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ABSTRACT

This study aimed to evaluate the efficacy of diode laser combined with two in-office desensitizing agents 8% Arginine-CaCO3 and 1.23 NaF varnish on reducing dentine hypersensitivity (DH) in periodontitis patients.

Materials and Methods: Forty patients having stage I and Stage II periodontitis were selected according to the criteria of AAP (2017) with complaint of (DH) after routine periodontal therapy. Patients were randomly divided into two groups: group1- received NaF varnish then diode laser application at 1 W (PW) (CW) for 30 seconds using 320µ fiber. Group-2, treated with 8%Arginine-CaCO3 plus same laser irradiation. Each tooth received three application. Dentine hypersensitivity evaluation was by tactile, air-blast, and thermal stimuli and measured using VAS scores. The patient’s response was recorded at baseline, one month and 3 month after the application.

Results and conclusions: The results were statistically analyzed, and it was found that 8% Arginine-CaCO3 plus laser treatment was more effective than 1.23% NaF varnish plus laser at time intervals. Sensitivity score differences between the groups were statistically significant at one and three months. The 8% Arginine-CaCO3 group exhibited statistically significant reduction in dentine hypersensitivity on three stimuli at baseline to one and three months. It was concluded that 8% Arginine-CaCO3 plus laser irradiation is more effective than 1.23% NaF varnish plus laser irradiation in reduction of patients’ pain in periodontitis patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Dentine hypersensitivity (DH) is a very common dental condition that affects adversely the quality of life of those who suffer from the problem.¹ The pain is usually sharp and of short duration upon exposure to evaporative, tactile, thermal, chemical, or osmotic stimuli. More importantly, no dental pathology or dental defect exists.²
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Dentin hypersensitivity is often related to patients with incorrect tooth brushing habits, xerostomia, bulimic patients, inappropriate diet, and other factors. The prevalence of dental hypersensitivity is reported to reach 60–98% among people with periodontal diseases. Gingival recession is the most prevalent clinical cause of DH, which exposes the root surface as in the loss of enamel cementum by erosion, abrasion, attrition abfraction. Additionally, dental professionals may contribute to dentine exposure and dentine hypersensitivity by over instrumentation. Denuding of root surfaces is a usual encounter in periodontal treatment, surgical/dental operative procedures, and periodontal disease.

The widely accepted theory for DH is the theory of hydrodynamic proposed Brannström et al. in 1967; which advocates the dentinal tubule fluid’s movement as a main factor for the stimulation of pulpal receptors. Thus, a decrease in dentin sensitivity should be related to a reduction in the ability of fluid to move within dentinal tubules. Therefore, sealing of these exposed tubules can decrease the intensity of pain resulting from DH.

Based on the hydrodynamic theory, multiple treatment methods have been suggested for in-office DH therapy, such as desensitizing agents as the application of potassium ion, oxalates, sodium fluoride, iontophoresis, adhesives, and resins. Lasers have been recently been introduced as another possibility for in-office treatment of DH and may open up new horizons in the treatment of dentin hypersensitivity. Laser photobiomodulating action on the dental pulp was investigated by many authors. The mechanism of action of lasers in DH is not fully understood, although several theories have been suggested. Low-intensity lasers act on the cellular level increasing the deposition of tertiary dentin by the odontoblastic cells while middle-output-power lasers act on the dentinal tubules by reducing or obliterating them. The combined effect of laser irradiation with chemical agents such as sodium fluoride and stannous fluoride have been reported to increase the treatment effectiveness by more than 20% over that of laser treatment only.

Of interest is the fact that the laser irradiation can augment the effect of the desensitizer for a longer duration than when they are used alone. They hypothesized that laser favor the durability of the desensitizer for extended time. Some studies recommend desensitizing agent to remain above tooth surface for one minute before laser irradiation.

Accordingly, the aim of the present study was to assess the efficacy of diode laser combination with topical desensitizing agents 8 % Arginine-CaCO3 and 1.23 NaF varnish in the treatment of Dentinal Hypersensitivity (DH) in periodontitis patients. Also, to assess the duration of sensitivity relief up to 3 months.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This randomized clinical study was conducted after the approval of the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at Beirut Arab University (BAU, Lebanon; with code number 2015H-016-D-R-0050. Before intervention, patients were briefed about the study and informed consent was obtained from patients after a thorough explanation of the safety and potential efficacy of desensitizing agents, and the probability of receiving both diode laser applications and 1.23% NaF- varnish/or 8 % Arginine-CaCO3.

Forty patients having stage I and Stage II periodontitis were selected according to the criteria of AAP (2017) from the Department of Periodontology, faculty of dentistry –BAU. Their age (range 35-55 years) with a history of DH on at least two teeth were selected.

Inclusion criteria

Non-smokers, disease free patients with good oral hygiene. With at least two vital teeth with hypersensitivity on facial surfaces to thermal, mechanical, tactile stimuli. They had DH following periodontal therapy.
Exclusion criteria

Carious lesions, defective restorations, fractured teeth, prosthesis or any painful pathology restored less than three months; or any restorations into the test area.

Additionally, those who have used any desensitizing agents, antibiotics, or undergone any periodontal surgery in the last 6 months were excluded from the study.

All subjects were given instructions to use soft bristled toothbrush twice daily (morning and evening) for 2 minutes with non-desensitizing toothpastes before the baseline examination and during the trial. Moreover, they were instructed not to use any other desensitizing agents during the study.

The equipment and the materials used in the study (Figure 1-4): diode laser (Sirona) application at 1 W (PW) continues wave mode (cW) for 30 seconds using 320μ fiber. NaF varnish. Each ml contains NaF IP 50 mg equivalent to 22.6 mg of fluoride in slow release form, 22,600 ppm of fluoride, and PRG barrier coat varnish contains 8% Arginine-CaCO3.
Assessment of hypersensitivity

Tactile hypersensitivity

Tactile hypersensitivity was assessed by scratching on the dentinal surface with a sharp-tipped probe and performed by one examiner. The probe tip was placed perpendicular to the evaluable tooth surfaces, just apical to the cemento-enamel junction and drawn slowly across the surface in a distal to mesial direction to ensure application of the stimulus across all patent tubules.

Cold water (thermal stimulus)

10 μl of ice-cold water applied to the exposed dentin surface while neighboring teeth were isolated during testing using cotton rolls. Sensitivity was measured using VAS score. A period of at least 5 minutes was allowed between the two stimuli on each tooth.

Air blast hypersensitivity

The test teeth were isolated from the adjacent teeth by the placement of red boxing wax. Air was delivered from a standard dental unit air syringe at maximal pressure (45 psi) and at an environmental temperature of 19–24°C. The air current was applied for 1 s at a distance of 1 cm and perpendicular to the buccal surface of the tooth. The subjects scored pain intensity by placing a mark on a 10 cm–long line on a Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) that was labeled from (0 = no pain to 10 = extreme, unbearable pain). Patients were instructed to point to the VAS. Patients were informed before testing about the different score levels. Each group was evaluated at baseline; 1, and 3 months post application.

Statistical methods

Within treatment, comparisons of the baseline versus the follow-up values were performed using paired t-tests. Comparisons between treatment groups at post-baseline time-points were performed using analyses of covariance (ANCOVA), in which the baseline scores were employed as a co-variable. All comparative statistical tests were two-sided, and employed a level of significance of 0.05.

RESULTS

During the study, there were no adverse effects on the soft or hard tissues, which were observed by the examiner or reported by the subjects when questioned. The lateral and central incisors were the most affected tooth in both groups of this study, followed by canines and premolars, while molars were the least affected.

Throughout the study, plaque accumulation was minimal and gingival health was excellent in most of the subjects. There were no statistically significant differences on clinical parameters between groups at first visit (p>0.05).

The VAS scores for three stimuli of all two groups were not statistically different from each other at baseline (p>0.05). (Table 1)

The laser plus 8% Arginine-CaCO3 was found to be better in reducing VAS score for air-blast stimuli tactile stimuli and thermal stimuli compared to the laser plus NaF-gel group. The changes of air-blast stimuli and thermal stimuli were highly significant in the laser plus 8% Arginine-CaCO3 group at 1 month than laser plus NaF-gel alone group (p<0.001). The laser plus 8% Arginine-CaCO3 group was more effective for tactile stimuli than laser NaF-arnish group at 1 month, however the differences between groups was not statistically significant (p>0.05). (Table 1)

The laser plus 8% Arginine-CaCO3 group: The differences of VAS score for air-blast stimuli, tactile stimuli and thermal stimuli were significant at baseline to 1 month (p<0.05). There was greater reduction insensitivity score for thermal stimuli at1 month, following air-blast and tactile stimuli respectively. The VAS score was statistically significant at time interval during experiment for three stimuli.
The laser plus NaF varnish group: The changes in VAS score of air-blast stimuli, tactile stimuli and thermal stimuli at 1 month were decreased to compare with baseline, decreasing was not statistically significant (p>0.05). At 3 month, the VAS scores for air-blast, tactile and thermal stimuli were lower than baseline. These differences were statistically significant.

DISCUSSION

Dentine hypersensitivity usually has multifactorial etiology and generally, more than one factor is found associated and active in this painful sign; therefore, more than one treatment method should be associated to desensitize the dentine to satisfactory levels. The results of this study provide important insight into possible mechanism(s) of spontaneous disappearance of hypersensitive dentin.

Several authors studied the effectiveness of the diode laser and reported from 60% to 85% improvement in teeth treated with laser compared to 20% average of the control nonlased group. (18) The laser power parameter used in this study was 1 W/CW which was not agreeable by previous studies done by (Liu et al, 2013) (Suri et al, 2016) who used 2 W/CW. There is no universal agreement in laser parameters usage in different dental laser application. Therefore there is urgent need of conducting adequately powered and well-structured randomized control clinical trials to overcome the heterogeneity present in the literature.

Diode laser leads to increase in mitochondrial ATP through bio-stimulation, increases pain threshold of free nerve ending, provide analgesic effect by increase in endorphins. It also inhibits cyclooxygenase enzyme which causes conversion of arachidonic acid into prostaglandin which in turn increases the pain transmission by glutamate or substance P. There is also formation of secondary dentin by odontoblast due to bio-stimulation.

### TABLE (1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment Group</th>
<th>Thermal Baseline</th>
<th>Thermal 1 month</th>
<th>Thermal 3 months</th>
<th>Tactile Baseline</th>
<th>Tactile 1 month</th>
<th>Tactile 3 months</th>
<th>Air Blast Baseline</th>
<th>Air Blast 1 month</th>
<th>Air Blast 3 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laser plus 8% Arginine-CaCO₃</td>
<td>6.56±0.64</td>
<td>4.24±0.5</td>
<td>3.24±0.6*</td>
<td>5.79±0.6</td>
<td>4.72±0.8</td>
<td>3.94±0.6</td>
<td>5.2±0.7 a,b</td>
<td>3.4±0.7</td>
<td>3.54±0.71*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laser plus NaF</td>
<td>6.26±0.7</td>
<td>5.5±0.4</td>
<td>4.3±0.9*</td>
<td>5.75±0.7</td>
<td>5.74±0.6</td>
<td>4.4±0.7</td>
<td>5.10±0.3</td>
<td>4.4±0.5</td>
<td>4.25±0.8*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a “Data are expressed as mean and standard deviation”.

b Difference within group according to stimulus was statistically significant (p<0.05).

*Statistically significant at p value <0.05

We used the VAS in this study to evaluate dentin hypersensitivity, previously, several investigators have been demonstrated the validity and reliability of the VAS. The VAS also appears to be more sensitive in discriminating between various treatments and changes in pain intensity (30)
In addition to diode lasers, Erbium, CO2, and Nd:YAG, frequently are used to treat dentinal hypersensitivity. Studies mainly focus on obliteration of the dentinal tubules but disregard the laser’s additional biostimulatory effect. PBM will not modify the dentinal tubules but will produce an effect in the odontoblastic layer, stimulate secondary dentin formation, and simultaneously reduce inflammation. In combination with traditional desensitizing agents, PBM is a valuable treatment modality Wakabayashi and Matsumoto showed that use of a low-level diode laser was effective in 61 of 66 cases. The combined use of the GaAlAs laser (830 nm wavelength) with fluoridation enhances treatment effectiveness by more than 20% over that of laser treatment only. In an in vitro study, most dentinal tubule orifices were occluded after treatment by Nd:YAG laser irradiation followed by topical sodium fluoride. Previous research used dicalcium phosphate bioglass in combination with Nd:YAG laser in treatment of DH. According to their study, this combination sealed dentinal tubules to a depth of 10 mm. Several studies showed that low-level laser treatment promoted significantly better results, establishing an irradiation protocol of three sessions with an interval of 72 h between them. Because these studies used infrared low-level lasers, Ladalaro et al. studied the influence of different wavelengths on pain reduction and found that the 660-nm red diode was more effective than the 830-nm infrared diode laser. Marsilio et al. observed positive clinical results with use of low-level laser wavelengths in the red spectrum, with pain reduction rates of 86.53% and 88.88% for 3 and 5 J/cm2, respectively. It was reported that this same wavelength with the fluoride varnish frequently used in the treatment of dentinal hypersensitivity and obtained improved results with LPT. The more sclerotic the pulp chamber, the higher the energies needed; 4 J to 10 J is used. As with surgical lasers, patient feedback determines when dentinal sensitivity is decreased or eliminated.

It is probable that the better performance of combined treatment was due to the higher desensitizing agent adhesion to the dentinal tubules when combined with laser energy. It can be hypothesize that the laser-induced superficial melting permits to keep longer the tubules occlusion by these agents emphasizing the reduction of DH-related pain.

CONCLUSION

According to these results, the diode laser showed a very high capability to improve immediately the DH-related pain, even better in combination with 8% Arginine-CaCO3 than with NaF varnish. These results have to be confirmed by greater samples of patients and by longer follow-up periods (e.g., 9 and 12 months) to confirm or not the long-lasting action of the combined laser and desensitizing agents therapy.
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