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ABSTRACT

Introduction: new materials and techniques were developed to achieve adequate root canal obturation by complete filling of the canal space with a stable, biocompatible material that prevents the ingress of bacteria and/or its by-products to periapical tissue.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate quality of two different root canal sealer and filling techniques using cone beam computerized tomography (CBCT).

Materials and methods: Seventy-two root canals were prepared using protaper next rotary system. Root canals were divided into two equal groups 36 teeth each. Group I: teeth were obturated using single cone technique with MTA fill apex sealer. Group II: teeth were obturated using continuous wave technique with resin-based sealer (AH plus). The voids within filling materials as well as along dentin walls were examined using CBCT.

Result: results revealed that the difference between different thirds was extremely statistically significant in single cone technique, while in continuous wave technique there was no significant difference between thirds. There was no significant difference between both techniques in apical and middle third, while in cervical third there was a significant difference, as single cone showed more voids. Both techniques showed accepted working length of the obturation.

Conclusions: CBCT is an accurate tool for assessment of root canal filling quality. There were more voids present in coronal third in both techniques. Continuous wave technique showed fewer voids in cervical third compared to single cone technique. The present study showed that none of the root canal obturated teeth were gap-free.
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INTRODUCTION

The main goals of successful root canal treatment are to clean the root canal adequately, to remove microorganisms and debris, to shape the canal preserving the original anatomy of the canal and complete filling of the root canal space \(^{(1-3)}\). The main causes of endodontic failures are incomplete eradication of the microorganisms and incomplete filling of the root canal spaces \(^{(4,5)}\).

Careful detection of the primary factors that cause the disease and risk factors that negatively affect the tooth post-treatment are the keys of increasing treatment successful rate \(^{(6-8)}\). Oral bacteria that present in the saliva can reach to the pulp or periapical lesion through inadequate obturated canals or through defective coronal restoration. So the filling material should provide proof that prevents ingress of bacteria and their byproducts aiming to keep the tooth and the surrounding periapical tissues healthy \(^{(9,10)}\). Gutta-percha in combination with root canal sealer has proven to be the material of choice used for root canal filling, sealer is essential to fill the spaces between the dentinal wall and the core interface, and to seal root canal isthmuses, lateral and accessory canals \(^{(11-13)}\). Many studies evaluated the adaptability of the root canal filling into the dentin walls. Warm vertical compaction techniques have superior advantages providing dense gutta-percha with superior adaptation to the dentin walls and reproduce the internal root canal morphology \(^{(14)}\). Warm vertical compaction techniques was later modified by the use of the System B spreader/plugger (EIE Analytic Technology, Orange, CA), namely “continuous wave technique” \(^{(15)}\). However, the extrusion of the filling material beyond the apex is a significant factor to be considered in warm vertical technique \(^{(16)}\).

Epoxy resin sealer, AH Plus (Dentsply De Trey, Konstanz, Germany) is a hydrophobic sealer that has been used in association with gutta percha, many studies had demonstrated the capacity of this material to produce an acceptable apical seal \(^{(17)}\). More recently, new filling materials and sealer have been emerged that can lead to more homogenous root canal filling, to achieve the concept of monoblock. Calcium silicate–based materials as mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA)-based root canal sealers have been developed, and these materials have been supposed to be biocompatible, stimulate mineralization, and to provide superior sealing properties. Moreover, these materials have been shown to offer better bond strengths and adaptation to dentin walls that allows adequate filling of the root canal system; including isthmuses, accessory and lateral canals \(^{(18,19)}\). MTA Fillapex is an example of MTA based sealers that have the advantages of MTA as release of calcium with high pH that elicits antibacterial effects and tissue biocompatibility. The product is eugenol free and doesn’t interfere with bonding materials. \(^{(20)}\). Radiographic evaluation of endodontically treated teeth is a very useful technique. It is used to assess a number of factors as root canal morphology, instrumentation and obturation quality, as well as post-operative follow up \(^{(21,24)}\). Cone beam computed tomography is helpful non-destructive technique that shows more details, accurate images without superimposition with 3D view \(^{(25-28)}\). Stein advocated that the adequate obturation to be short of the radiographic apex (0.5–2.0mm) \(^{(29)}\). The purpose of this in-vivo study was to evaluate the quality of root canal filling when comparing single cone and continuous wave technique using two different sealers by CBCT analysis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Case selection

The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of Institutional Review Board (IRB), Faculty of Dentistry, Umm al Qura University (UQU). Patients above 18 year-old were selected from the outpatient clinics faculty of dentistry in (UQU). With inclusion criteria: closed apex of fully erupted restorable teeth with deep caries or exposed pulp. The patients have non-
significant systemic disease. Teeth were mixed between single and multiple canals. The exclusion criteria: Patients under 18 year-old, with open apex, non-restorable teeth or third molar.

**Radiographic and treatment technique:**

All cases will be exposed preoperative and postoperative treatment to narrow-field CBCT. CBCT were analyzed with I-CAT 120kVp, 7mA (Imaging Science international LLC, 1910 North Penn Road Hatfield USA). CBCT imaging was analyzed in cross sections in three levels; coronal, middle and apical third.

**Cases preparation**

After local anesthesia with Articaine hydrochloride 4% epinephrine 1:100,000 and proper isolation using rubber dam. The pulp chamber access was performed by a diamond-coated bur (Endo Access Bur); and final flaring was done using endo-Z bur. The working length was assessed by periapical parallel X-rays technique. Glide path and prefllaring were performed by manual k-files (10, 15 and 20 ISO).

All canals were instrumented using ProTaper Next System (Dentsply Maillefer). Copious irrigation with 2.5%NaOCl with a 24-gauge needle (Korea Vaccine Co., Seoul, Korea) was utilized during all the root canal treatment sequence with final flush using 17% EDTA to remove the smear layer followed by 3 mL of 2.5% NaOCl.

After the instrumentation was finished, all canals were dried using paper points (Dentsply Maillefer).

The root canals were then randomly assigned to one of two groups (n = 36 each) for canal obturation using one of the two techniques.

**Group I: single cone technique:**

ProTaper gutta-percha matching cone (Dentsply, Sirona) was used as a master cone and coated with MTA Fill-apex sealer then was inserted into the canal till the WL. The excess gutta-percha was cut with a heat carrier and the excess gutta-percha was vertically compacted at the orifice level.

**Group II: Continuous wave technique**

A matching GP cone was inserted to the WL and checked for tug-back. AH plus sealer was applied on the canal walls by Lentulo-spiral. A System-B heat source (SybronEndo), at power setting 10 and 200°C and fitted with a 0.06 plugger, was used to cut off the GP cone leaving 4 mm at the apical third. The Calamus system (Dentsply/Tulsa Dental, Johnson City, TN) was used at 180°C to backfill the remaining coronal two third of the canal with a 23-gauge needle. A System B plugger is selected that matches the shape of the prepared root canal and used to condense vertically the thermoplasticized GP.

The access cavities in both groups were sealed with Cavit (ESPE-Premier, Norristown, PA, USA).

**Visual analysis by evaluation criteria**

All images were evaluated separately by one endodontist.

A. Voids and gaps.

B. Length of root canal filling.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length of root canal filling</td>
<td>Acceptable</td>
<td>Root filling end 0.5-2 mm of radiographic apex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overfilled</td>
<td>Root filling ending at or beyond the apex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Under filled</td>
<td>Root filling ending 2 mm short of radiographic apex</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was then performed using a commercially available software program (SPSS 19; SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

The frequency of voids and the acceptability of the working length were expressed as number and...
percentages. Chi-square test was used to compare within and between groups. The significance level was set at \( p < 0.05 \).

**RESULTS**

I- Comparison of frequency in different thirds of cross section view of CBCT within the same group

**a) Single cone technique**

Using single cone techniques, the lowest frequency of voids was noted in the apical third (36.1% of cases showed no voids, while the remaining cases showed 1 or 2 voids). In comparison, the cervical third showed the highest frequency of voids, with only 11.1% of cases with no voids and about 28% of cases showing 3 voids or more). The middle third showed an intermediate frequency with 55.6% showing 2 voids and 13.9% with no voids. Chi square test revealed that the difference between different thirds was extremely statistically significant (\( P < 0.0001 \)), (Table 2, Fig.1)

**b) Continuous wave technique**

The lowest frequency of voids was noted in the cervical third (50% of cases showed no voids, while 41.6% cases showed 1 or 2 voids). In comparison, the middle third showed the highest frequency of voids, with only 25% of cases with no voids and 69.4% of cases showing 1 or 2 voids). The apical third showed an intermediate frequency with 61.1% showing 1 or 2 voids and 27.8% with no voids. Chi square test revealed that the difference between different thirds was not statistically significant (\( P = 0.43 \)), (Table 3, Fig.2)

**TABLE (2): Frequency of voids in different thirds of cross section view of CBCT in single cone technique.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Apical third</th>
<th>Middle third</th>
<th>Cervical third</th>
<th>( \chi^2 )</th>
<th>( P )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 Void</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Void</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Voids</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Voids</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Voids or more</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance level \( P < 0.05 \), *significant

**TABLE (3): Frequency of voids in different thirds of cross section view of CBCT in Continuous wave technique.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Apical third</th>
<th>Middle third</th>
<th>Cervical third</th>
<th>( \chi^2 )</th>
<th>( P )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 Void</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Void</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Voids</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Voids</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Voids or more</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance level \( P < 0.05 \), ns=non-significant
II- Comparison of both techniques

In the apical 1/3, the percentage of cases having no voids was 36.1% in single cone technique in comparison to 27.8% in Continuous wave technique. Moreover, 4 cases in Continuous wave technique showed 3 voids, while the maximum number of voids in single cone technique was 2 voids only. However, chi square test revealed that this difference was not statistically significant (p=0.348), (Table 4, Fig.3)

In the middle 1/3, the percentage of cases having no voids was 13.9% in single cone technique in comparison to 25% in Continuous wave technique. Moreover, no cases in Continuous wave technique showed 4 voids or more, in comparison to 5.6% in single cone technique. However, chi square test revealed that this difference was not quite statistically significant (p=0.052), (Table 4, Fig.3)

In the cervical 1/3, the percentage of cases having no voids was 50% in Continuous wave technique in comparison to 11.1% in single cone technique. Moreover, 11.1% of cases in Continuous wave technique showed 2 voids, in comparison to 38.9% in single cone technique. Chi square test revealed that this difference was highly statistically significant (p=0.0004), (Table 4, Fig.3)

TABLE (4): Comparison between both techniques regarding the frequency of voids in different thirds of axial section view of CBCT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Apical third</th>
<th></th>
<th>Middle third</th>
<th></th>
<th>Cervical third</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Single cone</td>
<td>Continuous wave tech.</td>
<td>Single cone</td>
<td>Continuous wave tech.</td>
<td>Single cone</td>
<td>Continuous wave tech.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 Void</td>
<td>13(36.1)</td>
<td>10(27.8)</td>
<td>5(13.9)</td>
<td>9 (25)</td>
<td>4(11.1)</td>
<td>18(50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Void</td>
<td>15 (41.7)</td>
<td>15 (41.7)</td>
<td>7(19.4)</td>
<td>15(41.6)</td>
<td>8(22.2)</td>
<td>11(30.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Voids</td>
<td>8 (22.2)</td>
<td>7 (19.4)</td>
<td>20(55.6)</td>
<td>10(27.8)</td>
<td>14(38.9)</td>
<td>4(11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Voids</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4(11.1)</td>
<td>2(5.6)</td>
<td>2(5.6)</td>
<td>5(13.9)</td>
<td>3(8.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Voids or more</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2(5.6)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>5(13.9)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X²</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.39</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.348ns</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.052ns</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0004*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance level P<0.05, *significant, ns=non-significant
III-Comparison of evaluation working length between single cone and single continuous wave technique using CBCT

The percentage of cases showing acceptable working length was 91.7% in single cone technique, in comparison to 88.9% in continuous wave technique. Moreover, 8.3% of cases in continuous wave technique showed under-filling, in comparison to 5.5% in single cone. Both techniques showed a single case of over filling. Chi square test revealed that this difference was not statistically significant (p=0.55), (Table 5, Fig. 6).

Table (5) Comparison between both techniques regarding evaluation of working using CBCT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Single cone technique</th>
<th>Continuous wave technique</th>
<th>X²</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptable</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>88.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under filled</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over filled</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance level $P<0.05$, ns=non-significant
A high-quality root canal filing without voids or gaps is a main prerequisite to have successful root canal therapy and is a major factor for preventing leakage with subsequent periapical disease. Many researchers reported that gutta-percha has varying degree of microleakage, therefore new filling materials, sealers and techniques were developed (1,13,16,18). Clinical evaluation of root canal fillings is limited to the use of radiographs, usually in two dimensions; in this study CBCT was used to produce 3dimensional clear image and overcome radiograph limitations. Zaslansky et al. (24) reported that CBCT analysis has been approved as a qualitative and quantitative method to assess the presence of voids through root canal filling. This study was carried out to evaluate clinically the technical quality of root canal treatment performed on adult patients attending the Faculty of Dentistry, University of Umm Alqura. A few studies (30) have used (in vivo) human teeth to evaluate the amount of voids in root canal fillings by CBCT, the present study was conducted on patient with variable teeth with the complex canal morphology to have more reliable results when measuring the percentage of void. Most previous studies that have evaluated filling quality used artificial teeth with a single canal and with few anatomical variations, these samples might not have anatomical structures that are present in human teeth, and don’t reflect the complex root canal morphology encountered in clinical situations (31-32). In the present study one operator did all the endodontic procedures to decrease the human variability (12,13).

GP is widely used solid core material for root canal filling; sealer is used to fill minor gaps between GP and dentin walls and to form fluid tight seal. However, these sealers might cause some degree of microleakage, so it should be used in minimal amount (33,34) to achieve this goal master GP cone should closely fit the diameter of the last apical file used reaching the full working length. Capar et al. (35) concluded that the matched cone of ProTaper Next is suitable with its file. Therefore; this study was conducting using rotary ProTaper nickel-titanium files, Protaper single GP matching cone and MTA sealer in order to produce the proper fitness of the canal (36). The composition of MTA Fillapex rendering it more stable and biocompatible and is supposed to lead to a tertiary monoblock. (20,33,34,37,38). Zhang et al. (13) reported that in a single-cone technique, the volume of sealers is more than the volume of gutta-percha cone, such that ratio might lead to the formation of voids (gap) and decreases the quality of the root canal filling. Continuous wave technique provided superior root canal filling and capable of pushing thermoplasticized gutta-percha into lateral canals and isthmuses (14-16). In present study, results revealed that filling showed less voids at apical and middle thirds in both techniques without significant difference, this could be attributed to the harmony of master apical file and matching GP cone that might decrease the number of voids and produce better adaptation. While more number of voids was detected in the coronal third than in the apical third in both groups. Our results were in agreement with Iglesias et al. (15) and Alshehri et al. (39) who measured the volume of voids in the apical one-third of human

![Fig (7) CBCT showing working lengths of a. Single cone tech b. CW technique.](image)
mandibular first molars using micro-CT, and found no significant difference between the SC and CW groups. Considering the limits of our study, we can affirm that continuous wave technique seal the canal in coronal third better than single cone technique that showed greater number of voids (table 3, fig 4 and 5). Our results were contradicting to the results reported by Kim et al. (34) who concluded that continuous wave technique showed more voids in coronal third in comparison to single cone technique. Obeidat and Abdallah (36), and Gandolfi (40). Mckissock et al. (41) studied in vitro the leakage test to compare the sealing ability of continuous wave of condensation technique and the sealing ability of single cone technique. He concluded that, single-cone filling technique showed statistically significant higher leakage than a continuous weave of condensation, this could be attributed to the more coronal voids in single cone technique. Inan et al. (31) concluded that the apical sealing of the single-cone technique is comparable to the Thermafil and lateral condensation techniques.

Confining the filling materials to the root canal space resulted in a higher success rate (29,42). Swartz et al. (43) reported a higher success rate when the root canal obturation ended close to, or at the radiographic apex. In the present study the working length in relation to radiographic apex as shown in (table 5, fig 6 and 7) were mostly accepted. Chi square test revealed that the difference between both groups was not statistically significant.

CONCLUSIONS

Within the limitation of the present study single matched cone technique has a good density in the apical one-third as that of continuous wave technique, so it could be used for obturation of narrow canals. Single cone showed inferior density in the coronal third of the root canal that might lead to leakage, so it could be improved by adding accessory cones Gutta-percha in wide canal. Both techniques showed acceptable filling length. Single cone technique is simple and save more time. In this study, the overall quality of root canal treatment as assessed by the given criteria was shown to be accepted.
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