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ABSTRACT

Background: Implant osseointegration is an important of long term success of the widely used Rehabilitation treatment. Many therapeutic presidiums have been investigated to accelerate osseointegration. Concentrated growth factors are third generation platelet concentrate used clinically in acceleration of tissue healing and bone regeneration. The aim of this study was to assess histologically the effect of using CGF on osseointegration of immediate dental implant in animal model

Methods: ten animal models (adult mongrel dogs) were selected in this study each to receive one immediate implant of the Spectra system (Inc. Las Vegas, NV 89149, CA. USA). They were divided in to 2 equal groups; group A received immediate implant without CGF as a control group and group B received immediate implant with CGF which was applied to the socket walls before implant placement. Eight weeks postoperatively the animal models were euthanized and implants with surrounding bone were dissected and transferred in formalin to the lab for histologic preparation and histomorphometric analysis

Results: histomorphometric analysis revealed the mean percentage of new bone formation for group A (control group) was 25.662 % while for group B it reached 66.939%. Student’s t–test revealed that the difference between both groups was statistically significant difference with p value of 0.0001 which indicated the higher amount of bone regeneration in group B indicative of the accelerated osseointegration process in the test group.

Conclusion it may be concluded that application of CGF to the socket walls before placement of immediate implant may enhance the healing of bone surrounding implants and accelerate osseointegration providing a convenient and affordable choice for implant placement. Application of this method may, therefore, be effective in enhancing the rate of osseointegration and allow for early loading of immediate implants.
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INTRODUCTION

Despite the ongoing advances of modern dentistry; loss of natural teeth is still inevitable. For many people the loss of teeth can mean the loss of jaw function and can lead to poor oral aesthetics and severe emotional distress. During the last decade implantology has become an indispensable part of dentistry; allowing dentists to improve the quality of life of a large number of patients and have revolutionized the way by which missing teeth are replaced with a high success rate; it is now considered the treatment of choice.

A Dental implant is a prosthetic device placed into the oral tissues under the mucosal or/and periosteal layer, and on/or within the bone to offer retention and support for a removable or fixed dental prosthesis.

Osseointegration of dental implants is important for long term success and stability and it all starts with Bone healing around implants which involve a series of cellular and extracellular biological events take place at the bone - implant interface for healing of bone around implants till the implant surface is covered with a newly formed bone. In an osteogenic processes comparable to those of the bone healing process, in terms of initial host response, growth and differentiation factors released by the activated blood cells at the bone-implant interface which regulates this series of biological events.

The first biological component to come into contact with an endosseous implant is Blood. Blood cells at the implant interface release cytokines and other soluble, growth and differentiation factors. Platelets undergo biochemical and morphological changes including adhesion, spreading, aggregation and intracellular biochemical changes. The formed fibrin matrix acts as an osteoconductive substance for the osteogenic cells to adhere to and start forming bone.

Osteogenic cells form osteoid tissue and new trabecular bone that finally remodels into lamellar bone in direct contact with most of the implant surface (osseointegration).

Growth factors are proteins that regulate the processes of wound healing. Platelet derived growth factor (PDGF), Transforming growth factor β (TGF – β), Fibroblastic Growth Factor (FGF), Insulin-like growth factor I and II (IGF I & II), Bone morphogenes proteins (BMPs) are the factors mediates the healing process. Platelet concentrate such as platelet rich plasma (PRP), Platelet rich fibrin (PRF), and Concentrated growth factors (CGF) have been used to accelerate tissue healing for a long time. Among these concentrates, CGF that shows better regenerative capacity than PRP and PRF.

Concentrated growth factor (CGF) is an autogenous product formed from venous blood by selective centrifugation. Local growth factors in human platelets would enhance healing of grafts and also counteract resorption. In 2006, Sacco introduced concentrated growth factors (CGFs), which contain more growth factors and a more rigid fibrin structure. Therefore, CGFs have shown more effective bone formation or soft tissue healing.

Since then many studies have been conducted to investigate the possible benefits from applying CGF in the field of oral and maxillofacial surgery. Honda etal 2013 Found that CGF promoted proliferation, osteogenic maturation and mineralization of mesenchymal stem cells in vitro more over the authors found that combining CGFs with bone marrow stroma cells resulted in excellent healing of critical sized bone defects in vivo.

Chen etal 2016 Evaluated short term performance of implants placed after modified osteotome sinus floor elevation with CGFs in atrophic maxilla. The results showed high survival rate for these cases.

Durmuslar etal 2016 Compared bone healing around implants when placed alone (control) and
when placed with autologous bone and when combined with CGFs in animal model. The results came in favor of CGFs combined implants. Wang et al 2016 10 Tested the effect of CGFs in treatment of induced TMJ osteoarthritis in goats. Results revealed new cartilage and bone regeneration when CGF was used. The authors concluded that the use of CGF method can replace the chondrocyte scaffold approach in cartilage regeneration.

Pirpir et al 2017 11 Assessed the effect of CGF on implant stability and osseointegration in patients. The authors concluded that CGFs had positive effect on implant stabilization and accelerated osseointegration.

Bonazza et al 2018 12 Conducted an in-vitro study to investigate the effect of soluble form of silicon with or without CGF and CGF alone on 3 human cells (fibroblasts, endothelial cells and osteoblasts). Authors found that CGF stimulates cell growth, proliferation, and metabolic activity, of all 3 tested cells suggesting that this treatment could be effective in tissue regeneration.

Talaat et al 2018 13 investigated the effect of CGF application after enucleation of pathologic lesions from mandible. Results revealed significantly higher bone density in cases treated with CGF; indicating that this line of treatment is a cost effective and safe biotechnology approach for bone regeneration in surgical bone defects.

Various strategies are being explored to improve the quality and accelerate the osseointegration of a dental implant one of which is the bioactive molecules as CGF that have proven to be valuable in increasing osteoblastic differentiation and healing acceleration. 14

AIM OF THE STUDY

The aim of this study was to assess bone healing histologically around dental immediate implants with and without the application of concentrated growth factors in animal model.

METHODES

Animal selection and preparation

Ten Healthy male adult mongrel dogs, weighing 15 Kgs to 20 Kgs were selected to receive 10 immediate implants were used in the current study.

All guidelines regarding the care of animal research subjects were strictly followed in this study; all captured dogs had a veterinary health care & were housed in the animal house of Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine – Cairo University. They received all needed medication, kept in separate cages & properly fed. The kennels were sprayed with Neocidal (diazinon) (Misrpharmaceutical, co, Egypt) in concentration 6/1000 ml. All the animals were injected subcutaneously with Ivermectin (Ivomec) (Misrpharmaceutical, co, Egypt.) 0.1 ml/kg body weight to guard against external and internal parasites.

Grouping:

The 10 selected animal models were equally divided into 2 groups group A and group B. Group A: five immediate implants were placed in fresh extraction socket of the anterior or premolar maxillary area (control group). Group B: five immediate implants were placed with concentrated growth factor (CGF) which was laid down into the fresh extraction socket of the anterior or premolar maxillary area (test group).

Concentrated growth factors (CGF) preparation

A standard, disposable, 10 ml non-anticoagulant tube and a matching centrifuge device were used. Intravenous blood samples were drawn from the jugular vein and placed in centrifuge tube without anticoagulants. Then the tubes were placed in the centrifugation device in an opposing balanced manner and rotated in four sequential steps. The first step at 735 g (2249 ≈ 2200) for 2 min., the second one at 580 g (1998 ≈ 2000) for 4 min., the third one at 735 g (2249 ≈ 2200) for 4 min. and the fourth one
at 905 g (2495 ≈ 2500) for 3 min. The result was a clot that was collected using a straight tweezer and ready to be used

**Surgical steps and procedures:**

Prior surgery dogs were given antibiotic therapy IM (cefoperazone sodium 40mg\(\times\)Kg). (Pfizer Egypt S.A.E). Twelve hours before the surgery food and water were stopped. Half an hour before surgery premedication in the form of atropine sulfate (Misr pharmaceutical Co. Egypt.) (0.5 mg/kg) body weight was administrated IM. Surgical procedure was made under general anesthesia with aseptic condition. Anesthesia: Thiopental sodium (Epico co, Egypt.) 30mg/kg body weight in saline was injected IV. The surgical site was disinfected by Bovidone iodine 1%.

In group A; Gingival (sulcular) mucoperiosteal incision was performed around the neck of the tooth to be extracted and extended around the mesial and distal adjacent teeth to allow exposure the field of surgery. The tooth was gently extracted as atraumatically as possible to preserve available bone following extraction particularly the labial and buccal wall.

The socket was prepared to receive the immediate implants of Spectra system (Inc. Las Vegas, NV 89149, CA. USA.), thoroughly debrided by careful curettage and irrigated with sterile saline solution to remove any infected or inflammatory tissues as well as remnants of the periodontal ligament. The implant bed was drilled at the bottom of the socket using the drilling sequence recommended by manufacturer.

All drilling cascades were completed using a high torque low speed surgical motor with an internal irrigation device. The drilling speed was 800 rpm, and was decreased to 650 rpm in dense bone. Cooling system in the form of normal saline was utilized. The drilling was performed to reach the appropriate depth reference line. The drilling was continuing till engagement of the drill with the apical part of the socket was detected with increasing the depth 3-4 mms apically.

Drilling was performed to enlarge the implant site and increasing in size until the selected implant diameter was reached. The prepared sites then irrigated with normal saline to remove any bony fragments. After preparation of the implant site the implant was removed from the inner vial by the silicon stopper without breaking the sterile chain, guided into the cavity and inserted with finger pressure.

The stopper was removed then the remounted placement head was also removed from the implant. The implant driver was positioned in the internal hex and the implant was threaded in place with approximately three revolutions in clockwise direction into the final position using the ratchet. Once the implant has been seated, the implant driver was removed from the internal implant-abutment connection. The cover screw in the silicone stopper is removed and threaded into the implant hex driver.

In group B (CGF group); the surgical procedures were performed in the same manner, before the implant insertion, the socket walls were laid with CGF. Flaps were sutured with 30 silk suture material without covering the gingival formers.

**Post-operative care:**

Ten animals were kept for recovery under close supervision. The animals were given an antibiotic (Cefoperazone sodium 40 mg\(\times\)Kg and an anti-inflammatory drugs Ordis (Avents, Egypt). 20mg \(\times\)Kg via intramuscular injection, two divided dose per day for 5 days postoperatively. All animals were consuming soft diet for the first week postoperatively. Follow-up of the surgical sites with regards to gingival health, maintenance of suture line closure, edema, and evidence of tissue necrosis or infection was recorded.
Euthanasia:

At the end of the experimental period (8 weeks post operatively) the animals were sacrificed. The animals were injected with 20 ml Nesdonal solution; 5% rapidly injected through the cephalic vein. The implant with the surrounding bone were harvested for histological and histomorphometric evaluation.

Follow up and postoperative Evaluation:

All specimens were subjected to histological evaluation and histomorphometric analysis. Block section including the implant, surrounding bone has been taken. The implant sites was collected and sectioned for histological examination and histomorphometric evaluation.

Histological preparation:

Immediately after scarification, implants with the surrounding alveolar bone were dissected and biopsies were fixed in neutral buffered 10% formalin. Specimens were decalcified in 5% formic acid for 4-6 weeks. After adequate decalcification implants were gently removed from the specimens, and then tissues were dehydrated in ascending grades of ethyl alcohol and embedded in paraffin. Five µm thick sections were cut in a buccolingual direction. Tissue sections were cleared in xylene, rehydrated and stained by hematoxylin and eosin and examined by light microscopy.

Histomorphometric analysis:

H&E stained sections were assessed by ordinary light microscope to detect the newly formed bone trabeculae. An image analyzer computer system software Leica® Quin500 (Leica Microsystems Inc., Switzerland) was used to process the images.

The percentage of the area of newly formed bone trabeculae was measured as area and area percent in a standard measuring frame of area 38883.69 micrometer² per 10 fields in each. Mean values were obtained for each specimen.

Statistical analysis:

Data related to the histomorphometric analyses were presented as means and standard deviation (SD) values. Student’s T-test was used to compare between means. The significance level was set at P ≤ 0.05. Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS® 16.0 (Statistical Package for Scientific Studies) for Windows.

RESULTS:

All implants successfully healed without any postoperative complications. No mortality, infection
or wound exposure or change in body weight was noted. All the cases of the experimental animal models group (group B) were sacrificed 8 weeks postoperatively and prepared for microscopic examination.

**Group A (Control group):** Microscopic Examination of H&E stained sections of cases treated without concentrated growth factor (CGF) revealed numerous irregular newly formed bone trabeculae at the implant site. Entrapped osteocytes were noted inside these trabeculae, while osteoblasts rimmed their border and large marrow spaces dispersed in between. Dilated blood vessels and extravasated red blood cells were observed within the marrow spaces.

Fibrous tissue formation was demonstrated in all specimens and ranged from loose collagen fibers to dense collagen condensation. The extent of fibrous tissue varied between specimens, but was mainly limited to restricted areas surrounding blood vessels. All specimens were free from inflammatory cell infiltration. **Fig 3**

**Group B: (test group)** Microscopic examination of H&E stained sections of cases treated with concentrated growth factor (CGF) revealed the deposition of irregular newly formed bone trabeculae attempting to fill the area surrounding the implant site. Furthermore, osteoblastic rimming indicated their continuous deposition. Dilated blood vessels and extravasated red blood cells were observed in between the newly formed bone. Regular collagen deposition of variable densities was also detected. **Fig 4**

**Histomorphometric analysis:** H&E stained sections were assessed by ordinary light microscope to detect the newly formed bone trabeculae. An image analyzer computer system was used for generation of digital photomicrographs for histomorphometric analysis. The areas containing the most intense new bone formation were chosen for evaluation. These areas were masked by a blue binary colour to be measured by the computer system. Mean values were obtained for each specimen.

![Fig. (3): Photomicrograph (H&E x100) of group (A) 8 weeks post-operatively demonstrated regular arrangement of collagen fibers (C). Irregular trabeculae of newly formed woven bone (N) and lamellar bone (B)](image)

![Fig. (4): Photomicrograph (H& Ex 200) of Group (B) 8 weeks postoperatively demonstrating newly formed bone almost filling the defect. Viable osteocytes entrapped in lacunae (arrow), and marrow spaces (M) Organized collagen fibers (F) and dilated blood vessels (B) are seen at the boundaries of the defect)](image)
by light microscopy transferred to the screen of the image analyser computer system.

In group A treated without CGF, the mean area percent was 25.662 %. It ranged from a minimum 6.42 % of to a maximum of 42.81 %. While In group B treated with CGF, the mean area percent of newly formed bone was 66.939%. It ranged from a minimum 56.71% of to a maximum of 91.87 %.

Student’s t–test revealed that the difference between both groups was statistically significant (p=0.0001). Tab 2

TABLE (1) Histomorphometric findings in both groups 8 weeks post operatively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filed</th>
<th>Group A (Without CGF)</th>
<th>Group B (With CGF)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Area fraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>155440.6</td>
<td>2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>15544.06</td>
<td>0.258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>std Dev</td>
<td>5542.558</td>
<td>0.09899495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>std Erro</td>
<td>2891.887</td>
<td>0.0480925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
<td>34542.12</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
<td>4531.64</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>30010.48</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE (2) Statistical significance of the difference in the mean area percent of newly formed bone in both groups 8 weeks post operatively using Student’s t test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Group A Without CGF</th>
<th>Group B With CGF</th>
<th>T value</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Std. Error Difference</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>25.662</td>
<td>66.939</td>
<td>6.042</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6.83</td>
<td>0.0001***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|SD   | 15.0936144 | 15.45777147 | 4.773 | 4.88817654 | 10 | 10 | df: degree of freedom, *** extremely statistically significant
DISCUSSION

Osseointegration of dental implant is a determinant factor in the stability and long term success various strategies are being explored to improve the quality and accelerate the osseointegration of a dental implant one of which is the bioactive molecules as CGF that have proven to be valuable in increasing osteoblastic differentiation and healing acceleration.

The different centrifugation procedure used to produce CGF gives a fibrin network with superior properties than previous generations of blood products. It shows higher cohesion and fibrin tensile strength and stability. Which provides easy handling, manipulation and application to the surgical defect; moreover it degrades over a longer period of time which allows for sustained release of the entrapped growth factors with in the fibrin matrix. shown in a study of biological properties by Bernardi et al 2017 (16)

This modified centrifugation process also provides a matrix not only superior in the physical properties but loaded with higher concentrations of growth factors which accelerates bone generation and increases new bone formation as described by Öncü et al 2017 (14) and Palermo et al 2019(15)

Furthermore the fact that these concentrates are autologous and do not need bovine thrombin all the biocompatibility issues are avoided and the growth factors are of high quality as described by Rodella et al 2011 (17) in a immunohistochemical study whom stated from their results that CGF is a big fibrin matrix of high density and rich in growth factors which have positive effect on stimulation of cellular proliferation, matrix remodeling and neo-angiogenesis.

In the present study the potential effect of CGF on acceleration of osseointegration in immediate dental implants was investigated via histologically in animal model. Histomorphometric analysis showed significantly higher mean percentage of new bone formation in group B (treated with CGF) at 66.939%, while mean percentage of new bone formation in group A (control group) was at 25.662%

Student’s t –test revealed that the difference between both groups was statistically significant (p=0.0001). this indicated accelerated bone formation in group B which comes in agreement with results reported by Honda etal 2013 (7), Durmuslar etal 2016 (9), Wang etal 2016 (10), Pirpir etal 2017(11), Talaat etal 2018 (13); whom all found CGF to promote proliferation of osteoblasts and osteogenic maturation and mineralization which led to accelerated healing around the dental implant and further more implant stability and better surgical defect fill.

These results may be attributed to the fact that CGF stimulates cell growth proliferation and metabolic activity of fibroblasts, endothelial cells and osteoblasts which all are determinant cells in tissue healing and tissue regeneration which was confirmed in a study by Bonazza etal 2018 (12) whom investigated the positive effect of CGF on these critical 3 human cells in-vitro.

On the other hand, the control group A (control group) showed bone formation with numerous irregular newly formed bone trabeculae, entrapped osteocytes inside the trabeculae and osteoblasts rimming their border all which indicate the ongoing process around the implant which has been demonstrated by Mavrogenis etal 2009 (4) and Zupnik et al 2011 (3) confirming that once blood cells come into contact with implant surface it releases many cytokines and growth factors and differentiation factors further more form a fibrin matrix on the implant surface acting as an osteoconductive matrix promoting osseointegration all which are normal physiological cascade of events.
The significantly higher mean percentage of new bone formation in group B - where the sockets were treated with CGF before placement of the immediate implants - may be attributed to the high concentration of growth factors present in this structure (CGF) and the sustained release of them due to the stronger more stable type of fibrin matrix produced also shown by Öncü et al 2016 (44) whom described this modified centrifugation procedure which allows for production of fibrin network loaded with high concentration of growth factors than in other blood products which of course amplifies the quality and quantity of bone regeneration and tissue healing around the immediate implant. Furthermore demonstrated in studies by Sohn et al 2011(5) and Sacco et al 2016(60) and Bernardi et al 2017(66).

CONCLUSION

Within the limitations of this study it may be concluded that application of CGF to the socket walls before placement of immediate implant may enhance the healing of bone surrounding implants and accelerate osseointegration offering a convenient and affordable choice for implant placement. Application of this method may, therefore, be effective in enhancing the rate of osseointegration and allow for early loading of immediate implants. Future clinical studies are needed to demonstrate the positive effect of CGF application in different fields of oral surgery
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