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ABSTRACT

Statement of the Problem: There is general acceptance among different authors about restoring the access cavity of intact endodontically treated anterior teeth with just a composite filling. However, in many instances, for esthetic reasons these teeth need to be crowned. Here, a question is raised about the restorative approach that gives optimum esthetic and functional performance.

Objectives: The aim of the present investigation was to test a proposed monoblock adhesive composite restoration bonded to a prepared channel in the coronal third of the root canal; in comparison to two other conventional approaches: composite filling of the access cavity and glass fiber post in the root canal as far as fracture resistance and failure mode are concerned after the teeth being bonded with CAD/CAM ceramic crowns.

Materials and Methods: Twenty-one intact maxillary central incisors were randomly divided into three groups of seven each. All the teeth were endodontically treated to be restored with three different treatment options: in the first group just composite restoration (CR) of the access cavity and the second group was assigned for the proposed monoblock adhesive composite restoration (MACR) and the third group for the glass fiber post bonded in the prepared root canal (GFP). All the teeth were prepared to standardized specifications for CAD/CAM all-ceramic crowns that were adhesively bonded to their preparations in the three groups. All the test specimens were subjected to thermocycling between 5°C and 55°C for 2000 cycles before being dynamically loaded in the Instron testing machine for 10,000 cycles. The specimens were then subjected to static loading until fracture, and the load at failure (N) of each specimen was recorded for the statistical analysis. The fractured specimens were examined to detect the mode of failure.

Results: All the test samples survived the 10,000 dynamic loading cycles without any signs of cracks or flaws as detected by magnification. The statistical analysis of the data obtained after static loading of the samples revealed that the group with glass fiber posts (GFP) showed the highest
INTRODUCTION

Restoration of intact endodontically treated maxillary anterior teeth which lie in the critical esthetic zone presents a great challenge to the fixed prosthodontist in spite of considerable amount of remaining coronal tooth structure. There is general agreement among many authors from a mechanical standpoint that only a simple composite filling can be placed in the access cavity particularly if there is favorable loading and consider this to be the best restorative option. However, endodontically treated teeth (ETT) are subjected frequently to color alterations which give very bad impact on their patient’s satisfaction. Therefore, from an esthetic standpoint, extra coronal tooth colored restoration might be recommended.

Ceramic or composite resin veneers are not fairly recommended for endodontically treated anterior teeth because of the presence of the access cavity within the lingual surfaces (Mannocci and Cowie). Before the great progress that had been achieved in the field of all-ceramic materials and CAD/CAM techniques, together with the adhesive bonding technology; metal-ceramic crowns were commonly recommended for restoring anterior ETT. This success was referred to their clinical longevity and acceptable esthetics. However, Blatz, reported that conventional metal-ceramic crowns tend to cause greying of the free gingival margin, caused primarily by the metal substrate. In addition, metals used in these restorations may cause allergic or toxic reactions within adjacent soft or hard tissues.

Conclusions: 1- The three treatment modalities offered successful options for restoring intact endodontically treated maxillary incisors in terms of mechanical and esthetic standpoints. 2- The fracture resistance values of the three tested restorative designs exceeded the normal masticatory forces in this anterior region considerably. 3- The teeth restored with glass fiber posts (GFP) presented the highest statistically significant fracture resistance mean value as compared to the other two groups. 4- The proposed monoblock adhesive composite restoration (MACR) together with the composite restoration (CR) groups provided comparable fracture resistance mean values. 5- The majority of the tested samples (71%) showed repairable fractures, with the proposed monoblock technique presenting the highest percentage (86%) of repairable fractures. 6- The mode of failure was mainly adhesive-cohesive in nature.

KEYWORDS: Intact anterior teeth, endodontic treatment, composite monoblock, bonded ceramic crowns.
Gonzaga et al.\textsuperscript{2} reported that the prognosis of endodontically treated teeth depends not only on a successful endodontic treatment but also on the amount of remaining sound tooth tissue, together with the definitive restoration that will be placed after that. Does the intact endodontically treated tooth that will be prepared to receive all-ceramic crown for esthetic reasons necessitate an intraradicular post or not is still a matter of debate in the dental literature.\textsuperscript{15-19} The axial reduction for a crown preparation (peripheral destruction) combined with an endodontic access preparation (central destruction) frequently leaves insufficient sound dentin to support a crown unaided.\textsuperscript{20}

However, whenever possible preservation of coronal and radicular tooth structure should be considered. Heydecke et al.\textsuperscript{15} and Hunter et al.\textsuperscript{17} clarified the importance of minimal removal of additional radicular dentin while preparing a post space. Further enlargement only weakens the root.

Guzy and Nichols,\textsuperscript{18} and Trope et al.\textsuperscript{19} found that cemented metal posts proved to have no effect on strengthening the root. While, Mannocci et al.,\textsuperscript{21} and Saupe et al.,\textsuperscript{22} investigated bonding of tooth colored nonmetallic posts to root canal dentin and reported that they strengthen the root initially. However, this initial strengthening might be lost over time as the tooth is subjected to functional loading which leads to weakening of the resin bond to dentin.\textsuperscript{15}

Many authors considered that the main function of a post is to build-up a core to retain a crown, however, they reported that it does not strengthen the endodontically treated tooth.\textsuperscript{19, 23-26} On the other hand Swartz and Robbins,\textsuperscript{20} reported the great advantages of tooth colored fiber posts compared to metal post systems especially regarding their high flexural strength and modulus of elasticity which are similar to those of dentin. These properties minimize stress transmission to the root canal walls, decrease the possibility of root fracture and improve the esthetic appearance due to avoiding the risk of gingival discoloration or alteration of the root surface by corrosive products.

Composite luting cements as reported by Cecchin et al.,\textsuperscript{27} have the ability to bond the fiber post to root canal dentin through providing mechanical retention to dentin with a hybrid layer and chemico-mechanical bonding to the post. Oliveiera et al.,\textsuperscript{28} and Aziz et al.,\textsuperscript{29} have shown from their studies that selfetching adhesive is capable of promoting favorable bond strength between the luting composite cement and the dentin. Cecchin et al.,\textsuperscript{27} and Pashley,\textsuperscript{30} found that failure associated with fiber posts was due to debonding at the adhesive resin-dentin interface. They reported that this might be due to difficulties regarding dentin hybridization caused by irrigants, obstruction of the dentinal tubules, the type of adhesive system and the limited action of light curing achieved in deep root canals.\textsuperscript{30-32} Also, Duke and Lindemuth,\textsuperscript{33} reported that dentin adhesion is unpredictable because of dentin variability in general and radicular dentin in particular. Other authors,\textsuperscript{34-39} referred this compromised dentin bond quality to be due to the morphological differences in radicular dentin which has lesser dentinal tubule density and altered collagen expression therefore, adhesion in the radicular dentin is more problematic as compared to coronal dentin.

Composite resin has been recently considered the most popular core material as it has ideal properties for a build-up material.\textsuperscript{40,41} They reported that it can be bonded to the remaining tooth structure as well as to the current posts. It has high tensile strength and fracture resistance, with favorable fracture pattern if failed. Its color which matches the natural tooth recommends it to be used under translucent restorations with superb esthetic results. On the other hand, other authors reported some inferior properties of composite resin as polymerization shrinkage and water absorption.\textsuperscript{42} Also, the possibility of undergoing plastic deformation under repeated loads.\textsuperscript{43,44} However, more recently with the introduction of nanotechnology which led to
the discovery of nano-filler particles, considerable advances in physical properties have tackled issues like polymerization shrinkage, wear resistance, micro hardness and esthetic achievement.\textsuperscript{45,46} On the same line, Terry,\textsuperscript{47} reported that the improvement in physical, chemical and biological properties are due to incorporation of a larger volume of small sized filler particles in the composite material. The nanocomposites also possess a higher modulus of elasticity and greater flexural, compressive and diametrical tensile strength. Improvements of fracture toughness, hardness and wear resistance are other advantages of nanocomposites.\textsuperscript{48} The tendency for crack formation and propagation was also reduced due to decreased inter-particle distance between the nanofillers. The smooth and rounded edges of the spheroidal nanoparticles offered better stress distribution throughout the composite resin.\textsuperscript{49} Lesser polymerization shrinkage obtained as a result of increased filler loading in the nanocomposites qualifies them to respond much better to the functional stresses of mastication as compared to the conventional resins.\textsuperscript{50}

A noticeable improvement in the esthetic and optical properties of the nanocomposites has been achieved due to incorporating the nano-fillers which significantly improved the polishability, color stability and translucency.\textsuperscript{51,52}

All these improvements in the physical, mechanical and esthetic properties qualify the nanocomposites to be successfully used as an esthetic dental restoration in both anterior and posterior teeth.\textsuperscript{53}

Dietschi et al,\textsuperscript{54} reported that minimally invasive preparations, with maximal tissue conservation are now considered “the gold standard” for restoring endodontically treated teeth.

Therefore, the main target of the present in-vitro investigation was mainly directed toward mechanical testing of a proposed adhesive composite monoblock technique for restoring intact endodontically treated maxillary central incisors with CAD/CAM ceramic crowns, to be compared with two other conventional designs: one with just composite filling of the access cavity and the other with glass fiber post in the root canal, both covered with ceramic crowns.

The null hypothesis was that there would be no significant difference between the three tested designs concerning their fracture resistance and failure mode.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Teeth Selection

Twenty-one caries-free human maxillary central incisors having comparable dimensions were selected from more than one hundred and fifty extracted teeth for periodontal reasons. The selected teeth were immersed in 5.25% sodium hypochlorite for 24 hours and subsequently stored in distilled water at room temperature throughout the course of the study. The selected teeth were ultrasonically cleaned and thoroughly examined by the aid of magnifying lens and transillumination to ensure the absence of carious lesions, hypoplastic defects, previous restorations, cracks and micro-fractures. Each tooth was radiographed to detect any internal root resorption or obstructions within the canal. The coronal height was limited to 10 ± 1mm and the root length was between 15 ± 1mm and was measured using a millimeter ruler from the apex to the facial middle point of the cemento-enamel junction. The faciolingual and the mesiodistal dimensions were measured at the level of the cervical margin using a digital caliper (Mitutoyo American Corp, Aurora, III) accurate within 0.01 mm. the faciolingual and mesiodistal dimensions of the selected teeth were as close as possible to 6.5 and 5.5 mm respectively.\textsuperscript{55}

Preparation of the experimental model

All the teeth were mounted in epoxy resin blocks (Transparent self-curing polyester resin, Acrostone-cold cure cross-linked, Egypt) using specially designed cylindrical teflon mold for holding the
epoxy resin and tooth inside. Accurate centralization of the tooth in the resin block was achieved using a specially designed centralizing device, so that the long axis of the tooth be parallel to the long axis of the sample holder, with the cemento-enamel junction (CEJ) located 2 mm coronal to the resin top surface. No artificial periodontium was simulated in the present research as recommended by Heintze et al., Sepastia et al., who reported that the silicone is not standardizable and varies between 300 and 700 mm. This uncontrolled silicone thickness leads to unstandardized mobility of the single rooted mounted tooth which might lead to unrealistic failure load data.

**Endodontic procedure**

All the twenty-one maxillary central incisors mounted in their resin blocks were subjected to a standard endodontic treatment. All the canals were prepared chemo-mechanically using a crown down technique with nickel titanium Flex files up to size 20 (Union Broach, York, Pa.), followed by Ni-Ti rotary instruments (ProTaper up to F5 rotary file, Dentsply, Mailfier). Silicone stoppers were used to control the working length at 1 mm short of the apical foramen. Root canal obturation was secured using cold lateral condensation technique with F5 ProTaper gutta-percha cones (Dentsply, Mailfier, Switzerland) and AH 26 eugenol-free sealer (De Trey; Konstanz, Germany). Post-operative peri-apical radiographs were taken following the endodontic procedure. The twenty-one test specimens were randomly divided into three groups of seven each according to the restorative plan designed for each group (Table 1 and Figure 1) where group I had the access cavity restored with composite filling material (CR), group II was assigned for the proposed monoblock adhesive composite restorative technique (MACR), while in group III glass fiber post was adhesively bonded in the prepared root canal (GFP). The maxillary central incisors in the three groups were then subjected to a standard preparation to receive CAD/CAM e.max ceramic crowns.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Restorative Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Composite filling of the access cavity + CAD/CAM ceramic crown (CR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Proposed monoblock adhesive composite restorative technique + CAD/CAM ceramic crowns (MACR).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Glass fiber post + CAD/CAM ceramic crowns (GFP).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**The first group (CR):** This group was considered the control group in which the access cavity was restored with composite restoration. The access cavity was cleaned from the gutta percha and sealer. The cavo-surface margin was beveled using specific diamond bur (TF-14; diamond bur, Mani Inc, Japan) and a constant water spray, and the gutta percha was cut back to osseous level below the CEJ. Every effort was made to ensure the standard preparation dimensions by using the same instrument size and a single operator. The cavity was then etched with 37% phosphoric acid etching gel (Ivoclar-Vivident) for 15 seconds, washed with water spray for another 15 seconds and then gently air-dried with oil free air spray. Conditioning of the cavity was then performed using Syntac Primer (Ivoclar-Vivident) with a disposable brush and left

![Fig. (1) Schematic drawing of the three tested designs a: group I (CR), b: group II (MACR) and c: group III (GFP)](image)
for 15 seconds in contact with dentin, the excess was then removed and the primer dried with oil free air spray. The Syntac Adhesive (Ivoclar-Vivadent) was then applied to the cavity using the disposable brush and left for 10 seconds, then thoroughly dried with oil free air. The bonding agent (Helio bond; Ivoclar-Vivadent) was then applied in a thin layer that was light cured for 20 seconds using the light polymerizing unit (Elipar LED curing unit; 3M ESPE). Flowable composite (Tetric Flow; Ivoclar-Vivadent) was then applied to seal the base of the access cavity and light cured for 40 seconds. The cavity was then restored with light cured composite (Tetric Evo Ceram; Ivoclar-Vivadent) which is a nano-optimized hybrid moldable ceramic, with low shrinkage and high radiopacity. It was applied in layers using a special contact point instrument, and light cured for 40 seconds. The process was repeated till complete filling of the cavity (Fig 1a). the seven maxillary central incisors of this group were restored in the same manner, then radiographed periapically.

The second group (MACR): This group was assigned for the proposed monoblock adhesive composite restoration (MACR). The access cavity in this group was prepared exactly as in Group I, then a channel extension in the coronal third of root canal was done to a depth of 3 mm. using a tapered flat end medium grit diamond stone having 2 mm. tip diameter and 8° taper (Mani Dia-Burs-Tochigi-Japan). Cleaning of the whole preparation was then performed with 17% EDTA (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid). Etching of the preparation walls was done using 37% phosphoric acid etching gel for 15 seconds, washed with water spray for another 15 seconds and air dried with oil-free air spray. The following steps were done exactly as described in the first group for the application of the Syntac Primer and Adhesive, then the bonding agent (Helio bond) and application of the flowable composite (Tetric Flow) with the same light polymerization program. The access cavity and the prepared channel were then restored with the light curing composite (Tetric Evo Ceram) in layers using the special contact point instrument to fill the channel at first and then the access cavity. Each layer was light cured for 40 seconds till complete filling of the whole preparation with a compact composite mass (Fig 1b). Periapical radiographs were then taken for the restored teeth.

The third group (GFP): The teeth in this group were restored with glass fiber post (GFP) (FibreKleer Posts, Pentron). This post system provides five post sizes to accommodate different canal sizes, and are designed from uniquely treated glass fibers bundled in a strong resin matrix. It generates an integrated bond among tooth structure, bonding agent, resin cement and composite resin restorative material. These posts have outstanding radiopacity to help insure that they are clearly identified. The post space was first prepared by removal of the gutta percha from the root canal with a peeso reamer, keeping 5 mm of root filling intact in the apical portion to preserve the apical seal. Then the post space preparation was continued using the calibrated drill supplied with the FibreKleer post system (1.375 mm diameter) that matches the size of the selected glass fiber post. The post space was then cleaned with 17% (EDTA) as in the second group. Cutting excess length of each post was performed using a diamond bur so that at least 2 mm of composite resin would be covering the post top incisally. Surface treatment of the post was undertaken using total etch for 60 seconds (Ivoclar-Vivadent), then rinsed with water and dried with oil-free air. The post surfaces were then treated using Monobond-S (Ivoclar-Vivadent) for 60 seconds and air dried. Etching of the canal walls was done for 15 seconds then rinsed with water and dried using paper points. The canal walls were painted with Exite DSC (Ivoclar-Vivadent) which is a dual-cure fluoride releasing adhesive used in combination with the total etch technique. The posts were then luted with Tetric Flow, inserted steadily in the prepared post space and light cured for 40 seconds with the tip of the unit directly in contact
with the post. The access cavity was then restored with Tetric Evo Ceram as described in Group I (Fig 1c). The seven restored teeth in this group were also radiographed periapically.

**Tooth Reduction for All-Ceramic Crown Preparation**

The twenty-one experimental models were then ready to start teeth preparations to receive all-ceramic e.max CAD crowns (Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein). A silicone rubber index was made from vinyl polysiloxane putty (Elite HD + A-Silicone, Zhermack- Italy) for recording the coronal configuration of the tooth before being prepared. The silicone index was then sectioned into two equal labial and lingual halves to aid in the standardization of the preparation. A standard set of diamond rotary instruments (Mani Dia-burs, Japan) was used. The axial reduction and the cervical finish line were performed with the aid of the milling machine (Nouvage AF 30, AG Dental and Medical Equipment) to adjust the total incisal convergence at 12 degrees, and to create a one millimeter circumferential round shoulder finish line, located one millimeter above the cemento-enamel junction. Rest of the preparation was performed manually following the standard textbook guidelines, where the incisal reduction was 2 mm. and the labial and palatal surface were prepared to a depth of one millimeter following the surface configuration after eliminating any undercuts. Final adjustment of the preparation was made with a tapered diamond stone with round end. All the preparation steps were made under constant water spray. To insure standardized dimensions, all the steps were controlled at different measurement points using the digital caliper and the silicone rubber index. (Fig 2).

**Construction of the all-ceramic crowns**

The CAD/CAM system “Cerec in Lab” (Sirona dental, Bensheim, Germany) was used for designing and milling of IPS e.max CAD blocks (Ivoclar Vivadent) to produce standard all-ceramic crowns for the prepared teeth. Extraoral inEos X5 scanner (Sirona dental, Germany) was used to capture optical impressions of the prepared teeth that were covered with a thin layer of titanium dioxide powder “Cerec Optispray” (Sirona dental system, Germany) which optimizes image quality. The design of the crowns was performed using the biogeneric feature of the cerec inLab software “Cerec Premium S.W. 4.2.5” to complete the designing process of the all-ceramic crowns. The design was sent electronically to the milling unit “Cerec MCXL Premium” (Sirona dental, Germany). The milling parameters were set to 60 mm for the spacer. Following the milling procedure, crystallization of the e.max ceramic crowns was performed according to the manufacturer instructions, then glazed using IPS e.max Ceram glaze paste (Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein). The cementation and final adjustments were done.

---

Fig 2: Schematic drawing of standardized tooth preparation (a), and the prepared teeth representing the 3 groups (b).
Schan, Liechtenstein) following a standard cooling program. All the milled crowns were then checked for fit and accuracy on their corresponding preparations (Fig 3).

**Adhesive bonding of the all-ceramic crowns**

The bonding surface of the ceramic crown was conditioned by etching with IPS Ceramic Etching Gel (5% hydrofluoric acid) for 15 seconds, then rinsed in water for 30 seconds and dried. Silane coupling agent (Monobond S, Ivoclar Vivadent) was then applied to the etched surface for 60 seconds then was lightly dried with oil free air. The bonding ceramic surface was then brushed with a thin layer of light polymerizing bonding agent (Heliobond). The prepared teeth after being ultrasonically cleaned were then etched with 37% phosphoric acid for 30 seconds, then cleaned with water spray and dried with oil free air. Syntac Primer was applied and dispersed after 20 seconds, then Syntac Adhesive that was dispersed with air after 10 seconds, after which Heliobond was applied and dispersed with air. The two pastes of the dual curing composite (Variolink II, Ivoclar Vivadent) were mixed and applied evenly on the inner surface of the crown, which was then seated on the prepared tooth and held firmly in place with finger pressure. Excess cement along the margins was gently removed with soft brush, then the seated crown was transferred immediately to a specially designed cementing device through which a 3 Kg static load was applied. All the surfaces of the crown were then light cured for 40 seconds to complete the bonding procedure. The twenty-one test specimens of the three experimental groups were then subjected to thermal cycling between 5°C and 55°C, with a 25 seconds dwell time at each temperature for 2000 cycles in a computer controlled thermocycler (Robota controlled thermal cycle; Bilge, Turkey) to simulate temperature ranges in the oral environment which can vary between 0°C and 67°C, this represents approximately 12 months of clinical service. 

**Mechanical testing**

The twenty-one test specimens of the three experimental groups, after being thermocycled were individually mounted on the fixed lower compartment of a computer controlled material testing machine (Model 3345; Instron Industrial products, Norwood, MA, USA) with a load cell of 5 KN and data were recorded using computer software (Instron® Bluehill Lite software). Cyclic loading of each test sample was secured at a 135-degree angle to their long axis with a 0 to 50 N load applied at the palatal surface 3 mm below the incisal edge. The force was applied with a custom made load applicator (steel rod with a round tip 3.4 mm) placed at the predetermined point on the palatal surface of the ceramic crown and attached to the upper movable compartment of the machine. A tin foil sheet of 1 mm thickness was placed between the loading tip and the palatal surface of the test sample to achieve homogenous stress distribution. Each sample received 10000 cycle with a load profile in the form of a sine wave at frequency of 2 Hz (Fig 4). At the end of the dynamic loading, all the samples were examined visually and with a magnifying lens to detect any possible cracks or fractures. The samples that survived the dynamic loading were subjected to static loading until fracture occurred at a cross head speed of 1mm/min. The compressive force was applied at 135 degrees to the long axis at the palatal surface as determined before. The crack initiation
point on the load deflection curves for all the samples were determined by a sharp decrease in the loading curve and confirmed by an audible sound. The load at the first crack sound was recorded (in Newton) to be the failure load of the test sample. The failure load values of all samples of the three experimental groups were collected and tabulated to be ready for statistical analysis. The fractured samples were examined visually and with a magnifying lens to detect the extent of the cracks and fractures, and photographed with a x5 magnification lens (Sony Digital Still Camera, DSC-H2, Sony Corp, Japan) to aid in the assessment of the fracture patterns and mode of failure of the different test samples.

RESULTS

Statistical Analysis

Numerical data were explored for normality by checking the data distribution and using Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk tests. Data showed parametric (normal) distribution. Data were represented as mean, standard deviation (SD), median, range and 95% confidence interval for the mean (95% CI) values. One-way ANOVA test was used to compare between the three groups. Bonferroni’s post-hoc test was used for pair-wise comparisons. The significance level was set at $P \leq 0.05$. Statistical analysis was performed with IBM SPSS Statistics for windows, version 23.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.

There was a statistically significant difference between the groups ($P$-value $<$0.001, Effect size $= 0.741$). Pair-wise comparisons between the groups revealed that Group III showed the statistically significantly highest mean fracture resistance value ($579.6 \pm 48.3$). There was no statistically significant difference between Group I and Group II; both showed the statistically significantly lowest mean fracture resistance values ($415.1 \pm 58.6$) and ($370.6 \pm 64.2$) respectively, compared to group III ($579.6 \pm 48.3$) Table (2) and Figure (5).

The mode of failure of the test specimens in the three groups was nearly the same being an oblique fracture from the palatal aspect to the labial surface.

Table (2) Descriptive statistics and result of one-way ANOVA test for comparison between fracture resistance of the three groups (N)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>$P$-value</th>
<th>Effect size (Eta squared)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower bound</td>
<td>Upper bound</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>415.1 $^b$</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>407.9</td>
<td>347.7-520.2</td>
<td>360.9</td>
<td>469.3</td>
<td>$&lt;$0.001$^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>370.6 $^b$</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>378.5</td>
<td>276.5-450.6</td>
<td>311.3</td>
<td>430</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group III</td>
<td>579.6 $^A$</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>590.7</td>
<td>498.6-640.3</td>
<td>534.8</td>
<td>624.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^*$: Significant at $P \leq 0.05$, Different superscripts are statistically significantly different.
at or just below the insertion of the tooth in the epoxy resin block, which simulated the level of crystal bone (Fig 6). The majority of the specimens (15 test sample) presented repairable fractures (71%) and six samples only showed non-repairable fractures (29%). The proposed monoblock design (MACR) presented the highest percentage of repairable fractures (86%), followed by the glass fiber post group (GFP) (71%) and the least was the conventional group (CR) (57%) Table (3).

Table 3: Fracture patterns of the three tested groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fracture Pattern</th>
<th>Group I</th>
<th>Group II</th>
<th>Group III</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Repairable</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Repairable</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Macroscopic examination of the fractured test specimens of the three groups showed catastrophic failures. These failures ultimately resulted from a final loading that exceeded the mechanical capacity of the tooth structure and the ceramic material (Fig 6). The general observation of the fractured test specimens of the three groups revealed nearly the same pattern, where major parts of the ceramic were fractured but still assembled in place, with small tiny separated ceramic fragments. The mode of failure as revealed by X5 magnification lens was mainly adhesive-cohesive in nature, where remnants of the luting cement can be detected on the dentin and fitting surfaces of the test specimens of the three groups (Fig 6).

DISCUSSION

The ultimate goal of each skillful prosthodontist is to supply his patients with optimum restorations that satisfy both esthetic and functional demands as well as being long lasting. One of the most critical areas that is subjected to many conflict opinions is the restoration of intact endodontically treated teeth.

Sterzenbach et al. reported that dentists should read scientific research papers carefully before deciding a specific treatment approach for a postendodontic restoration. Inappropriate line of treatment that is not depending on evidence based data may result in unpredictable clinical results.

Bayne and Mjor considered in vitro studies a valuable tool for determining the mechanical performance of dental restorations that can help in predicting possible preclinical risk problems.
reported that the failure of the majority of restored endodontically treated teeth was due to prosthetic rather than biological reasons.

However, restoration of intact endodontically treated anterior tooth with a ceramic crown for esthetic reasons presents a real challenge to the fixed prosthodontist concerning the optimum treatment approach, whether using a post in the root canal or just a composite filling of the access cavity.

The literature is so rich in this particular area with many conflicting opinions, whether to use posts or not and what type of posts is more convenient.

Since decision making about the most suitable treatment option is becoming so critical and confusing, and in view of the most recent adhesive approaches, the present investigation aimed to test a newly proposed adhesive composite monoblock technique for restoring intact endodontically treated maxillary anterior teeth. This proposed technique was compared with two other traditional techniques: one of them was restored with composite filling in the access cavity, and the other restored with glass fiber post in the root canal. The teeth of the three tested groups were prepared with a standard manner to receive CAD/CAM e.max ceramic crowns.

Human teeth were selected in the present research, since other substitutes would behave differently when subjected to mechanical testing particularly to their maximum load capability and failure mode.\textsuperscript{68, 69} On the other hand, Noumann et al,\textsuperscript{70} considered maxillary central incisors to be an appropriate model for testing the mechanical performance of different restorative systems.

The two restorative techniques used for comparison with the newly proposed monoblock technique were considered by many authors to be convenient for restoring intact ETT, particularly in the esthetic zone.\textsuperscript{1-3, 21, 22, 71, 72}

However, when a ceramic crown has to be done for esthetic reasons, many authors,\textsuperscript{73-76} favored the use of glass fiber posts that necessitate intraradicular preparation to be adhesively bonded to root canal dentin for strengthening purpose. The intraradicular preparation together with the extracoronal reduction for the ceramic crown result in the removal of a considerable amount of sound tooth structure.\textsuperscript{20} Therefore, the idea of preserving as much as possible of the radicular dentin has led to this proposal of using the coronal third of the root canal together with the pulp chamber to create an adhesive composite monoblock bulk-fill to be integrated with the root and coronal dentin.

Great care was taken during all the procedural steps of this research to standardize everything starting from teeth selection that have similar dimensions, standard endodontic procedure including access cavity, radicular preparation dimensions and root canal obturation through adopting the rotary protaper system. The following steps of the different experimental groups including post insertion, composite filling and monoblock composite technique were all secured following a thorough adhesive bonding mechanism. The standard CAD/CAM ceramic crowns were also adhesively bonded to the prepared teeth of the three experimental groups, after which they were subjected to a controlled thermal cycling procedure between 5°C and 55°C for 2000 cycles to simulate the temperature ranges in the oral environment which vary between 0°C and 67°C.\textsuperscript{60}

Since intraoral occlusal forces create dynamic repetitive loading, it was therefore planned in the present investigation for testing the mechanical performance of the ceramic test samples after being thermocycled to subject them to simulated physiologic fatigue load before being subjected to the monotonic static fracture strength test. This allows the evaluation of the ceramic test assembly of the three experimental groups under clinically relevant conditions. The parameters used for the dynamic loading in the present work were guided
by the physiological values found in the literature. This presents approximately 15 days to 2 months of clinical service. This dynamic loading was intentionally planned in order to detect any technical invisible defects in the ceramic and to test the integrity of the whole test specimen which might lead to fracture at an early stage that would give a misleading fracture resistance value when subjected to the static loading test.

The statistical analysis of variance (ANOVA) revealed a significant difference between the groups. This indicates that there is a difference in the failure load values resulting from the different treatment modalities of the endodontically treated maxillary central incisors. However, pair wise comparisons between groups revealed that Group III in which glass fiber posts were used showed the highest statistically significant fracture resistance mean value (579.6 ± 48.3 N) as compared to the other two groups: I (conventional composite filling) and II (Monoblock composite design) which showed (415.1 ± 58.6 N) and (370.6 ± 64.2 N) failure load mean values respectively (Table 2 and Figure 5). The mean failure load values of groups I and II were not statistically significant from each other as evident from the statistical analysis. This finding might be in accordance with Sterzenbach et al. who found that adhesively cemented lithium-disilicate ceramic crowns were more stress resistant when posts were used compared to restoration of ETT without posts even when cyclic loading was performed as also reported by Forbergerand and Gohring.

However, the findings of our research also indicate that restoration of ETT may be possible without the use of a post which agrees with what has been found by Sebastia et al. who reported that this would preserve as much tooth substance as possible and the clinical procedure might be easier to achieve. Juloski et al. also considered remaining sound tooth structure to be more important than the impact of post and core system, luting agents, and the final restoration on the performance of ETT.

In this aspect it is also worth noting that anterior teeth are responsible for tearing and functional guidance as reported by Heydecke et al. and that fracture resistance of teeth depends on the angle of applied load. Loney et al. reported that axial forces are less detrimental than oblique forces.

Therefore, the test specimens in the present research were loaded on the palatal surface at an angle of 135° to the long axis of the root. Goodacre et al. reported that the maximal occluding force for males exerted by a maxillary incisor tooth was 146±44 N.

It is then evident from the recorded data of the present research that the two groups that did not receive glass fiber posts (Gr I “CR”) and (Gr II “MACR”) yielded fracture resistance mean values (415.1±58 N, and 370.6±64 N) that exceeded considerably the normal occluding force in this anterior area.

The most important task of conservative therapy is to restore ETT to resist fatigue forces without failures in the form of root fracture, loss of retention or fracture of the post itself. In the present research no root fractures were detected in any of the three experimental groups. However, in the third group in which glass fiber posts were used, post failures were in the form of bending rather than fracture. This could be due to matching of the modulus of elasticity of glass fiber posts with that of dentin, which improves the bending resistance, and qualifies the restored tooth when subjected to loading to absorb the forces concentrated along the root and reduce the probability of fracture.

It is worth noting in this aspect that the adhesive bonding technique followed in the present research concerning bonding the nano composite filling to dentin of the access cavity (Gr. I) or to the pulp chamber and the prepared channel in the root canal (Gr. II) or for bonding the glass fiber post to root
canal dentin, followed by bonding the ceramic
crowns to the prepared teeth might have an impact
on accentuating the fracture resistance mean values
of the restored teeth. This might coincide with Oh
and Anucavice,\textsuperscript{84} who reported that the bonding
characteristics may affect the fracture resistance and
failure mode of ceramic restorations by altering the
stress distribution through the substrate and reducing
stress concentrations in the ceramic material.

Hwang and Yang,\textsuperscript{85} Burke et al,\textsuperscript{86} and Blatz,\textsuperscript{6} found that resin luting agents have superior
mechanical properties and demonstrated increased
retentive capabilities, and when used in conjunction
with dentin bonding agents increased the fracture
resistance of overlying ceramic materials (Groten
and Probster).\textsuperscript{87}

Ozcan and Vallittu,\textsuperscript{88} referred to the importance
of surface conditioning of the ceramic, and reported
that adhesion between dental ceramic and luting
composite resin is due to a physico-chemical
interaction across the interface involving the
adhesive and the ceramic surface. Whereas, Foxton
et al,\textsuperscript{89} and Borges et al,\textsuperscript{90} reported that the bonding
to lithium disilicate-based ceramics is usually
obtained by two simultaneous mechanisms: (1)
micromechanical retention provided by acid etching
of the ceramic surface with hydrofluoric acid (HF),
and (2) chemical coupling by the application of
silane coupling agent. Etching and silanization
increase the surface energy and wettability of the
ceramic substrate which decreases the contact angle
between the ceramic and the resin cement (Phoenix
and Shen)\textsuperscript{91} and (Soderholm and Shang).\textsuperscript{92}

Regarding bonding to dentin, its regional
variability in terms of morphological and functional
characteristics determines the quality of resin dentin
bonds achieved with adhesive systems. Ferrari
et al,\textsuperscript{93} and Foxton et al,\textsuperscript{89} reported that the three
different bonding sites (crown, cervical finish line,
and post space) possess different morphological
characteristics, which could influence the bond
strength of the adhesive systems. Phrukkanon et
al,\textsuperscript{84} and Schupbach et al,\textsuperscript{85} found that the direction
of the dentinal tubules played an important role in
hybrid layer formation and in increasing the bond
strength values.

Bonding esthetic posts to root canal dentin is
still a controversial and challenging subject in the
clinical practice. The effect of self-adhesive and
total-etch adhesive dual cure resin cements on
the bond strength of fiber posts to dentin is still a
matter of debate. However, Ferrari et al,\textsuperscript{86} and Amiri
et al,\textsuperscript{90} found that the bond strength of fiber-post to
intracanal dentin was higher after the use of the dual
activating system with the total etch technique. The
dual-cure self-activating system showed a more
uniform resin tag and resin-dentin interdiffusion
zone formation along root canal walls than light-
curing systems.\textsuperscript{86}

The bonding strategy followed in the present in
vitro study was the total-etch and adhesive dual-
cure resin cement.

The former discussion concerning the bonding
procedure clarifies the importance of standardizing
all the steps followed to optimize the whole bonding
procedure, for its decisive influence on the obtained
data.

The fracture patterns obtained in the three
experimental groups were the same concerning
the mode being an oblique line continued from
the palatal aspect of the crown to the labial tooth
surface at the insertion into the epoxy resin block
(Fig. 6). This finding coincides with Sterzenbach et
al,\textsuperscript{97} who explained this fracture pattern to be due
to tension palatally and compression facially caused
by the palatal loading.

The visual and magnified macroscopic findings
of the test specimens of the three groups after being
subjected to mechanical testing revealed major
fractured ceramic parts that contained remnants
of the luting cement on their intaglio surfaces,
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and can be reassembled, and minor ceramic fragments. The exposed dentin surface showed scattered minor remnants of the luting cement (Fig. 6). This denotes that the tooth cement interface appeared to be the weakest link rather than the ceramic cement interface which indicates that the mode of failure was mainly adhesive-cohesive in the three tested groups.

Examination of the broken test specimens of the three groups to classify them as repairable and non-repairable ETT (Table 3) revealed that 71% were repairable and 29% were considered non-repairable. The proposed monoblock design (MACR) presented the highest percentage (86%) of restorable fractures followed by the glass fiber post group (GFP) (71%) and the least was the conventional composite filling group (CR) (57%) that could be restorable. This high percentage of restorable ETT of the proposed monoblock technique is considered so promising and has important clinical implication since restorable fractures prolong the clinical longevity of endodontically treated teeth. This might be agreeing with Van Meerbeek et al., who stated that the true breakthrough in the restoration of endodontically treated teeth was the introduction of adhesion through developing effective dentin adhesives.

Following the same rationale Sevimli et al., reported that macroretentive elements are no longer mandatory as long as enough surface is available for adhesive bonding. They considered the insertion of radicular posts the exception rather than the rule in conventional restorative techniques. In this aspect also Dietschi et al., reported about the gold standard for restoring ETT which is the minimally invasive preparations with maximal tissue conservation. However, the results of the present in vitro investigation revealed fracture resistance mean value for the group restored with glass fiber posts that was significantly higher than those of the other two groups inspite of all being exceeding considerably the maximum occluding forces in this area. This might favor the use of glass fiber posts in cases with insufficient amount of remaining tooth structure or in areas with suspected high occlusal stresses, where maximum resistance is needed.

On the other hand, the promising fracture resistance mean values obtained with the proposed monoblock adhesive composite restoration (MACR) encourage the potential of further investigation in this direction where different design features are to be tried with other currently available bondable materials to achieve mechanically homogeneous units with root dentin, in which the term monoblock is applied.

According to the results of this investigation, the null hypothesis stating that there would be no difference in the fracture resistance mean values of the three experimental groups has to be rejected, since the group restored with glass fiber posts showed significantly higher values than the other two groups. However, these two groups: conventional composite filling and the proposed monoblock restoration revealed comparable fracture resistance mean values that are not significantly different.

The other null hypothesis concerning that there is no difference in the failure mode has to be accepted since the fracture line in the test specimens in the three groups was the same having an oblique direction from the palatal aspect to the labial surface of the ceramic crown. The failure mode in all test specimens was mainly adhesive-cohesive in nature.

CONCLUSIONS

Under the conditions of this in vitro investigation, the following could be concluded:

1- The three treatment modalities tested for restoring intact endodontically treated maxillary central incisors namely composite filling of the access cavity, monoblock adhesive composite restoration and glass fiber post groups that were all covered with CAD/CAM ceramic crowns proved to be successful in terms of mechanical and esthetic stand points.
2- The fracture resistance values of the three tested restorative designs exceeded the normal masticatory forces in this anterior region considerably.

3- The teeth restored with glass fiber posts (GFP) presented the highest statistically significant fracture resistance mean value as compared to the other two groups.

4- The proposed monoblock adhesive composite restoration (MACR) together with the composite restoration of the access cavity (CR) groups provided comparable fracture resistance mean values.

5- The majority of the tested samples (71%) showed repairable fractures, however, the proposed monoblock technique presented the highest percentage (86%) of restorable fractures.

6- The mode of failure was mainly adhesive-cohesive in nature which might be referred to optimizing the adhesive bonding procedure throughout all the preparatory steps of the test samples.
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