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ABSTRACT

Aim: This study aimed to show the adverse effect of surgical extrusion technique in the management of crown-root fractures regarding tooth loss over a six-year follow-up period.

Methods: Twenty patients with a crown-root fracture in maxillary incisors were selected from an outpatient clinic in pediatric dentistry department- Cairo University. Twelve males and eight females with age range from 11-14 years participated in this study. Surgical extrusion was performed combined with endodontic treatment. Patients were recalled every year following surgical extrusion to assess the risk of tooth loss.

Results: Twenty patients participated in this study with mean age of 131 months. Only 17 patients completed a follow-up period of at least one year. Overall, five teeth were lost over a follow-up period of six years constituting 29% (95% CI 13 to 53%) of the study population.

Conclusion: Surgical tooth extrusion could be considered as a treatment option for crown-root fractures. Nevertheless, tooth loss is not an uncommon adverse effect. The first two years are critical for deciding the survival of surgical extruded tooth.

INTRODUCTION

Loss of anterior maxillary teeth early in life can result in esthetic, functional and psychological complications. Trauma to anterior teeth may cause various forms of tooth fracture, one of which is crown-root fracture defined as a fracture passing through enamel, dentin and cementum. Crown-root fractures constitute a challenge as the fracture line moves subgingivally, creating difficulty during restorative procedures.

There is a general agreement that tooth-restorative interface should be placed supra-gingival to avoid encroachment on gingival biologic width, hence increase the long-term success of the treatment. Setting the margin of the restoration sub-gingival frequently leads to chronic gingivitis, the loss of clinical attachment, bony pockets and gingival recessions.

Four treatment possibilities have been proposed to treat crown-root fracture: tooth extraction, intentional replantation, surgical crown lengthening...
and root extrusion. Tooth removal seems to be the easiest choice, yet it requires prosthetic treatment or implant therapy which has their limitation in young age (15). Surgical crown lengthening can be successfully used in the posterior region, where esthetics is not a significant concern (18). The surgical approach requires osseous and gingival contouring. Side effects of such a technique in the anterior region includes; lowering gingival papilla and exposing cementoenamel junction which causes hypersensitivity and produces compromised esthetics (5).

Root extrusion was first reported in the seventies (19, 20) as a treatment modality for treatment of root fractures and non-restorable teeth. It was classified into two techniques; orthodontic and surgical extrusion. Orthodontic extrusion applied and extrusive force via orthodontic appliances to move the root in a cervical direction to expose the fracture line (6, 9). Surgical root extrusion became more popular in the late seventies (42) and early eighties (23, 37). Kahnberg (22) described a simple surgical technique involving intra-alveolar transplantation. A carefully extruded root, stabilised by inter-dental suturing and surgical dressing, required endodontic therapy and a porcelain crown. This was considered a satisfactory alternative to orthodontic extrusion of the fractured root (15). This study aims to evaluate the six years survival of surgical extruded teeth.

Aim of the study

This study aimed to show the adverse effect of surgical extrusion technique in the management of crown-root fractures regarding tooth loss over a six-year follow-up period.

PARTICIPANTS AND METHODS

Study design: A posttreatment survival analysis using Kaplan-Meier estimate was used for a period up to 6 years follow-up. Survival analysis in clinical trials involve following patients for a long time with a primary event of interest like death, relapse or adverse drug effect. (38)

All parents signed a written and informed consent permitting their children to take part in the study. Participants were eligible for the study when they present with an incisor tooth suffering complicated or uncomplicated crown-fracture. Vital and necrotic pulps were selected with no predilection. Roots undergoing external or internal root resorption that are evident on a standard periapical film were excluded from the study. Patients were recruited from the outpatient clinic of Pediatric Dentistry Department, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University.

All patients were recruited from the outpatient clinic, pediatric dentistry department, or referred from the outpatient clinic- oral and maxillofacial department, Cairo University. Twenty-seven patients were screened; four couldn’t commit to long-term follow-up, while 3 showed evident progressive root resorption. Twenty patients enrolled in the trial. Three patients dropped out directly after the surgical extrusion procedures, while 17 continued the follow-up period.

Crown-root fracture was diagnosed with visual inspection of the fractured tooth fragment, periodontal probe to measure the extent of the fracture and radiographically using a periapical film with bisecting angle technique.

After diagnosing the case as crown-root fracture, the patient was enrolled in the study. Clinical examination included percussion test, probing depth using William’s graduated periodontal probe. Percussion test with high pitched metallic sound in comparison with neighbouring will presumably indicate the presence of ankylosis (Campbell, Casas et al. 2005). Also, pain on percussion would mean a periapical pathosis. Meanwhile, the digital radiographic examination was performed using bisecting angle technique.
Crown-root fractures are classified according to the direction of fracture line into four types \(^\text{(26)}\). Type A (Oblique; fracture below marginal bone buccal), B (Oblique; fracture margin below marginal bone palatal), C (transverse; fracture margin running bucco-lingual with minimal crown left) and D (lateral; fracture margin below marginal bone mesial or distal).

Surgical extrusion was performed by an anterior extraction forceps. Rotational extraction movement was done with no labial or lingual movements to avoid crushing the periodontal cells against the alveolar bone. The tooth was extruded until the sub-gingival placed fracture margin becomes apparent at least 1 mm supra-gingival.

**TABLE (1) Pre-operative and procedural steps for patient number (7)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-operative</th>
<th>Procedural steps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extra-oral photograph: Facial view</td>
<td>Surgical extrusion using maxillary incisor forceps with rotational movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-oral photograph: Frontal view</td>
<td>Frontal view: Wire (0.016” round stainless wire) and composite splint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-oral photograph: Occlusal view</td>
<td>Occlusal view: Occlusal adjustments made to tooth no. 11 to prevent premature contact during the fixation phase</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. (1) Pre-operative periapical radiograph (A) and post-surgical extrusion with provisional composite restoration (B) for patient no (7). The patient presented with faulty endodontic obturation and overextension of gutta-percha together with a swaged crown. Figure (B) showed tooth with two years follow up. An apical radiolucency exists, and the patient was complaining of unbearable pain that didn’t respond to analgesics nor antibiotics. The tooth was planned for extraction, and a spoon denture was delivered.

TABLE (2) Pre-operative and follow-up photographs for a patient number (7)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-operative</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extra-oral photograph: Facial view</td>
<td>Extra-oral photograph: Facial view</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-oral photograph: Frontal view</td>
<td>Intra-oral photograph: Frontal view</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-oral photograph: Occlusal view</td>
<td>Intra-oral photograph: Occlusal view</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Splinting was performed using a wire and composite splint (semi-rigid splint). The wire diameter was 0.016-inch orthodontic stainless-steel wire. Flow-It® ALC™ flowable composite was used taking care not to extend the composite over the inter-proximal part of the wire to avoid increasing the rigidity of the splint which may lead to ankylosis. The splint was extended one tooth mesial and distal to the surgically extruded tooth.

Post-operative antibiotics and analgesics were prescribed; Amoxicillin 250 mg Cap t.d.s/7 days to prevent contamination that could lead to root resorption (17) and Brufen 200 mg tab t.d.s/2 days.

Removal of the splint and excess composite two weeks later (31). The final restoration was planned to be a full ceramic zirconia-based crown or composite restoration. Since all patients were under 18 years of age, composite restoration was performed. Patients were recalled every year following the placement of the final restoration.

RESULTS

Demographics:

Initially, twenty patients participated in the study (12 males and eight females), with a mean age of 131 months (10 years and 11 months). Three patients just performed the surgical extrusion procedures but never showed up for splint removal, so they were excluded from the study. Thus, 17 patients were followed-up to 6 years Figure 2. All surgical extruded teeth were maxillary incisors. The average time lapse since trauma was 13 month (Range from 0 to 72 month) Figure 3, Table 4.

| TABLE (4) Mean Age for the participants (in months) |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|----------|
| Age (month) | N    | Range | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |
| Age (month) | 20    | 71    | 99      | 170     | 126.63 | 20.026 |

The percentage of crown-root fractured teeth included in this study were; type A 38%, type B (29%), type D (33%). Transverse crown-root fractures (type C) were not present.

The causes for crown-root fractures in patients included in this study are illustrated in Table 4. Falls presents the primary reason of crown-root fractures followed by car and motorcycle accidents, while aggression and fights with other personnel constitute the least percentage as a causative factor.
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TABLE (4) Distribution of factors causing CRF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Causative factor</th>
<th>Number of teeth</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggression</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accident</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can’t recall</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The endodontic condition of the crown-root fractured tooth before surgical extrusion is illustrated in Table 5. All teeth presented with a complicated crown-root fracture. Two traumatised teeth had a previous restoration.

TABLE (5) The Endodontic condition of the crown-root fractured tooth before surgical extrusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pulp condition</th>
<th>Number of teeth</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vital pulp</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necrotic pulp</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previously obturated</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tooth loss

Five out of 17 surgical extruded teeth suffered tooth loss, with a resultant percentage of 29% (95% CI 13 to 53%). Two teeth were lost due to extensive inflammatory root resorption Table 6. One tooth was avulsed due to second trauma two years after surgical extrusion procedures. Two teeth were extracted due to the spontaneous pain that didn’t resolve with any painkiller.

Survival analysis Figure 4 was performed using Kaplan-Meier method. Tooth survival as an outcome means the length of time elapsed before tooth loss occurs. It shows that all teeth were lost in the first four years with nearly 30% probability. Life table Table 7 counts for lost teeth shows that two teeth were lost in the first year, two teeth were lost in the second year while one tooth was lost in the 4th year.

Figure 4: Plots of Kaplan-Meier product limit estimates of survival of a group of patients, with 95% CI around each time point (shaded)

Table (6) External inflammatory root resorption following surgical extrusion for patient number (3)
TABLE (7) Life table for surgical extruded teeth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient number</th>
<th>Patient years in follow-up</th>
<th>Tooth loss</th>
<th>Censored</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

Traumatic dental injuries have a substantial impact on children’s daily life (28). High levels of violence, traffic accidents and more significant participation of children in sports have contributed to transforming traumatic dental injuries into a public health problem (27).

Traumatic injuries to teeth and their supporting structures occur most commonly in young patients and vary in severity from enamel fractures to avulsions (10, 11). Maxillary central incisors were the teeth most involved in dental trauma followed by maxillary lateral incisors (10, 16, 34, 36, 39).

“Crown-root fracture is defined as a fracture involving enamel, dentin and cementum” (40). It can be classified as either complicated or uncomplicated according to pulp exposure (43). According to the force and direction of the impact, a fracture line can start at some point of the crown and extend longitudinally through the pulp chamber extending to the subgingival area and alveolar bone crest (3).

Crown-root fracture comprises 5% of injuries affecting permanent dentition and 2% in primary dentition. It is usually the result of a direct impact in the anterior region, whereas in the posterior area they are caused by indirect trauma, mainly to the chin (25, 35). The most common etiologic factors are injuries caused by falls, bicycle and automobile accidents and foreign bodies striking the teeth (2).

Tooth with complicated crown-root fracture presents a lot of problems in respect to coronal restoration (1). The sub-gingival placed fracture margin makes it difficult to control moisture with subsequent failure of coronal restoration. Moreover, persistent gingival inflammation, loss of connective tissue attachment and unpredictable bone loss can be expected when the coronal restoration is located in the biologic width. These can be manifested clinically by gingival bleeding, periodontal pocket formation and gingival retraction (33).

Surgical tooth extrusion is not a new technique in the treatment of crown-root fractures. Kahnberg (23) was the first to introduce the concept of intra-alveolar transplantation, but it was a difficult technique as he placed autologous bone transplants.
under the extruded root. Three years later, a more straightforward procedure was done without autologous bone transplants was done three years later (22) and was found to be successful as well.

**Discussion of Methods:**

Surgical extrusion was performed using extraction forceps with careful rotational extraction movement. Since the root of maxillary central incisor is cone-shaped (4), rotational extraction movement would only tear the periodontal ligaments attached to the alveolar bone without damaging the periodontal cells that cover the root surface. No buccal or lingual movements were utilised to avoid crushing the periodontal cells against the alveolar bony socket. This technique was much simpler than that used by Tegsjo (41, 42) where a surgical extrusion was performed with a crown remover placed at the apical part of the tooth and apply an average of 16 blows to loosen the root.

Unlike previous studies (23, 41, 42), no bone transplants were placed underneath the extruded root for stabilisation. None of those above studies has objectively assessed the quality of the newly formed bone apical to the extruded tooth (14). A blood clot is expected to fill the space apical to the root with the further organisation into the new bone with time.

**Discussion of Materials:**

Unlike some previous cases series and reports (8, 22, 25) which utilised a suture splint after surgical extrusion, wire and composite splint were used in this study simulating the (24). We think using such a splint would decrease the amount of unwanted tooth movement during removal of excess tooth structure after surgical extrusion to allow for occlusal adjustment. Moreover, the required materials for wire-composite splints are cheap and usually available in dental offices (7).

In this study, 0.016” wire and composite was used, which is considered a semi-rigid splint which is appropriate for splinting teeth with dislocation injuries (7). Flexible and semi-rigid splints allow for some physiologic movement of the injured tooth, thus aid in proper periodontal healing. It was shown that extended splinting periods with rigid immobilisation could increase the risk of healing complications like ankylosis (30). The flowable composite was easier than packed composite during application, and it decreased the bulk of the material surrounding the wire. Thus the semi-rigidity of the splint was not affected.

Penicillin was administered to all patients to prevent infection and facilitate healing of bone and root (41)

**Discussion of Results:**

**Demographics:**

In this study, male to female ratio presented with crown-root fracture was 1.5:1. Boys showed a higher incidence of crown-root fractures than females which may be attributed to increased behavioural violence in males (27). All treated teeth presented with complicated crown-root fracture, i.e. crown-root fracture with pulp exposure.

The most common cause of crown-root fracture was: falls (45%), followed by motorcycle accidents (30%), then aggression with peers (20%). The frequent incidence of traumatic dental injuries due to traffic accidents is 2.4% (Faus-Damia, Alegre-Domingo et al. 2011). Although the sample size in this study is small to draw prevalence, still the high incidence (12 times more than average values) of crown-root fracture due to motorcycle accidents draws attention to the decreased safety measures on the Egyptian roads, and the irresponsible attitude of both the passengers and motorcycle riders.

According to (Malmgren, Malmgren et al. 1991) classification, there was no noticeable difference in the occurrence of Type A, B, and D crown-root fractures in this study. There was no case of type C fracture (transverse; fracture margin running bucco-
lingual with minimal crown left), as it more likely presents cervical root fracture. A modified classification for crown-root fractures would be proposed including only three categories; oblique labial (fracture below gingival margin labial), oblique palatal (fracture below gingival margin palatal) and lateral (fracture margin below gingival margin mesial or distal).

The mean time calculated for the whole surgical extrusion procedure starting from the surgical extrusion process till the finish of splint placement and occlusal adjustment was 21 minutes. There was a learning curve in the process. The first surgical extrusion operation took 27 minutes, while the 20th operation took 13.5 minutes. The short operation time can be considered an advantage that favours the selection of surgical over orthodontic extrusion as a treatment option of crown-root fracture.

**Tooth survival following surgical extrusion:**

The results of this study showed that five teeth were lost after surgical extrusion (5/17) constituting nearly 30% of the cases. One tooth was lost due to the second trauma with subsequent tooth avulsion. The magnitude of surgical extrusion done to this tooth was 8mm which might explain the tooth avulsion once the splint debonded in response to a blow on the face.

Two teeth were lost after being subjected to extensive root resorption. These teeth presented initially with necrotic pulp. On the second visit, it was difficult to isolate the root canal, so calcium hydroxide was placed followed by surgical extrusion procedures. The purpose of calcium hydroxide treatment is to achieve arrest of inflammatory root resorption and healing of the adjacent periodontal ligament (12). Despite the placement of calcium hydroxide in the root canal, extensive root resorption continued with subsequent root shortening. A discussion of these two cases was made in person with Prof. Jens Ove Andreasen, and he commented: “It is a strange case because calcium hydroxide is known to stop external inflammatory root resorption”. A previous case series showed tooth loss (1/20) due to extensive marginal bone loss, increased tooth mobility and subsequent extraction (6).

Another unusual finding was the extraction of two teeth due to continuous pain arising from the surgical extruded tooth. This pain was not related to specific stimuli like biting or heat and cold influences. Although two previous systematic reviews (13, 14) estimating the effectiveness of surgical extrusion, none of the included reports had similar findings of spontaneous pain that doesn’t respond to any medication.

**Trial limitations:**

There are some limitations regarding this study. Randomization was non-applicable due to the absence of another treatment modality to be compared with like orthodontic extrusion. Neither the operator nor the patients were blinded.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Surgical tooth extrusion could be considered as a treatment option for crown-root fractures. Nevertheless, tooth loss is not an uncommon adverse effect. The first two years are critical for deciding the survival of surgical extruded tooth.
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