mild to moderate. The inflammatory cells were lymphocytes, and macrophages. Few dilated blood vessels were detected. The implant site was surrounded by wavy collagen fiber capsule. Von Kossa histochemical reaction denoted absence of any mineralized tissue or calcification.

After three months, light chronically inflammation cells infiltrates and few small microvasculature were observed in the control group. The implant site was surrounded by a fibrous capsule formed by closely-packed collagen fibers. Von Kossa histochemical staining denoted absence of any mineralized structures.
A light chronically inflamed response (comprises of lymphocytes and plasma cells) was still observed in the experimental group after three months. The vascular reaction manifested as numerous small-sized blood vessels. The fibrous tissue response consisted of dense fibrosis, showing areas of wavy collagen fiber deposition. The implantation site was surrounded by a densely-packed fibrous capsule. Black Von Kossa histochemical staining could be detected within the tissue reaction surrounding the implantation site in few specimens indicating mineralized structures or calcification.

Using the computed image analyzer; the total number of inflammatory cells was fairly greater in the experimental group compared to the control group in both observation periods. However, this difference was not statistically significant as shown in table (1).

TABLE (1): The recorded means and standard deviation (SD) values of the inflammatory scores for the Control and experimental groups after one month and two months:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>1 month Mean (n=15)</th>
<th>1 month SD</th>
<th>3 months Mean (n=15)</th>
<th>3 months SD</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental group</td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: Standard Deviation.
P: Probability level.
*: Significant at P ≤ 0.05.

DISCUSSION

The selection of rats as experimental animals in this study was depended on several points in terms of ease of manipulation, mostly short life cycle and popular widely studied anatomy, histology, and genetically related variables (Veloso et al., 2006).

In the present study, tissue reaction was evaluated one month and three months after surgical implantation. The three months observation period revealed the long term tissue reaction of the material.

Empty polyethylene tubes produced no or mild chronically inflammation reactions in majority of the specimens. Histopathological findings of this control group revealed few collagen fibers to wavy collagen fiber deposition in some specimens. There was no evidence of calcification or necrosis. These findings were in accordance with Valera et al (2004), Yaltirik et al (2004) and de Morais et al (2006). This mild reaction may be due surgical trauma during the implantation of tube.
In the current study, the inflammatory reaction related to subcutaneous implantation of the tested material was mild to moderate in most of the cases and subsided by time. This favorable response could be partially in relation to the existence of calcium hydroxide as one of the EndoSeal MTA® salts. Berbet et al (2002); Huang et al (2002); Miletić et al (2005) suggested that if the material contains calcium hydroxides, which could then enhance reparative process and relief irritating criteria induced by the irritating constitutes of the agent in the surrounding tissue.

In the present study, the level of the inflammatory reactions was reduced at 90 days of tubes implantation, as results stated by Yaltirik et al (2004) and Sumer et al (2006). This response is important for tissue recovery (de Oliveira et al., 2010).

The results of the current study revealed that EndoSeal MTA® does not actually produce any irritating side effects on microvasculature in the connective tissues. These findings are in accordance with some previous biocompatibility tests (Masuda et al, 2005).

In the current study, the deposition of a collagenous fiber encapsulation around the implant material was detected by time; this fibrous response appears to be a normal tissue reaction since such a capsule was also formed in the vicinity of the nearly inert polyethylene tubes in accordance with (Zmener et al., 1988; Ørstavik & Mjör, 1988).

According to Maher et al (1992), the evidence that the material was encapsulated by fiber collagenous tissues indicates that it was good tolerated with these tissues. Therefore, the fibrous tissue responses observed in relation to the EndoSeal MTA® in the present research emphasizing the biological compatibility of the material.

Therefore, the findings of the current research, in addition to these previously mentioned in literatures, indicate the stimulation of dystrophic mineralization by EndoSeal MTA® in contact with adjacent tissue. As the EndoSeal MTA® contains calcium hydroxide in its formulation; it is possible that this component is responsible for stimulation of mineralization. This is in accordance with Holland et al (1999) who stated that calcium hydroxide in contact with water or tissue fluids, dissociates into calcium plus hydroxyl ions. Ions of calcium may do a chemical reaction with carbon dioxides in the body fluids and form calcium carbonates collections appeared as calcite ions crystalline structures that could direct the formation of mineral tissue deposits.

Furthermore, EndoSeal MTA® contains zirconium oxide (ZrO$_2$) which was found to have a bone inducing potential, according to Sollazzo et al (2008), who studied the genetic proteins which were differently regulated in osteoblastic cells directed to zirconium oxide coating, with the aid of microarray techniques. The relatively expressed genetic media covered a wide range of functions and actions: cells cyclic regimen, signals communication, immunology, and cytoskeletal compounds. The effect of coating dental implants with zirconium oxide on improving osseointegration was reported in rabbit tibia (Sollazzo et al 2008). Therefore, it can be concluded that zirconium oxide together with calcium hydroxide may have a role in stimulating mineralization as detected in the current research.

EndoSeal MTA® could contain several forms and extra levels of the oxide compounds known to have antibacterial activities such as Na$_2$O, MgO, Al$_2$O$_3$, SO$_2$, and Fe$_2$O than Endo-Sequence BC. (Shin et al., 2018).

Using premixed cements prevents the relative of heterogeneous mix during on-site mixing. Literatures including other bioceramic endodontic materials already showed mineral tissues depositions (Güven et al., 2013) and perfect tissues responses to pulpotomy therapies (Azimi et al., 2014).

Many literatures on bioceramic based materials have showed less inflammation mediators and
good osteoblastic expressions, indicating the good biocompatibility of bioceramics (Chang et al., 2014; Bósio et al., 2014). These previous results on the tissue response of bioceramic sealers matched the results of present research.

Calcium silicate based materials when becomes in contacting with the natural existing moist contents inside lumen of dentine tubules, calcium silicate ions react chemically with the water (H2O) producing calcium-silicate hydrogel plus calcium hydroxides; calcium hydroxides react with calcium phosphates ions forming hydroxyapatites and water. H2O is suspected to start this reaction ring many times (Zhang et al., 2010). The great scores of calcium and silicones could justify the excellent biocompatibility of EndoSeal MTA®.

Zhang et al (2013) concluded that bioceramic agents showed in significant way regulated activation of alkaline phosphatase enzyme (ALP) and expressions of dentin sialophosphoproteins (DSPP), dentin matrix protein 1 (DMP 1), and osteocalcins (OCN) of human dental pulp stem cells; these genes were combined with mineralizations and odontoblastic histodifferentiation.

A great feature of these bioceramic agents is its capability to produce hydroxyapatites and optimally induce chemical attachment between dentine and the bioceramic agent (Wang, 2015). An alkaline pH could refer to osteogenic potential, biocompatibility, and antimicrobial property of ceramic based biomaterials (Lee, 2017).

CONCLUSION

With regard to limits of current research, it could be stated that EndoSeal MTA® was good tolerated by the subcutaneous tissues of rat. The study showed osteogenic potential of EndoSeal MTA®, which can accelerate healing of periapical tissues after root canal therapy.
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