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ABSTRACT

Chronic kidney disease incidence have been rising since the publication of the practical guidelines by the Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiation (KDOQI) of the National Kidney Foundation (NKF) in 2002 to reach 200 case per million in many countries around the world with survival rate 3 to 5 years. There are many mechanisms by which chronic kidney disease can affect the oral cavity; uremia, hyperparathyroid hormone, anemia, oxidative stress and and the dramatic rise in inflammatory mediators such as IL-1, IL-6, TNF-α, CRP and MCP-1. The oral mucosa, salivary glands, teeth and even periodontal tissues all are affected by the disease. Complications of chronic kidney disease could be prevented if the condition was early detected and controlled; metabolic complications and cardiovascular complication are the most serious complications of chronic kidney disease; both can be early detected and controlled. One successful approach to control the complications is to monitor the inflammatory mediators such as MCP-1a key chemokine; its increasing level translates the decline in renal function and predates the onset of its serious complications.

Subjects and methods: The current study was conducted on 50 individuals divided into 4 groups Group 1(15 with a GFR 59-30 ml/min/1.73 m2 “Stage3 CKD”), Group 2 (15 with a GFR 29-15 ml/min/1.73 m2 “Stage 4 CKD”), Group3 (diseased control group, 10 individuals with chronic moderate to severe periodontitis), Group 4: control group, consists of 10 individuals, these patients were selected to be medically free. All groups were subjected to full history, detailed oral examination and salivary sampling.

Results: The salivary MCP-1 values were higher in stage 4 CKD or the late stage (464± 84.7 pg/ ml), a relatively decreased salivary flow rate was found in stage 4 CKD which was (0.8± 0.06 ml/ min). Periodontal disease was higher in stage 4 CKD patients. The gingival index percentage; stage 4 CKD had the significantly higher percentage (95%), the plaque index results showed that the percentage of teeth surfaces with moderate to severe plaque accumulation was significantly higher in stage 4 CKD (94.9%), the clinical attachment level results showed that the percentage of surfaces with moderate to severe periodontitis was (87.6%), patients in stage 4 had the higher DMFT (24.4± 4.5).
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INTRODUCTION

Good oral health enhances our ability to speak, smile, smell, taste, touch, chew, swallow and convey our feelings through facial expressions. It is therefore an essential part of our everyday lives. According to the World Health Organization, oral health is a state of being free from chronic mouth and facial pain, oral and throat cancer, oral sores, birth defects such as cleft lip and palate, periodontal (gum) disease, tooth decay, tooth loss, and other diseases or disorders that affect the oral cavity. Risk factors for oral diseases include unhealthy diet, tobacco use, harmful alcohol use, and poor oral hygiene (WHO 2011).

The scope of oral medicine focuses on the relation between the patient’s oral and systemic condition studying this conflicting relation between different systemic diseases and oral health. Many systemic diseases may affect the oral health and / or dental condition such as diabetes mellitus, blood diseases, liver diseases and lung diseases. Among these systemic diseases is chronic kidney disease (CKD). CKD is a major public health problem threatening many lives around the world (Zhang and Rothenbacher 2008).

Oral diseases may act as a focus of infection giving rise to systemic diseases such as cardiovascular diseases and eye diseases. On the other hand many chronic diseases have oral manifestations, diabetes mellitus for example has many oral manifestations; xerostomia, mucositis and aggravation of the periodontal disease (Enwonwu and Salako 2012). Leukemia is a malignancy in the white blood cells, one of the systemic diseases accompanied by oral manifestations such as oral ulcerations, enlargement of the parotid gland and pulp abscesses (Gowda et al. 2013).

CKD patients may be predisposed to different oral symptoms due to the disease affecting the kidneys, the drugs used for treating the CKD and due to dialysis treatment (López et al. 2006).

Several studies have shown a significantly worse dental health in uremic patients compared to healthy controls regarding the DMFT index (decayed, missing, filled teeth) (Thorman et al. 2009, Ioannidou and Swede in 2011). On the other hand, no significant differences in DMFT index have been found among CKD patients in some studies (Bots et al. 2007, Sobrado et al. 2007). However, most of these studies were done on patients in late stage 4 to 5 or patients with chronic renal failure.

CKD is also associated with worse oral health regarding loss of periodontal attachment, periapical lesions, plaque index, gingival index and calculus surface index (Thorman et al. 2009). However, other studies have shown no differences in dental plaque, gingival bleeding, or periodontal indices in patients with less severe CKD compared to healthy controls (Sobrado et al. 2007, Grubbs et al. 2011).

In a study by Tomás et al. 2008, the whole saliva flow was similar in CKD patients and controls but salivary creatinine, urea, sodium, potassium, chloride and alpha-amylase were significantly higher in end stage renal disease patients (ESRD) patients than controls. Bayraktar et al. 2009 found a salivary flow rate significantly lower in dialysis patients compared to controls.

Conclusion: The MCP-1 values was higher in the salivary samples of patients with chronic kidney disease stage 4 (late stage) than stage 3 (early stage) and the MCP-1 values was higher in both stages compared to both control group. The salivary MCP-1 values inversely correlate with the GFR. The dental condition was worsen in chronic kidney disease patients when compared to chronic periodontitis and healthy control groups. A direct proportional relation was found between the DMFT index and the salivary MCP-1 values.
ESRD patients have significantly more oral fungal infections than controls and those experiencing mouth dryness and dental plaque formation also seem to be at risk of developing oral fungal infections (Thorman et al. 2009).

Oral candidiasis and diffuse gingival enlargement are increased after renal transplantation mainly as a result of the immunosuppressive therapy or drug side effects. Gingival overgrowth secondary to drug therapy in renal transplant patients has been reported unambiguously and it is mainly associated with cyclosporine, the most commonly used calcineurin inhibitor (Grassi et al. 2006, Al-Mohaya et al. 2009).

The kidney is a bean shaped structure mainly consists of medulla and cortex. The nephron is the functional unit of the kidney, each kidney contains about one million nephron; glomeruli forms the upper part of this nephron that is found into the cortex (figure 1). A process of ultra-filtration of the blood entering the glomeruli through afferent vessel is done and urine is collected into Bowman’s space which is cell free, protein free space containing only water with the wastes of the filtration (urine) ready to exit the body (Sobh 2007).

The glomerulus contain 3 cell types; the endothelial cells lining the glomerular capillaries, epithelial cells and mesangial cells which are contractile cells similar to smooth muscle cell and some of them has a macrophage like properties. Filtration occurs along the glomerulus basement membrane (GBM) produced by fusion between the basement membrane of epithelial and endothelial cells. The glomerular capillary’s endothelial cells have got pores (Fenestrae) which allow access of the circulatory molecules to the basement membrane on the other side of the basement membrane the glomerular epithelial (podocytes) cells possess multiple long foot which interdigitate with adjacent epithelial cells as well as maintaining the filtration barrier (figure 2), those podocytes are involved in the regulation of filtration and the turnover of the GBM (Goddad et al. 2010).

The filtration barrier of the glomerulus is normally almost absolute to proteins in the size of albumin (67 K Da) or larger while proteins of 20 K Da or smaller filtrate freely. Between those sizes the ability of individual molecule to cross the GBM is influenced by their shape and charge; anionic or negatively charged protein molecules are relatively less freely filtered than cationic ones, little lipid is filtered. The filtration pressure at the GBM is controlled by afferent and efferent arteriolar tone; auto- regulation maintains the constant glomerular filtration rate (GFR) by altering the arteriolar tone over a wide range of systemic blood pressure and renal perfusion pressure. In response to reduction in the perfusion pressure, angiotensine II mediates the constriction of the efferent arterioles which restore the filtration pressure (Goddad et al. 2010).

**Aim of the study**

The purpose of the present study is to:

- Evaluate the levels of pro-inflammatory cytokine (MCP-1) a biomarker in secreted stimulated saliva in patients with CKD as an early sign of metabolic disorder for those patients and its relation to their oral condition.

**SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

Patients included in this study where retrieved from the chronic kidney disease unit (out patients’ clinic) and department of nephrology (inpatients), “Al Kasr Al Aieny” University Hospital. 50 patients were examined in this study; patients were categorized into four groups as follow: Group 1 (15 with a GFR 59-30 ml/min/1.73 m2 “Stage3 CKD”), Group 2 (15 with a GFR 29-15 ml/min/1.73 m2 “Stage 4 CKD”), Group 3 (diseased control group, 10 individuals with chronic moderate to severe periodontitis), Group 4: control group, consists of 10 individuals, these patients were selected to be medically free. For the assessment of the renal function we depended on eGFR for patient categorization and CKD classification. All patients with GFR ranging from 20-60 ml/min/1.73m2 not
showing any of the exclusion criteria were included in group one. All patients with GFR ranging from 15-20 ml/min/1.73m² not showing any of the exclusion criteria were included in group two. The control subjects were selected to be systemically free according to Modified Cornell Medical Index (Brightman, 1994).

All diseased control group suffers from moderate to severe chronic periodontitis. All patients and control group were selected to be age and sex matching. All subjects were informed about the examination routines before examination.

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the regional ethics committee for human research, Faculty of oral and dental medicine, according to the Cairo University Declaration. The patients were informed orally and a written informed consent to participate was obtained from each subject.

Patients were subjected to full history taking, full clinical examination to oral and dental tissues including examination of the oral mucosa, plaque index, gingival index, and clinical attachment level for evaluation of the periodontal condition and stimulated salivary sample collection for evaluation of MCP-1 and evaluation of the salivary flow rate.

CKD is classified into 5 stages (table1) based on glomerular filtration rate (GFR) to demonstrate the level of kidney function and facilitate the prognosis of the disease in the future.

TABLE (1) Classification of chronic kidney disease (National Kidney Foundation 2002).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>stage</th>
<th>Glomerular filtration rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stage 1</td>
<td>Kidney damage with normal or increased GFR ≥ 90 ml/min/1.73m².</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 2</td>
<td>Kidney damage with mild decrease in GFR 60-90 ml/min/1.73m².</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 3</td>
<td>Kidney damage with moderate decrease in GFR 30-59 ml/min/1.73m².</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 4</td>
<td>Kidney damage with severe decrease in GFR 15-29 ml/min/1.73m².</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 5</td>
<td>Kidney failure GFR ≤ 15 ml/min/1.73m² or dialysis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS

Quantitative data were presented as mean and standard deviation (SD) values. Data were explored for normality using Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk tests. Age, salivary flow rate, MCP-1 levels and CAL data showed parametric distribution while DMFT index and its components, GI and PI data showed non-parametric distribution.

In the present study GFR values were used to describe the decline in renal function in the two CKD stages. MCP-1 values were compared to GFR in different CKD stages in salivary samples; the results showed that salivary MCP-1 values are inversely proportional to the GFR values.

Salivary MCP-1 values were statistically correlated to all other parameters in this study; age, salivary flow, DMFT, GI, PI and CAL. The results have shown that a direct proportional relation was found between MCP-1 and age, M score (number of missing teeth), DMFT, GI, PI, CAL. An inverse proportional relation was found between MCP-1 and D score (number of decayed teeth), F score (number of filled teeth) and salivary flow rate.

The results of this study were as follow: The salivary MCP-1 values were higher in stage 4 CKD or the late stage (464±84.7 pg/ml), followed by stage 3 CKD or the early stage (273.5±42.1 pg/ml), the chronic periodontitis group (147.5±20.9 pg/ml) and the healthy control group (114.3±8.2 pg/ml).

A relatively decreased salivary flow rate was found in stage 4 CKD which was (0.8±0.06ml/min) and stage 3 CKD which was (1.4±0.4ml/min). The decreased salivary flow rate was significant in both groups compared to the control groups as the chronic periodontitis group was (1.9±0.1ml/min) and the healthy control group was (2.1±0.1ml/min). An inversely proportional relation was found between the salivary flow rate and salivary MCP-1 values.

Periodontal disease was higher in stage 4 CKD patients. The gingival index percentage; stage 4 CKD had the significantly higher percentage of surfaces
with moderate to severe gingival inflammation (95%), compared to the chronic periodontitis group (89.5%), and healthy control group (0%). Stage 3 CKD was (82.7%), the percentage was significantly lower than stage 4 CKD and chronic periodontitis group but was significantly higher than the healthy control group.

The plaque index results showed that the percentage of teeth surfaces with moderate to severe plaque accumulation was significantly higher in stage 4 CKD (94.9%), compared to the chronic periodontitis group (87.6%) and the healthy control group (0%). Stage 3 CKD was (86.6%) the value was significantly lower than stage 4 CKD and not significantly lower than the chronic periodontitis group but was significantly higher than the healthy control group.

The clinical attachment level results showed that the percentage of surfaces with moderate to severe periodontitis was (87.6%), compared to chronic periodontitis group which was (81.6%) and healthy subjects (0%) despite the fact that the stage 4 CKD patients had lower number of remaining teeth in relation to all other study groups. Stage 3 was (53.9%) and it was significantly lower comparing the results to stage 4 CKD and the chronic periodontitis group but was significantly higher than the healthy control group.

TABLE (2) Mean ± standard deviation (SD) values and results of one-way ANOVA test for comparisons between age values in the four groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group I</th>
<th>Group II</th>
<th>Group III</th>
<th>Group IV</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.7 ± 10.3</td>
<td>54.1 ± 8.2</td>
<td>52.8 ± 7.5</td>
<td>46.3 ± 10.6</td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant at P ≤ 0.05

TABLE (3) Diagnosis of the patients’ renal condition according to the primary renal disease as stated by the nephrology department-university hospital.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>No. of patients (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glomerulonephritis</td>
<td>8 (26.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyelonephritis</td>
<td>5 (16.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary renal disease</td>
<td>5 (16.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nephrosclerosis</td>
<td>12 (40%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE (4) Mean and standard deviation (SD) for the Glomerular filtration rate (ml/min /1.73m2) for difference groups tested.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GP1</td>
<td>38.78 ± 5.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP2</td>
<td>17.08 ± 1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP3</td>
<td>90.00 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP4</td>
<td>90.00 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>≤0.001 *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE (5) Mean ± standard deviation (SD) values and results of one-way ANOVA and Tukey’s tests for comparisons between MCP-1 levels in the four groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MCP-1 (pg/ml)</th>
<th>Group I</th>
<th>Group II</th>
<th>Group III</th>
<th>Group IV</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>273.5 ± 42.1 b</td>
<td>464 ± 84.7 a</td>
<td>147.5 ± 20.9 c</td>
<td>114.3 ± 8.2 c</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: Significant at P ≤ 0.05, Means with the same letter within each column are not significantly different at p=0.05. Different superscripts are statistically significantly different.
TABLE (7) Results of Spearman’s correlation coefficient for the correlation between MCP-1 and different variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1 and age</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>0.017*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1 and salivary flow rate</td>
<td>-0.939</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1 and (D) score</td>
<td>-0.433</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1 and (M) score</td>
<td>0.805</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1 and (F) score</td>
<td>-0.801</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1 and DMFT index</td>
<td>0.471</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1 and GI</td>
<td>0.330</td>
<td>0.019*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1 and PI</td>
<td>0.642</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1 and CAL</td>
<td>0.606</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1 and GFR</td>
<td>-0.908</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: Significant at P ≤ 0.05

DISCUSSION

The present study was designed to obtain new information about the diagnostic value of salivary MCP-1 expression, oral health and its impact on patients with chronic kidney disease, comparing the results to patients suffering from chronic periodontitis and healthy control subjects.

Many chronic kidney disease (CKD) patients experience uremic symptoms including dry mouth, taste changes, nausea, vomiting and dry retching. Saliva composed of a number of active compounds playing a vital role in taste stimulation. Salivary composition differs in CKD patients and they have an impaired ability to recognize basic tastes. Particularly lower salivary concentrations of bicarbonate are associated with dry mouth and retching, while higher sodium levels and a greater sodium/potassium ratio are associated with nausea. Saliva bicarbonate and urea are associated with taste perception, especially for glutamate and may influence taste function (Manley et al. 2012).

Chronic kidney disease progression could be monitored by many biomarkers found in different body fluids such as blood, urine and saliva. Many studies related the presence of inflammatory markers...
in the urine (Kamijo et al. 2006, Fassett et al. 2011, Nishihara et al. 2013) to the GFR deterioration and progression of kidney function but just one stu

MCP-1 is a pro-inflammatory cytokine that may rise dramatically during progression of CKD and in relation to the GFR declination (Chung and Lan 2011). The MCP-1 is also used as a marker in periodontal disease as it’s a highly specific chemoattractant for monocytes and macrophages, these specific types of phagocytic cells which when recruited upon periodontal insult secrete a large number of inflammatory mediators that dramatically affect the periodontal structures and the pathogenesis of the periodontal disease (Pradeep et al. 2009). This study was conducted on 50 subjects, 30 of them suffering from chronic kidney disease, at two different stages one early and one late stage in order to compare the MCP-1 values in both stages and correlate the results to the control groups. Patients’ grouping was done on biases of GFR test value according to the National Kidney Foundation 2002.

Studies have shown that individuals with chronic periodontal disease have 1.5-2 fold increased odds of CKD (Fisher et al. 2008). A study by Choi and colleagues in 2012 showed that Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans which is one of the most important pathogens in periodontal disease strongly stimulate the endothelial cells to secret MCP-1.

Ideally, monitoring CKD patients should begin at early stage in order to minimize the acuteness and rapidity of the complications, and to achieve better life (Levey and Coresh 2012). Stage 3 is believed to be the earliest stage in CKD (Crowe 2008).

Stage 4 CKD is the last one before End stage renal disease (ESRD) where renal failure and dialysis or even transplantation takes place (Abboud and Henrich 2011).

Mild Liver Fibrosis from Cirrhosis in Patients Infected with Chronic Hepatitis C Genotype 1. European J. of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases. 2011; 30: 761-766.

Patients with any other systemic and oral condition that can affect the salivary MCP-1 values such as: acute infection in the oral cavity (Pradeep et al. 2009), salivary gland disease (Frédéric et al. 2014) and neoplastic lesions (Grivennikov and Karin 2011) were excluded to avoid MCP-1 rise due to infection, the salivary rate changes, oral and periodontal health affection (Durlacher et al. 2010, Morris 2014).

Renal dialysis patients either kept on or only once, renal transplantation or allografts were excluded as metabolic complications already happened way before so it’s useless to measure MCP-1 value (Moranne et al. 2009, Thorman et al. 2010).


Diagnosis of the primary cause of renal disease was stated by the nephrology department, Cairo university hospital in order to avoid diabetes as it’s a major cause of renal disease (Couser et al. 2011) but it was clear that diabetes alone may raise the MCP-1 values dramatically (Cha et al. 2012) and worsen the oral and periodontal condition (Daniel et al. 2012) as well as reducing the salivary flow rate (Jawed et al. 2012). Hence all these patients are not enrolled in the current study.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines (MCP-1) a biomarker in secreted stimulated saliva in patients with CKD as an early sign of metabolic disorder for those patients and its relation to their oral condition.
In the present study every group was subjected to full history taking, full clinical examination of oral and dental tissues; including examination of the oral mucosa, plaque index, gingival index, and clinical attachment level for evaluation of the periodontal condition and stimulated salivary sample collection for evaluation of MCP-1 and the salivary flow rate.

The results of the current study were compared to two control groups each contained 10 patients, one systemically free with chronic periodontitis and one completely healthy (Thorman et al. 2009, Ioannidou and Swede 2011) to correlate the MCP-1 values and periodontal condition.

The results of the present study revealed that the age of CKD patients ranged from 28 to 63 years with a mean value 45.5 (±17.5); CKD may occur at any age. This approaches the average age obtained by Borawski et al. 2007, Grubbs et al. 2012 and Patil et al. 2012. In their studies the mean age was 44 years.

Saliva as a diagnostic fluid may reflect the systemic as well as oral status through a wide spectrum of proteins/peptides, nucleic acids, electrolytes, and hormones that originate from multiple local and systemic sources and could be found in saliva. The ease of sample collection, handling, storage, and availability of analytical tools at many laboratories were the main causes behind this preference to saliva as a non-invasive diagnostic method (Pfaffe et al. 2011).

For all subjects we started by taking salivary samples to avoid contamination of the sample with blood due to oral and periodontal examination, the stimulated salivary sample collection was the most accepted method as these patients may suffer from hypo-salivation (Tomás et al. 2008) also the measurement of resting saliva is difficult to standardize (Larsen et al. 1999) and stimulated saliva is known to vary less throughout the day (Ben-Aryech 1990), however all samples were collected at the same day time 11 am and all patients were given the same instructions not to eat or drink for two hours before to avoid changes in salivary secretion rate as stated by Farnaud et al. 2010.

Spitting method was used as it’s a simple way and the foam has a very small volume and expelled air gases won’t affect the results (Navazesh and Christensen 1982).

Assessment of the salivary flow rate was done to detect the presence of hyposalivation.

Saliva was immediately delivered and saved at -80°C until the Biochemical analysis (Chiappin et al. 2007).

Analysis of the collected salivary samples was performed by means of the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). ELISA has been considered the standard cytokine measurement method and is widely utilized in clinical laboratories and biomedical research. ELISA kits for commonly measured cytokines are commercially available, cheap and easily used. Additional advantages of ELISA include the fact that results are highly quantitative and generally reproducible (Leng et al. 2008).

Examination of the oral mucosa was carefully done to report any pathological condition in all study groups. The CKD patients may suffer from uremic stomatitis as well as many other oral mucosal lesions either due to the renal disease itself through the dramatic rise in inflammatory mediators and toxic products (Scott and Debbie 2008) or due to the hyposalivation state occurring in CKD (Ariyamuthu et al. 2013).

Another study by Patil et al. in 2012 was conducted on ESRD patients revealed other oral symptoms such as dry mouth, oral ulcers, pallor, altered taste and halitosis as most symptoms are more common in dialysis patients after complete renal failure (Sunil et al. 2012). Those findings weren’t present in predialysis patients except halitosis.
In the present study we used GFR values to describe the decline in renal function in the two CKD stages (Stinghen et al. in 2009, Thorman et al. in 2010, Grubbs et al. in 2012) MCP-1 values where compared to GFR in different CKD stages in salivary samples, the results showed that salivary MCP-1 values are inversely proportional to the GFR values. Salivary MCP-1 values were statistically correlated to all the other parameters in this study, age, salivary flow, DMFT, GI, PI and CAL. It was shown that a direct proportional relation was found between MCP-1 and age, M score (number of missing teeth), DMFT, GI, PI, CAL. An inverse proportional relation was found between MCP-1 and D score (number of decayed teeth), F score (number of filled teeth) and salivary flow rate.

The results of the current study showed that salivary MCP-1 values were higher in the late stage of CKD (stage 4 GFR 17.08±1.45 ml/min/1.73 m²) with a mean of (464± 84.7 pg/ ml) values were higher than the early stage (stage 3 GFR 38.78 ± 5.92 ml/min/1.73 m²) which came next with a mean of (273.5± 42.1 pg/ ml), the values were also higher than the two control groups; chronic periodontitis group (GFR = 90 ml/min/1.73 m²) with a mean of (147.5 ± 20.9 pg/ ml) and healthy groups (GFR = 90 ml/min/1.73 m²) with a mean of (114.3 ± 8.2 pg/ ml) and the difference between groups were significant at P value P ≤ 0.05. An inverse correlation was found between the GFR values and salivary MCP-1.

This is in accordance with the results of the study by Camilla et al. 2011 in which the MCP-1 values where compared to GFR in different CKD stages in urinary samples. The study used GFR values to described the decline in renal function in all CKD stages proving that the MCP-1 rising values are essential to the loss of renal function.

The results of the present study approaches these of Stinghen et al. in 2009 who investigated the effects of the uremic diseases on the expression of monocyte chemo-attractant protein-1 (MCP-1) and other inflammatory cytokines and their relation to the cardiovascular complications where GFR was used to determine the renal function of the uremic patients most of them in stage 3 CKD, serum MCP-1 was evaluated; the results proved increased MCP-1 values in uremic patients with decreased GFR than control subjects, in which the mean MCP-1 values were (119.0 pg/ml).

In contrast Thorman et al. in 2010 studied the salivary MCP-1 values together with other inflammatory cytokines in relation to the CKD stage of patients pre- and postdialysis compared to healthy control subjects and found that all cytokines were decreased in CKD patients with MCP-1 mean value of (254 pg /ml) for the CKD patients and (311 pg /ml) for control subjects. This could be attributed to the different technique the authors used in their study for detection and evaluation of salivary MCP-1 values.

Although Murea et al. in 2012 in their study evaluated the MCP-1 in serum in stage 3 CKD, their values were in accordance with the values of the salivary MCP-1 in the present study both using the ELISA technique.

In a study by Gupta et al. in 2013 the gingival crevicular MCP-1 values were evaluated in patients with severe periodontal disease and compared to healthy control subjects the MCP-1 results were higher in periodontal disease patients. These results were in accordance with the salivary MCP-1 values in the present study.

Also a study by Kusumoto et al. in 2004 proved that the gingival epithelial cell produces MCP-1 after Stimulation with Porphyromonas gingivalis via Toll-Like Receptor 2 in periodontal disease, proving that a higher gingival crevicular fluid (GCF) level of MCP-1 is found in patients with periodontal disease.

Another study by Choi et al. in 2012 investigated the effect of Aggregatibacter
actinomycetemcomitans on the production of MCP-1 by human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC). A. actinomycetemcomitans strongly induced the gene expression and protein release of MCP-1 supporting the results of increased MCP-1 in GCF of patients with periodontal disease.

The results of the present study revealed a relatively decreased salivary flow rate in stage 4 CKD (GFR 15-29 ml/min/1.73m²) with a mean value (0.8± 0.06 ml/min). Stage 3 CKD (30-59 ml/min/1.73m²) patients also showed a decreased salivary flow rate with a mean value (1.4± 0.4 ml/min). Decreased salivary flow rate was significant in both groups compared to the control groups.

Studies by Thorman et al. in 2010, Vesterinen et al. in 2011 and Ersson et al. in 2011 reported a decreased salivary flow rate in pre-dialysis CKD patients stimulated salivary samples in comparison to healthy control subjects, their results were in accordance with those of the present study.

Studies support the decreased salivary secretion in pre-dialysis CKD patient, but hyposalivation and xerostomia remain evident only in dialysis stages or End stage renal disease (ESRD). Thorman et al. in 2010, Ersson et al. in 2011 and Kaushik et al. in 2013 supported this opinion.

Periodontal screening through gingival and plaque indices as well as clinical attachment loss were carefully determined to evaluate the effect of CKD and its complications on periodontal tissue as assessed by Borawski et al. 2007. Also Thorman et al. 2010 studied the periodontal condition and salivary MCP-1 level in CKD patients.

The results of the present study revealed that the periodontal condition was significantly worse with significantly higher gingival index, plaque index and more clinical attachment loss in CKD patient especially in the advanced group compared to the chronic periodontitis group and the healthy control group.

The results of the present study agree with the study by Bayraktar et al. 2007 in their study they compared the periodontal condition of CKD patients and healthy control subject, although their study was conducted on postdialysis patients, they found a higher gingival and plaque indices but no significant increase in the clinical attachment loss was detected between the two groups.

The results of the present study were in accordance with Almeida et al. in 2012 who studied the extent and severity of chronic periodontitis in chronic kidney disease (predialysis) stages, the results were compared to healthy individuals and post dialysis patients. Their results revealed a significantly more surfaces with clinical attachment loss in CKD patients pre and postdialysis than control group.

Also in accordance Ioannidou and Swede in 2011 studied the periodontal condition of CKD patients in all stages in relation to healthy individuals and proven a worse periodontal condition in CKD patients than control group.

Borawski et al. 2007 studied pre-dialysis CKD patients in stage 2–5 and two control groups of generally healthy individuals, one with advanced periodontitis. They reported that periodontal disease was “more severe” in CKD patients compared to healthy individuals which is in accordance to the current study.

On the other hand Grubbs et al. 2011 worked on CKD patients in the United States with eGFR15-59 ml/min/1.73m² to evaluate their periodontal condition in relation to control group with chronic periodontitis. The study divided the patients groups according to their educational attainment, their results concluded that association between CKD and chronic periodontitis is not significant among different subpopulations.

DMFT in the present study was recorded to evaluate the oral health of CKD patients and to detect the effect of the disease and its complications on the dental structures as assessed by Thorman et al. 2009.
The DMFT results of the current study revealed higher M values (number of missing teeth) in all CKD patients with the highest M value present in stage 4 CKD, stage 3 CKD was next; compared to control groups. The D value (number of decayed teeth) and F value (number of filled teeth) were higher in the healthy control group.

Collectively CKD patients in stage 4 had the higher DMFT value compared to all other study groups.

The results of the present study agree with Ioannidou and Swede in 2011 who studied the dental and periodontal condition of CKD patients in all stages in relation to healthy individuals and a significantly higher DMFT was found in CKD patients compared to healthy control subjects.

Most patients in this study had untreated dental caries this approaches the results of Vesterinen et al. in 2011 on predialysis patients and healthy control group.

CKD patients had fewer numbers of teeth remaining especially at the late CKD stage, higher number of untreated decayed teeth. This may be illustrated by the lower socioeconomic status of the examined patients and the neglecting to use dental service in CKD. In a study by Grubbs et al. in 2012 on United States patients, it was mentioned that only 11% of population visit the dentist at least once a year and the percentage is 25% lower in CKD patients. Patients with CKD are less apt to seek dental service due to the excess appointments and life style changes due to the disease itself. This may affect the dental condition of CKD patients.

The results approach the study by Thorman et al. in 2009 as DMFT was higher in uremic patients than healthy control. However the study didn’t mention exactly the CKD stage and it was on both predialysis and post dialysis patients.

On the other hand a study by Sobrado et al. 2007 on patients with moderate to severe CKD comparing the results to healthy control subjects no significant difference was found in DMFT index. However the results of their study reported increased number of missing teeth in CKD patient advanced stages, which were in accordance with the results of the present study.

Bots et al. in 2007 in a longitudinal study on the oral, dental and salivary changes in ESRD patients for two years, no significant difference in DMFT was found between ESRD patients at the beginning of the study which disagrees with the results of the current study. However the values of their results were in accordance with those of the present study at the end of their work.
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